

# POW 2020-16

2016\_\_\_\_ Chae Jiseok

September 29, 2020

We use  $*$  to denote conjugate transpose. Let two integers  $n$  and  $k$  be given so that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . For an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $A$  let  $f$  denote the function given in the problem, that is, let

$$f(A) = \lambda_1(A) + \cdots + \lambda_k(A).$$

We make a few claims.

**Claim 1.** For an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $A$ , let  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  be unit norm eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$ , respectively. For any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $v$  and  $w$  be any unit vectors such that  $v \in \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_j\}$  and  $w \in \text{span}\{u_j, \dots, u_n\}$ . Then  $v^*Av$  and  $w^*Aw$  are real numbers satisfying

$$v^*Av \geq \lambda_j(A) \quad \text{and} \quad w^*Aw \leq \lambda_j(A).$$

*Proof.* Because  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , there exists complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$  and  $\beta_j, \dots, \beta_n$  satisfying  $v = \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i u_i$  and  $w = \sum_{i=j}^n \beta_i u_i$ . By the same reason,  $u_i^* u_j = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ , and  $u_i^* u_i = 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . So we have  $1 = \|v\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^j |\alpha_i|^2$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} v^*Av &= v^* \sum_{i=1}^j A \alpha_i u_i = v^* \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(A) \alpha_i u_i \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \bar{\alpha}_i u_i^* \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(A) \alpha_i u_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda_i(A) |\alpha_i|^2 u_i^* u_i \\ &\geq \lambda_j(A) \sum_{i=1}^j |\alpha_i|^2 \\ &= \lambda_j(A). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have  $1 = \|w\|^2 = \sum_{i=j}^n |\beta_i|^2$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} w^*Aw &= w^* \sum_{i=j}^n A \beta_i u_i = w^* \sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i(A) \beta_i u_i \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=j}^n \bar{\beta}_i u_i^* \right) \left( \sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i(A) \beta_i u_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=j}^n \lambda_i(A) |\beta_i|^2 u_i^* u_i \\ &\leq \lambda_j(A) \sum_{i=j}^n |\beta_i|^2 \\ &= \lambda_j(A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the desired inequalities.  $\square$

**Claim 2.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix, and  $A_k$  be a  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $A$  obtained by taking the first  $k$  rows and the first  $k$  columns. Then  $\lambda_j(A_k) \leq \lambda_j(A)$  for any  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* By assumption there exists a  $k \times (n - k)$  matrix  $B$  and an  $(n - k) \times (n - k)$  matrix  $C$  such that  $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix}$ . Note that  $A_k$  is also Hermitian. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be eigenvectors of  $A$ , each corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A)$  respectively, and let  $w_1, \dots, w_k$  be eigenvectors of  $A_k$ , each corresponding to eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(A_k), \dots, \lambda_k(A_k)$  respectively. Fix any  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and let  $V, W$  be subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  where

$$V = \text{span}\{v_j, \dots, v_n\}, \quad W = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} w_j \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}\right\}.$$

Then  $\dim(V) + \dim(W) = (n - j + 1) + j > n$  so  $V \cap W$  must be a nontrivial subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Hence there exists a unit vector  $u \in \mathbb{C}^k$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in V \cap W$ . Now observe that

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^* A \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u^* & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B \\ B^* & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = u^* A_k u.$$

Here as  $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$  itself is a unit vector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and as it is clear that  $u \in \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_j\}$ , by **Claim 1** we get

$$\lambda_j(A_k) \leq u^* A_k u = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}^* A \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \leq \lambda_j(A)$$

which is the inequality desired. □

**Claim 3.** Let  $A$  be any  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix. Then  $f$  can be represented as

$$f(A) = \sup \{ \text{trace}(X^* A X) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^* X = I_k \}$$

where  $I_k$  is the  $k \times k$  identity matrix.

*Proof.* For convenience let  $S$  denote the supremum on the right hand side. As  $A$  is Hermitian, there exists an  $n \times n$  unitary matrix  $U$  such that  $A = U D U^*$  where  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1(A), \dots, \lambda_n(A))$ .

Let  $J = \begin{bmatrix} I_k \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$  be the  $n \times k$  diagonal matrix with entries of the main diagonal being 1.

Then for  $X = UJ$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace}(X^* A X) &= \text{trace}(J^* U^* A U J) \\ &= \text{trace}(J^* D J) \\ &= \lambda_1(A) + \dots + \lambda_k(A) \\ &= f(A) \end{aligned}$$

while  $X^* X = J^* U^* U J = J^* J = I_k$ . Hence  $f(A) \leq S$ .

On the other hand, let  $X$  be any  $n \times k$  matrix where  $X^* X = I_k$ , and let  $x_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column vector of  $X$ . The fact that  $X^* X = I_k$  implies that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  is an orthonormal set, so by the Basis Extension Theorem and Gram-Schmidt process we can extend this set into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . That is, there exists a unitary matrix  $Y$  where if we let  $y_i$  to be the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column vector of  $Y$  then  $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_k = y_k$ . Also, as  $Y^* Y = I_n$ , we have  $Y^* X = J$ .

Note that  $Y^* A Y$  is similar to  $A$ , so  $\lambda_j(Y^* A Y) = \lambda_j(A)$ . Also note that the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $Y^* A Y$  is  $y_i^* A y_j$ , so the  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $Y^* A Y$  obtained by taking only the first  $k$  rows and  $k$  columns becomes  $X^* A X$ . Thus by **Claim 2**, and also by noting that  $X^* A X$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(X^* A X) &= \lambda_1(X^* A X) + \dots + \lambda_k(X^* A X) \\ &\leq \lambda_1(Y^* A Y) + \dots + \lambda_k(Y^* A Y) \\ &= \lambda_1(A) + \dots + \lambda_k(A). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  was chosen arbitrarily so that  $X^* X = I_k$ , we have  $S \leq f(A)$ . Therefore as claimed, we have  $f(A) = S = \sup \{ \text{trace}(X^* A X) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^* X = I_k \}$ . □

Finally now we can show that  $f$  is convex. Note that for any given  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ , the function defined on the set of  $n \times n$  matrices as  $A \mapsto \text{trace}(X^*AX)$  is linear. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices, and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then by **Claim 3**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) &= \sup \{ \text{trace}(X^*(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B)X) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k \} \\
&= \sup \{ \lambda \text{trace}(X^*AX) + (1 - \lambda) \text{trace}(X^*BX) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k \} \\
&\leq \lambda \sup \{ \text{trace}(X^*AX) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k \} \\
&\quad + (1 - \lambda) \sup \{ \text{trace}(X^*BX) : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}, X^*X = I_k \} \\
&= \lambda f(A) + (1 - \lambda)f(B).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by definition,  $f$  is convex.