## POW problem 1 : Solution

Kim, Chiheon(MAS dep., Junior)

September 9, 2008

I observed some numbers using Maple, and I found a rule. Here I propose the lemma:

**Lemma 1** Given a positive integer n. If an integer a is greater or equal to  $n^{n-1}$ , then a + 1, a + 2, ..., a + n have distinct prime factors, that is, we can choose distinct n primes each divides each of a + 1, a + 2, ..., a + n.

If we choose k numbers among a + 1, a + 2, ..., a + n, then we can choose k distinct primes each divides each of them. Hence, we are done if the lemma is true.

(proof) Let  $1 \le k \le n$ . If a + k has a prime factor  $p \ge n$ , then

 $gcd(a+k, a+j) = gcd(a+k, |j-k|) \le |j-k| < n \le p.$ 

Hence, p does not divide any a + j, if  $j \neq k$ . In this case, choose p.

Now, assume that a + k have only prime factors smaller than n, i.e.,

$$a+k=q_1^{e_{k1}}\dots q_r^{e_{kr}}$$

where  $2 = q_1 < q_2 < \ldots < q_r$  are all primes less than n. Notice that

$$n^{n-1} < a + k = q_1^{e_{k1}} \dots q_r^{e_{kr}}$$

and r < n-1. Hence, there is  $1 \le s_k \le r$  such that  $q_{s_k}^{e_{ks_k}} > n$ . Choose  $q_{s_k}$ . (:: Product of r < n-1 numbers is greater than  $n^{n-1}$ .)

We need to show such  $s_k$  are distict. If  $s_k = s_j$  for some  $j \neq k$ , then  $\min\{q_{s_k}^{e_{ks_k}}, q_{s_k}^{e_{js_k}}\} > n$  divides  $\gcd(a+k, a+j)$ . So,

$$n < \gcd(a+k, a+j) = \gcd(a+k, |k-j|) < n.$$

It is a contradiction. So  $s_j \neq s_k$  for any  $j \neq k$ .

Hence, we can choose different prime factors from each  $a + 1, \ldots, a + n$ .  $\Box$