Strong quasipositivity of links

Alexander Stoimenow

Dongguk University, WISE campus,

Gyeongju-si, Korea

16th Jun, 2023

K&SG2023 Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST, Daejeon, Korea

Contents

- strong quasipositivity and related properties
- Bennequin's inequality and Bennequin surfaces
- 村杉-Przytycki method and graph index
- 村杉-Przytycki-山田 method for braided surfaces
- strong quasipositivity for classes of links
- Whitehead doubles $(+\alpha)$
- Minimal genus canonical surfaces
- (Weakly) successively almost positive links (joint w/ 哲.伊藤)

Strong quasipositivity and related properties

Definition 1 Notation: σ_i , for i = 1, ..., n - 1, is Artin generator of the braid group B_n .

quasipositive link (Rudolph, Boileau-Orevkov): If zero set of a complex polynomial $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ intersects the unit sphere

$$S^{3} = \{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} : |u|^{2} + |v|^{2} = 1 \}$$

transversely, the intersection forms a quasipositive link *L* in S^3 . *L* is *closure* $\hat{\beta}$ of a braid

$$\beta = \prod_{k=1}^{l} w_k \sigma_{i_k} w_k^{-1}$$

which is product of conjugates of σ_i (but *not* their inverses). strongly quasipositive link: If the words $w_k \sigma_{i_k} w_k^{-1}$ are of the form

$$\sigma_{i,j} = \sigma_i^{-1} \dots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \dots \sigma_i, \qquad (1)$$

for $1 \le i < j \le n$, "embedded bands" ($\sigma_i = \sigma_{i,i+1}$)

$$B_9 \ni \sigma_{2,7} =$$

then

$$3 = \prod_{k=1}^{l} \sigma_{i_k, j_k} \tag{2}$$

,

is a strongly quasipositive braid (word) and a positive band representation of β . It gives a strongly quasipositive surface of $L = \hat{\beta}$.

More generally, a word $\beta = \prod \sigma_{i_k, j_k}^{\pm 1}$ gives a *band representation* and a *braided surface* (Rudolph) of $L = \hat{\beta}$.

Minimal genus braided surface is called *Bennequin surface* (Birman-Menasco).

All link diagrams and links assumed oriented. Crossings in oriented diagrams are called



 $c_{\pm}(D) :=$ number of positive, respectively negative crossings of a diagram D, $c(D) = c_{+}(D) + c_{-}(D)$ crossing number and $w(D) = c_{+}(D) - c_{-}(D)$ writhe.

After smoothing all crossings, s(D) := number of *Seifert circles*.

Crossing number of a knot *K*:

 $c(K) := \{ c(D) : D \text{ is a diagram of } K \}.$

Definition 2 Positive link *is a link with a positive diagram* ($c_{-}(D) = 0$).

 $\{\text{positive}\} \subset \{\text{strongly quasipositive}\} \subset \{\text{quasipositive link}\}$ (first inclusion proved by Rudolph, but see below) **Definition 3** (maximal) Thurston-Bennequin number TB(L)

 $TB(L) = \max\{w(D) - s(D) : D \text{ a diagram of } L\}.$

Bennequin's inequality and Bennequin surfaces

Theorem 4 Bennequin's inequality states that

$$TB(L) \le -\chi(L). \tag{4}$$

 $(\chi = Euler char.)$

Improvement: the slice Bennequin inequality (Kronheimer-Mrowka, Rudolph, etc.) states that

$$TB(L) \le -\chi_4(L) \le -\chi(L) \tag{5}$$

 $(\chi_4 = \text{smooth } 4\text{-ball Euler char.})$

τ-invariant (for *knots L*, Ozsvath-Szabo), "slice-torus" invariants (Livingston);

$$TB(L) \le 2\tau(L) - 1 \le -\chi_4(L)$$

Definition 5 Bennequin defect $\delta(L) = (-\chi(L) - TB(L))/2$ (non-negative integer).

Definition 6 We call L Bennequin-sharp if (4) is equality (i.e., $\delta(L) = 0$). We call L slice-Bennequin-sharp if (5) is equality.



Conjecture 7 *Bennequin-sharp* \iff *strongly quasipositive*

Bennequin's inequality \Rightarrow strongly quasipositive surface is minimal genus

Question 8 (Baker-茂手木) If L is strongly quasipositive, then is *every* minimal genus surface of L strongly quasipositive?

Remark 9 obviously true for fibered *L* (or more generally if unique minimal genus surface)

Definition 10 b(L) braid index:

$$b(L) = \min\{n : \exists \beta \in B_n, L = \hat{\beta}\}.$$

Question 11 (Rudolph) If *L* is strongly quasipositive, does *L* have a strongly quasipositive surface on minimal (= b(L)) strands/strings?

Remark 12 For Bennequin surfaces, proved by Bennequin (-Birman-Menasco) if b(L) = 3, but false for b(L) = 4 (平澤-S.)

Answer to Conjecture 7 and Question 11 is affirmative for prime knots up to 16 crossings (see Computations 36 and 37).

Example 13 $13_{6169} \rightarrow 14_{46970}$. The number of strings on which a strongly quasipositive surface can be realized, can go down under positive Hopf plumbing (even for fiber surfaces).

Question 14 Does every *alternating* link have a minimal string Bennequin surface?

Generalization of Question 11:

Conjecture 15 (伊藤-川室) Every link L has a Bennequin surface on (at most) $b(L) + \delta(L)$ strands.

村杉-Przytycki method and graph index

used (in conjunction with $\coprod \boxplus$ -Vogel) to estimate braid index (from above).

Definition 16 $\Gamma(D)$ Seifert graph of D: a vertex for every Seifert circle of D, and an edge for every crossing connecting two (distinct) Seifert circles. (Edge is labeled \pm by sign of crossing.)

 $\Gamma(D)$ is always bipartite and planar, but not mandatorily simple. Say two parallel edges in $\Gamma(D)$ come from *Seifert equivalent* crossings in *D*. Cycles *C* in $\Gamma(D)$ have even length, and pair of parallel edges form |C| = 2.

Definition 17 (using Traczyk's cycle condition): Edge set E in $\Gamma(D)$ is independent if for each cycle C of $\Gamma(D)$ of length 2n, we have $|E \cap C| < n$.

Definition 18

ind(D) = maximal size of independent set $ind_{\mp}(D) = maximal size of independent set of negative/positive edges$

Theorem 19 ("村杉-Przytycki", Traczyk, S.,...)

 $b(L) \leq s(D) - \operatorname{ind}(D)$.

Conjecture 20 (村杉-*Przytycki*) *If D is an alternating diagram, then* b(L) = s(D) - ind(D).

Example 21 "Rational (2-bridge) links L", (tested; S.) links with $b(L) \le 4$, pure or parallel alternating-pretzel links L, knots L with $\chi(L) \ge -7$, knots L with at most 18 crossings, ...

For Montesinos links

$$M(e; p_1/q_1, \dots, p_n/q_n) \tag{6}$$

 $(|p_i| < q_i, (|p_i|, q_i) = 1, \text{ etc.})$ orientation issues near the integer part become essential:



Definition 22 If all $|p_i| = 1$, the Montesinos link is a pretzel link. A pretzel link is alternating-pretzel if $e \ge 0$, $p_i/q_i > 0$, and we say a pretzel link is pure if e = 0 and $|q_i| > 1$.

Remark 23 There are alternating (and non-pure) pretzel links which are not alternating-pretzel links.

村杉-Przytycki-山田 method for braided surfaces

Theorem 24 (S.) If E is an independent set of $\Gamma(D)$ of a diagram D of L of e_+ positive and e_- negative edges, then the canonical surface of D is a braided surface on s(D) - |E| strings with $c_+(D) - e_+$ positive bands and $c_-(D) - e_-$ negative bands.

(Proof is constructive.)

For Bennequin surfaces, we are in particular interested when

Definition 25 If $\chi(D) = \chi(L)$, then *D* is minimal genus diagram (e.g., alternating, positive, homogeneous, ...). If *L* has minimal genus diagram *D*, call *L* canonically minimal.

Corollary 26 If conjecture 20 is true, then every alternating link has a minimal string Bennequin surface (Question 14).

In particular true for special cases (listed in example 21; 2-bridge independently by 平澤).

Without Conjecture 20, can address Conjecture 15 (伊藤-川室) for further alternating links, for example:

Proposition 27 Conjecture 15 holds for alternating knots K with $\tau(K) \le 1$ (among others achiral, slice knots, knots of unknotting number one, etc.), and with $\tau(K) = 2$ when c(K) is odd.

Definition 28 Call D pseudo-positive if its negative crossings are independent $(c_{-}(D) = \text{ind}_{-}(D))$. Pseudo-positive link = link with pseudo-positive diagram.

Corollary 29 If D is a pseudo-positive diagram of L, then L is strongly quasipositive (on $s(D) - c_{-}(D)$ strings).

Converse is also true for minimal genus diagram (but Bennequin-sharp is enough):

Proposition 30 *D* is minimal genus diagram of Bennequin-sharp link $L \Rightarrow D$ is pseudo-positive.

Thus can decide from minimal genus diagram if a link is strongly quasipositive.

Corollary 31 *L* is pseudo-positive \iff *L* is canonically minimal and strongly quasipositive

Conjecture 7 holds for canonically minimal L.

Corollary 32 *L* is canonically minimal \Rightarrow (Bennequin-sharp \iff strongly quasipositive)

Question 8 (Baker-茂手木) is answered positively for canonical surfaces:

Corollary 33 If a link L is strongly quasipositive, then every minimal genus canonical surface of L is strongly quasipositive.

Remark 34 Feller-Lewark-Lobb knew corollaries 31, 32 and 33 for *knots*, but τ -invariant technology is not needed here.

Strong quasipositivity of some families of links

Many knots can be proved strongly quasipositive, by exhibiting a pseudo-positive diagram.

Example 35 (*FLL*; using a check of mine) All strongly quasipositive prime knots up to 13 crossings are pseudo-positive.

For alternating diagrams, situation is simple: strongly quasipositive ⇔ positive alternating (村杉's *special alternating*).

Computation 36 There are 22,009 prime non-alternating knots up to 16 crossings which are strongly quasipositive.

(Previously determined up to 12; for 13 crossings *partial outline* in example 35.) Data on my website

http://www.stoimenov.net/stoimeno/homepage/ptab/index.html.

For *special* alternating diagrams listed in example 21, Rudolph's question 11 is affirmed. Includes alternating knots up to 18 crossings.

Computation 37 Rudolph's question 11 is affirmed for all prime non-alternating ≤ 16 crossing knots.

Hardest example, 161057125:



Major computational project: ruled out b = 5 for this knot; a parallelized upgrade of the (*m*-truncated) HOMFLY polynomial calculation on a 4-cable.

- **Claim 38** Can classify strongly quasipositive pretzel *knots* (I need τ here!; we allow $e \neq 0$ or $q_i = \pm 1$ in (6), non-pure pretzels), and
 - have simple (2-page) decision algorithm for (strong quasipositivity of) *parallel* Montesinos *links*.

Whitehead doubles (+a)

(slightly different method)

Definition 39 Whitehead double $W_{\pm}(K, p)$ of a knot K with framing p and positive/negative clasp.

Question 40 When is $W_{\pm}(K, p)$ strongly quasipositive?

Theorem 41 $W_{-}(K, p)$ is never strongly quasipositive. $W_{+}(K, p)$ is strongly quasipositive $\iff p \ge -TB(K)$.

 $p \mapsto \tau(W_+(K, p)) \in \{0, 1\}$ is almost constant with one *jump* $j(K) = j_\tau(K)$ (studied by 金世久, etc.)



Livingston-Naik do this for "slice torus" invariants v.

Corollary 42 (*Livingston-Naik*) $j_v(K) \leq -TB(K)$.

For the "real" τ , we have $j_{\tau}(K) = 1 - 2\tau(K)$ (Hedden).

Corollary 43 If $-TB(K) = 1 - 2\tau(K)$ (incl. if K slice-Bennequin-sharp, in particular quasipositive), then conjecture 7 true for the Whitehead doubles of K.

This is also related to arc index a(L) (gives very simple proof that $a(L) \ge 2b(L)$, etc.); work project with 秦教澤 and 李和靜.

General satellites

Definition 44 $P \subset$ solid torus pattern. Type (a,g) algebraic/geometric \cap # (need $|a| \leq g$ and g - a even; for simplicity assume g > 1, no connected sums). Say P is cable type if |a| = g. This includes a braid pattern $P = \beta \in B_n$ (up to conjugacy), where a = g = n. Claim 45 For every knot *K* and non-cable type (a,g), there is a pattern P_K of type (a,g) with $K * P_K$ strongly quasipositive.

Can also construct many strongly quasipositive cable type satellites:

Proposition 46 If K is strongly quasipositive, and $P = \beta$ is strongly quasipositive braid (2), then $K * \beta$ is strongly quasipositive.

(Works for quasipositive also.) But:

Example 47 No (ordinary!, i.e., $P = \beta \in B_2$) 2-cable of figure-8-knot is strongly quasipositive.

Conjecture 48 If P is cable type and K * P is strongly quasipositive, then K is strongly quasipositive.

Minimal genus canonical surfaces

Question 49 When does Seifert's algorithm give a minimal genus surface?

Definition 50 Say L is canonically minimal if has a minimal genus canonical surface.

Example 51 alternating (村杉-Gabai), alternative (Kauffman), positive (村杉-"Bennequin-山田-Vogel"), homogeneous (Cromwell)

Theorem 52 (*FLL for knots, S.*) If *D* is a diagram of a strongly quasipositive link, then *D* is pseudo-positive if and only if *D* is minimal genus.

This + Gabai gives a generalization of homogeneous diagrams. (But Alexander polynomial *does not always* work!)

Definition 53 A diagram D is pseudo-homogeneous, if all blocks are pseudo-positive or -negative.

D is weakly pseudo-homogeneous, if parallel edges in $\Gamma(D)$ have same sign, and when replacing them by a single edge the diagram gets pseudo-homogeneous.

As usual, X link = link with X diagram (X=...).

Example 54 The 5-crossing fig-8-knot diagram is weakly pseudo-homogeneous but not pseudo-homogeneous.



Theorem 55



Remark 56 All inclusions *of links* except the red one are known to be proper. (The red one is tricky := not very important but very difficult.)

A (likely) more important top. version:

Question 57 1. Is *one* minimal genus surface of every link (for $\chi < 0$) 村杉 sum of strongly quasipositive and strongly quasinegative surfaces?

2. *Every* minimal surface when χ is small? (I know is *not* when $\chi \ge -1$.)

3. Stable 村杉 sum?

Example 58 10₁₄₅#3₁: pseudo-homogeneous (in fact, -positive) diagrams are not visually prime (but homogeneous may still be: Menasco-小澤 +).

(Weakly) successively almost positive links (joint w/ 哲。伊藤)

Problem 59 Can one pose a natural condition on (arbitrary many) negative crossings in a diagram, so that positivity properties can be generalized?

One answer (above): pseudo-positive. I discuss here another answer (joint w/ 哲.伊藤).

Definition 60 ("伊藤-茂手木-寺垣内") A diagram is successively almost positive (s.a.p.) if all negative crossings appear consecutively with their overpass along a single overarc.



Definition 61 (伊藤-S.; "Cromwell") A diagram is weakly successively almost positive (w.s.a.p.) if all negative crossings appear with their overpass along a single overarc.



This extends my old definition:

Definition 62 (S.) A diagram D is almost positive if $c_{-}(D) = 1$.

Obviously:

```
\{w.s.a.p.\} \supset \{s.a.p.\} \supset \{almost positive\} \supset \{positive link\} (7)
```

(Here I do *not* exclude positive links from almost positive ones.)

Question 63 {w.s.a.p. link} \supseteq {s.a.p. link}?

We know other inclusions in (7) are proper.

Many properties (like of link polynomials) of positive links extendable to w.s.a.p. links, for example:

Theorem 64 The Alexander polynomial detects genus and fibering of a w.s.a.p. link.

Remark 65 Does not work for pseudo-positive: (-3, 5, 5), (-3, 5, 7)-pretzel knots.

Question 66 (伊藤-S.) Are all (w.) s.a.p. links strongly quasipositive?

Proposition 67 (伊藤-S.) $s.a.p. \Rightarrow$ Bennequin sharp

Theorem 68 (*Feller-Lewark-Lobb's answer to old question of mine*) *link L is almost positive* \Rightarrow *strongly quasipositive.*

Definition 69 (FLL, "S.") An almost positive diagram D is

- type 1 \iff D is minimal genus (\iff negative crossing has no Seifert equivalent positive crossing)
- type 2 \iff D not minimal genus (\iff negative crossing has Seifert equivalent positive crossing)

Theorem 24 \Rightarrow type 1 is strongly quasipositive on s(D) - 1 strings

Theorem 70 (伊藤-S.) L has s.a.p. diagram "with full marking" \Rightarrow L is strongly quasipositive.

 \Rightarrow type 2 is strongly quasipositive on s(D) strings.

In combination, we have a refinement of Theorem 68:

Theorem 71 Let a link L have an almost positive diagram D. Then L has a positive band representation on (at most)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} s(D) - 1 & \text{if } D \text{ is of type } 1 \\ s(D) & \text{if } D \text{ is of type } 2 \end{array} \right\}$$

strands.

Thank you!

Alexander Stoimenow

Dongguk University, WISE campus, Gyeongju-si, Korea

16th Jun, 2023

KAIST, Daejeon, Korea Department of Mathematical Sciences