## Solution of Problem 2008-2
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Prove that if $x$ is a real number such that $0<x \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then $x$ can be represented as an infinite sum

$$
x=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{k}}
$$

where each $n_{k}$ is an integer such that $\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_{k}} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$.

## Solution

Let us remind the definition of decimical expansion; $x=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^{k}}{10^{k}}$ ( for $0 \geq$ $x \geq 1$ ). For example, when $x=1 / 3$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \times 10-3>0, x \times 10-4<0 \text { so, } d_{1}=3 \\
& \left(x-\frac{3}{10}\right) \times 100-3>0,\left(x-\frac{3}{10}\right) \times 100-4<0 \text { so, } d_{2}=3 \\
& \cdots \\
& \left(x-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{3}{10^{k}}\right) \times 10^{n}-3>0,\left(x-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{3}{10^{k}}\right) \times 10^{n}-4<0 \text { so, } d_{n}=3
\end{aligned}
$$

I'll use similar argument to solve this problem. For convience, let $d_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ $n_{1}, d_{k} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{n_{k}}{n_{k-1}} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}\left(\right.$ so, $\left.n_{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}\right)$ for $k>1$. For some $d_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, x-\frac{1}{d_{1}}>0$. Likewise, for some $d_{2} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $\left(x-\frac{1}{d_{1}}\right) \times d_{1}-\frac{1}{d_{2}}>0$. Reapeating this, for some $d_{k} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such
 this process as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $x_{k} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{n_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{d_{j}}, a_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x, a_{k} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ $\left(x-x_{k-1}\right) \times n_{k-1}=\underbrace{\left.\left(\cdots\left(\left(x-\frac{1}{d_{1}}\right) \times d_{1}-\frac{1}{d_{2}}\right) \times d_{2}-\frac{1}{d_{3}}\right) \cdots-\frac{1}{d_{k-1}}\right) \times d_{k-1} . ~ . ~ . ~ . ~}_{k-1}$

Then, these process is reduced by

$$
a_{k}-\frac{1}{d_{k}}>0 \text { for some } d_{k}, a_{k+1}=\left(a_{k}-\frac{1}{d_{k}}\right) \times d_{k}=a_{k} d_{k}-1
$$

Using these equations, we will prove that any number in ( $0, \frac{1}{2}$ ] can be represented as 'Strange representation' by proving some lemmas. Note that $\frac{1}{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{k}}, \frac{1}{8}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^{k}}$ can be represented clearly.

Lemma 1. For all $\frac{1}{8} \leq a_{k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, there is $d_{k} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $\frac{1}{8} \leq a_{k+1}=a_{k} d_{k}-1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$

Proof.

$$
\frac{1}{8} \leq a_{k} d_{k}-1 \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{d_{k}} \leq a_{k} \leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{d_{k}}
$$

So, define the closed intervals $I_{n}$ such that $I_{n}=\left[\frac{9}{8} \frac{1}{n}, \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{n}\right]$ for $n=3,4,5,6,8,9$. Then,
$I_{9}=\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{6}\right], I_{8}=\left[\frac{9}{64}, \frac{3}{16}\right], I_{6}=\left[\frac{3}{16}, \frac{1}{4}\right], I_{5}=\left[\frac{9}{40}, \frac{3}{10}\right], I_{4}=\left[\frac{9}{32}, \frac{3}{8}\right], I_{3}=\left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$.
So, it implies that if $a_{k} \in I_{n}$ for some $I_{n}$, then $\frac{1}{8} \leq a_{k+1}=a_{k} n-1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. But, since $\sup I_{9}=\frac{1}{6}>\inf I_{8}=\frac{9}{64}, \frac{3}{16}=\frac{3}{16}, \frac{1}{4}>\frac{9}{40}, \frac{3}{10}>\frac{9}{32}, \frac{3}{8}=\frac{3}{8}$, $\cup I_{n}=\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. That is, there is at least one $\mathrm{n} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $a_{k} \in I_{n}$ for all $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_{k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and it implies that for every $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_{k} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, there is $d_{k} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $\frac{1}{8} \leq a_{k} d_{k}-1 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and it proves the lemma.
Lemma 2. For all $\frac{1}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, x$ can be represented as 'Strange representation'.

Proof. By lemma 1, there is $d_{1} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $a_{2} \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ since $x=a_{1} \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. Likewise we can prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $d_{k} \in\{3,4,5,6,8,9\}$ such that $a_{k} \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ since since $a_{k-1} \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ (by using induction). We also need to prove wheter $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x$. That is, for $\forall \epsilon>0$, there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
k \geq N \Rightarrow\left|x-x_{k}\right|<\epsilon
$$

By definition, $a_{k}=\left(x-x_{k}\right) \times n_{k-1}$. So $\left|x-x_{k}\right|=\frac{a_{k}}{n_{k}}$. Since $a_{k}$ are bounded above $\left(\leq \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and $n_{k}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} \geq 3^{k}$ so we can make $\frac{a_{k}}{n_{k}}$ be arbitrary small.

So $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x$ and $\forall x \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ can be represented as 'Strange representation'.

Now we can prove the original problem.
Theorem 3. For all $0<x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $x$ can be represented as 'Strange representation'.

Proof. If $\frac{1}{8} \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we proved the statement by Lemma 2 . If $0<x<\frac{1}{8}$, we will find $d_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{8} \leq x d_{0} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

It is equivalent to

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{1}{8 x}\right\rfloor \leq d_{0} \leq\left\lceil\frac{1}{2 x}\right\rceil
$$

and since

$$
\left\lceil\frac{1}{2 x}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{8 x}\right\rfloor>\frac{1}{x}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{8}\right)>8 \times \frac{3}{8}=3>1
$$

, there must be an integer $d_{0} \in\left[\left\lceil\frac{1}{2 x}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor\frac{1}{8 x}\right\rfloor\right]$ as required. Now define $x^{\prime}=$ $x d_{0} \in\left[\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ then $x^{\prime}$ can be represented as 'Strange representation'. Let's denote $x^{\prime}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n^{\prime}{ }_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{d_{i}}$, then $x=\frac{x^{\prime}}{d_{0}}$ is represented as $x=$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_{0} n^{\prime}{ }_{k}}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{k} \frac{1}{d_{i}}$ and this is the 'Strange representation' of $x$.
Remark. Unlike the continued fraction representation of the given number $x$, the 'Strange representation' is generally not unique. (If we ignore the trailing 1 in the continued fraction representation) For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3}{8}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{8}=\frac{1}{3}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3 \cdot 9^{k-1}} \\
& \frac{3}{8}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{4}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4 \cdot 3^{k-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

are two distinct 'Strange representation' of $\frac{3}{8}$.

