

Mixed motives over $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$

by

Amalendu Krishna, Jinhyun Park

BK21 Research Report

10 - 02

February 3, 2010

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

The second stage of
BK21
Fostering A World Class Talent

KAIST

한국과학기술원
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

MIXED MOTIVES OVER $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$

AMALENDU KRISHNA, JINHYUN PARK

ABSTRACT. For a perfect field k , we use the techniques of Bondal-Kapranov and Hanamura to construct a triangulated category of mixed motives over the truncated polynomial ring $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$. The extension groups in this category are given by Bloch's higher Chow groups and the additive higher Chow groups. The main new ingredient is the moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups and its refinements.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be perfect field. The aim of this paper is to construct a triangulated category of mixed motives over the truncated polynomial ring $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$, such that the resulting motivic cohomology groups (the Ext groups) of smooth projective varieties in this category compute the K -theory of perfect complexes on the infinitesimal deformations of these varieties. In other words, this category is expected to be an extension of the category of mixed motives over k , constructed for example in [12], [17] and [22], to the simplest types of non-reduced rings. The complete construction of such a category with expected properties has been desired for a long time (*cf.* [2]) and will go a long way in understanding how one could construct the motivic cohomology that compute the K -theory of vector bundles on singular varieties. In this paper, our focus is to study such a problem in the particular case of those singular varieties which are the infinitesimal deformations of smooth varieties.

Following Bloch's proposal on how to construct mixed Tate motives over the field k in [4], it was conjectured by Bloch and Esnault in [6] that there should be a theory of "degenerate" cycle complexes over k in such a way that the Tanakian formalism of [4] could be used to construct the category of mixed Tate motives over the ring of dual numbers $k_\epsilon := k[t]/(t^2)$.

Possibly motivated by [6], Goncharov [9] used his idea of k -scissors congruence to define Euclidean scissors congruence groups to get a Lie coalgebra $\mathcal{Q}_\bullet(k_\epsilon)$ in the category of \mathbb{Q}_ϵ -modules. He conjectured that if k is algebraically closed, the category of finite dimensional graded comodules over $\mathcal{Q}_\bullet(k_\epsilon)$ should be equivalent to a subcategory of the conjectured category of mixed Tate motives over k_ϵ (*cf.* [9, Conjecture 1.3]). However, a complete construction of even the category of these mixed Tate motives was not known so far.

Our aim in this paper is to give a complete construction of a bigger category of mixed motives over any given truncated polynomial ring $k_m = k[t]/(t^{m+1})$ (note that $k_0 = k$). We expect that this category has all the expected properties. In particular, the Ext groups should give the K -theory of the infinitesimal thickenings of smooth projective varieties. Although we are unable to prove this last property, there are strong indications that this should indeed be true as we shall see shortly. Some more results in this direction will appear in [16].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25; Secondary 19E15.
Key words and phrases. Chow group, algebraic cycle, moving lemma, motive.

Before we describe the main result, we fix some terminology. Let \mathbf{SmProj}/k denote the category of smooth projective varieties over k . The category of all quasi-projective schemes over k will be denoted by \mathbf{Sch}/k . The subcategory with only proper morphisms will be denoted by \mathbf{Sch}'/k . Let $\mathcal{DM}(k)$ denote (the integral version of) Hanamura's triangulated category of mixed motives over k (cf. [14]). For a $X \in \mathbf{Sch}/k$, let $\mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m)$ denote the log additive higher Chow groups as in [15] (see also [14]). Note that for X smooth and projective, these are just the additive higher Chow groups $\mathrm{TCH}^r(X, n; m)$. We refer to Section 4 for the review of these groups. Let $\mathrm{CH}^r(X, n)$ denote the higher Chow groups of X . Finally, recall from [21] that for a scheme X , the higher $K_i(X)$ -groups of perfect complexes on X have gamma filtrations which induces Adams operations on each $K_i(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The r -th eigenspace for this operation is denoted by $K_i^{(r)}(X)$.

Theorem 1.1. *For $m \geq 0$, there exists a triangulated category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ such that the following results hold:*

- (1) *There are natural functors*

$$\iota : \mathcal{DM}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m),$$

which is faithful (but not full) and

$$\mathrm{Forget} : \mathcal{DM}(k; m) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k)$$

such that $\mathrm{Forget} \circ \iota$ is the identity. Moreover, $\mathcal{DM}(k; 0)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{DM}(k)$.

- (2) *There exists the motive functor with the modulus m augmentation,*

$$h : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, h(X)(r)[2r - n]) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X, n) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}^r(X, n; m),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}(r)$ and $(-)(r)$ are Tate objects and Tate twists.

- (3) *If k admits Hironaka's resolution of singularities, then there exists an extension of $h(-)$*

$$bm : \mathbf{Sch}'/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, bm(X)(r)[2r - n]) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X, n) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m)$$

for a smooth quasi-projective variety X .

As the reader will observe, the motive functor h in the above theorem is a covariant functor unlike the one in [12], which is a contravariant functor. By combining the results of Hesselholt [13] and [15, Theorems 3.4, 3.7] (see also [20]), we have the following consequence of the above theorem:

Corollary 1.2. 1. *For $n \geq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Q}, h(\mathrm{Spec}(k))(n)[n]) \cong (K_n^{(n)}(k[t]/(t^{m+1}))).$$

2. *Suppose k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. For $n \geq 3$, there is a natural surjection*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; 1)}(\mathbb{Q}, h(\mathrm{Spec}(k))(n-1)[n-2]) \twoheadrightarrow (K_n^{(n-1)}(k[t]/(t^2))).$$

As seen in [14], the additive higher Chow groups are expected to give rise to Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence

$$\mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^{-q}(X, -p - q; m) \Rightarrow K_{-p-q}^{\log}(X),$$

where K^{\log} is a spectrum which for $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, is the homotopy fiber of the map of spectra $K(X[t]/(t^{m+1})) \rightarrow K(X)$. Based on this and the above computations and the ongoing work [16], we expect that for $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ and for $n \geq 1$, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(1.1) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k;m)}(\mathbb{Q}, h(X)(r)[2r - n]) = K_n^{(r)}(X[t]/(t^{m+1})).$$

We also expect Goncharov's category [9] to be a subcategory of $\mathcal{MTM}(k; m)$ (cf. (6.4)). We hope that this can be proved using the techniques in [4] and [15], where we showed that the additive higher Chow groups have a natural structure of differential graded algebra. In a sequel to this work, we shall address the question of generalizing Voevodsky's category of mixed motives over k to the truncated polynomial ring $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$.

We now give a brief outline of this paper. Our construction of the category of motives is broadly based on the construction of triangulated categories out of a dg-category in Bondal-Kapranov [7] and the construction of $\mathcal{DM}(k)$ in Hanamura [12]. In order to carry this out, we formalize the results of [7] and [12] in the language of what we call a *partial* dg-category, in the next two sections. Apart from its use in this paper, we hope that this formalism of partial dg-categories will be useful in proving many other similar results, especially in constructing various types of categories of motives. We review (additive) higher Chow groups and some of their properties in Section 4. Section 5 contains the main technical results about the moving lemma and its refinements for additive higher Chow groups. We construct our category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ in Section 6 using the results of Section 3 and 5. The last section contains results on the extension of the motives to all schemes of finite type over k . This is based on some results of [10] and [14].

2. PARTIAL DG-CATEGORY

The construction of the triangulated category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ of mixed motives over $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$ in this paper is broadly based on a very general construction of a triangulated category from a dg-category, by Bondal and Kapranov in [7]. Apart from *ibid.*, our construction crucially uses the modification of Bondal-Kapranov's techniques by Hanamura in the construction of his category of mixed motives in [12].

Given a *pre-additive* dg-category \mathcal{C} , Bondal and Kapranov construct a sequence of dg-categories and functors

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathrm{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{C}),$$

such that all intermediate categories are additive dg-categories and the end product $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a triangulated category. Moreover, this construction is natural with respect to functors of pre-additive dg-categories.

It turns out that this formalism of Bondal-Kapranov can be adapted also to slightly more general setting where one allows more flexibility on the composability axioms about the morphisms in the underlying dg-categories. It is this refinement of the construction of [7] that will be needed to obtain the category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

In this section, we carry out the construction of Bondal and Kapranov in this more general setting of what we shall call *partial dg-categories*. This new formalism of partial dg-categories is motivated by the construction of mixed motives in [12]. In fact, our endeavor in this and the next section is to axiomatize the techniques

of *ibid.* in the language of partial dg-categories. We hope that this abstract formalization will be useful in many cases of interest, especially where one works with algebraic cycles and motives.

Let $K(\mathbb{Z})$ and $D(\mathbb{Z})$ respectively denote the homotopy category of cochain complexes of abelian groups and its derived category. Similarly, let $K^-(\mathbb{Z})$ and $D^-(\mathbb{Z})$ denote the corresponding categories of right bounded cochain complexes. We shall say that $f : M^\bullet \dashrightarrow N^\bullet$ is a *partially defined* morphism of cochain complexes in $K(\mathbb{Z})$, if there is a subcomplex $M'^\bullet \xrightarrow{i} M^\bullet$, where i is a quasi-isomorphism, and $f : M'^\bullet \rightarrow N^\bullet$ is an honest morphism of cochain complexes. For a cochain complex M^\bullet , the term *quasi-isomorphic subcomplex* will mean a subcomplex $M'^\bullet \hookrightarrow M^\bullet$ such that the inclusion is a quasi-isomorphism.

Recall that a dg-category over \mathbb{Z} consists of an additive category \mathcal{T} such that

1. For any pair of objects A, B in \mathcal{T} , one has $(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B), d) \in K(\mathbb{Z})$.
2. For a triple (A, B, C) of objects in \mathcal{T} , there is a composition morphism $\mu_{ABC} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, C)$.
3. For any object A of \mathcal{T} , there is a “unit” morphism $e_A : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, A)$ of complexes.

The composition of morphisms satisfies the usual associative law and the left and right compositions with the unit morphism e_A act as identity on any $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(B, A)$. A dg-category \mathcal{T} as above which does not necessarily have finite coproducts of objects is called a pre-additive dg-category.

In what follows, we consider a more general analogue of a dg-category, where the compositions of morphisms are only partially defined in the above sense.

Definition 2.1. A *partial dg-category* \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{Z} consists of the following data.

- (P1) A set of objects $Ob(\mathcal{C})$, also denoted by \mathcal{C} itself.
- (P2) For any pair of objects A, B in \mathcal{C} , one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \in K(\mathbb{Z})$, and a collection $S(A, B)$ of quasi-isomorphic subcomplexes of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ called “*distinguished subcomplexes*”.
- (P3) For any object A of \mathcal{C} , there is a “unit” morphism $e_A : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A)$ of complexes.
- (P4) Given any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$, $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)$ and a distinguished subcomplex

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)' \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C),$$

there are distinguished subcomplexes $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)' \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)' \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ such that the compositions

$$(-) \circ f : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, C)' \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)', \text{ and}$$

$$g \circ (-) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)' \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, C)'$$

are defined.

- (P5) For any pair of objects A, B in \mathcal{C} and for any two distinguished subcomplexes $M, M' \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$, there is a distinguished subcomplex $M'' \subset M \cap M'$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$.
- (P6) The composition of morphisms at the level of distinguished subcomplexes satisfies the associative law, and the partially defined left and right compositions with the unit morphism e_A act as identity on any $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$.

A partial dg-category \mathcal{C} which has all finite coproducts of its objects will be called an *additive* partial dg-category. An example of a partial dg-category will be given later in this paper when we construct our category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

If \mathcal{C} is a partial dg-category, let \mathcal{C}^\oplus be the partial dg-category whose objects are formal finite coproducts of the objects of \mathcal{C} , i.e.,

$$A = \bigoplus_{u \in J} A_u$$

where $A_u \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $|J| < \infty$. If $J = \emptyset$, then we write $A = 0$ by convention. It is easy to see that for the possibly pre-additive partial dg-category \mathcal{C} , the new category \mathcal{C}^\oplus is indeed an additive partial dg-category. If \mathcal{C} is additive from the first place, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\oplus$.

2.1. Twisted complexes and $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$. Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category.

Definition 2.2 ([7]). A *twisted complex over \mathcal{C}* is a system $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j \text{ for } i < j\}$, where

- $A^i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}^\oplus)$, all but finitely many of them are 0, and $q_{i,j}$ are morphisms in \mathcal{C}^\oplus of degree $i - j + 1$.
- For any sequence $i = i_0 < \dots < i_r = j$, the compositions $q_{i_{r-1}, i_r} \circ \dots \circ q_{i_0, i_1}$ are defined.
- For all $i < j$,

$$(2.1) \quad (-1)^j d(q_{i,j}) + \sum_{i < k < j} q_{k,j} \circ q_{i,k} = 0.$$

Note that the twisted complexes as defined above are analogous to the one-sided twisted complexes of [7, Definition 4.1].

Remark 2.3. Note also that since only finitely many A^i 's are non-zero in a twisted complex A and since there is exactly one given $q_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j$, the system A involves only finitely many nonzero morphisms $q_{i,j}$'s, too. In particular, if $A^i = 0$ for all but one i , then all $q_{i,j} = 0$.

We now define the set of partial morphisms between two twisted complexes. So let $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, p_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j\}$, $B = \{(B^{i'})_{i' \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i',j'} : B^{i'} \rightarrow B^{j'}\}$ be two twisted complexes over \mathcal{C} . Write $A^i = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I(i)} A_\alpha^i$ and $B^{i'} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in I'(i')} B_\beta^{i'}$. The

axiom (P5) of the definition of a partial dg-category implies that given any finite collection $M_i \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ of distinguished subcomplexes, there is a distinguished subcomplex $M \subset \left(\bigcap_i M_i\right)$ of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$. Using this, the axiom (P4) of Definition 2.1, and Remark 2.3, we can find distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})' \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})$ so that for

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^i, B^{i'})' := \bigoplus_{\alpha} \bigoplus_{\beta} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})',$$

the following holds: for any sequence $i = i_0 < \dots < i_r = j$, $i' = i'_0 < \dots < i'_s = j'$, the composition

$$u \mapsto \underbrace{q_{i'_{s-1}, i'_s} \circ \dots \circ q_{i'_0, i'_1}}_{\text{composition}} \circ u \circ \underbrace{p_{i_{r-1}, i_r} \circ \dots \circ p_{i_0, i_1}}_{\text{composition}}$$

is defined. The axiom (P6) of Definition 2.1 then implies that these compositions are associative. In particular, the maps

$$(-) \circ p_{i,j} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^j, B^{i'})' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^i, B^{i'})'$$

are defined and so are $q_{i',j'} \circ (-)$. One defines the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A, B)$ as the cochain complex

$$(2.2) \quad \text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A, B)^n = \bigoplus_{-i+j+l=n} \left(\text{Hom}_{C^\oplus}(A^i, B^j) \right)^l.$$

The differential D of the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A, B)$ is given for $f \in \left(\text{Hom}_{C^\oplus}(A^i, B^j) \right)^l$ by the formula

$$(2.3) \quad D(f) := (-1)^j d(f) + \sum_m \left((-1)^{j+m} q_{j,m} \circ f + (-1)^{l+j+m+1} f \circ p_{m,i} \right).$$

One should note here that the various signs in the differential are completely different from the ones chosen in [7] and they conform more to Hanamura's construction.

Lemma 2.4. *The above D satisfies $D \circ D = 0$.*

Proof. For $f \in \left(\text{hom}_{C^\oplus}(A^i, B^j) \right)^l$, in the formula (2.3), we let $(A) := (-1)^j d(f)$, $(B) := \sum_m (-1)^{j+m} q_{j,m} \circ f$, and $(C) := \sum_m (-1)^{l+j+m+1} f \circ p_{m,i}$ so that $D(f) = (A) + (B) + (C)$. We prove that $D^2(f) = D(A) + D(B) + D(C) = 0$.

First we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= (-1)^j d(df) + \sum_m \left((-1)^m q_{j,m} \circ df + (-1)^{l+m} df \circ p_{m,i} \right) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^m q_{j,m} \circ df}_{(A1)} + \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^{l+m} df \circ p_{m,i}}_{(A2)} \end{aligned}$$

For (B) , a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} D(B) &= \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^j d(q_{j,m} \circ f)}_{(B1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{m,m'} (-1)^{j+m'} q_{m,m'} \circ q_{j,m} \circ f}_{(B2)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{m,m'} (-1)^{l+m+m'} q_{j,m} \circ f \circ p_{m',i}}_{(B3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the Leibniz rule for $(B1)$ shows that we have

$$(B1) = \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^j d q_{j,m} \circ f}_{(B11)} + \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^{1-m} q_{j,m} \circ df}_{(B12)}.$$

Similarly, a direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D(C) &= \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^{l+m+1} d(f \circ p_{m,i})}_{(C1)} + \underbrace{\sum_{m,m'} (-1)^{l+m+m'+1} q_{j,m'} \circ f \circ p_{m,i}}_{(C2)} \\
&+ \underbrace{\sum_{m,m'} (-1)^{m'-i+1} f \circ p_{m,i} \circ q_{m',m}}_{(C3)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the Leibniz rule for (C1) shows that we have

$$(C1) = \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^{l+m+1} df \circ p_{m,i}}_{(C11)} + \underbrace{\sum_m (-1)^{m+1} f \circ dp_{m,i}}_{(C12)}.$$

Now, one immediately notices that $(A1) + (B12) = 0$, $(A2) + (C11) = 0$, $(B3) + (C2) = 0$, and $(B2) + (B11) = (C3) + (C12) = 0$ by the condition (2.1). Thus, $D^2(f) = D(A) + D(B) + D(C) = 0$. This proves the lemma. \square

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category. We define $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ to be the *partial* dg-category whose objects are all the twisted complexes over \mathcal{C} , and whose “morphisms” are given by the cochain complexes defined in (2.2).

Observe that $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ is not yet an honest category since the morphisms between twisted complexes depend on the choices of distinguished subcomplexes. We shall show however that these morphisms are well defined up to quasi-isomorphisms. A *full subcategory* \mathcal{D} of $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a partial category such that

- $Ob(\mathcal{D}) \subset Ob(\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}))$ and
- For $A, B \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B)$.

In particular, the morphisms of \mathcal{D} will be shown to be well defined up to quasi-isomorphisms.

3. HOMOTOPY CATEGORY OF A PARTIAL DG-CATEGORY

In this section, we complete the program of constructing an honest triangulated category $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ from a given partial dg-category \mathcal{C} , which will be called the *homotopy category* of \mathcal{C} . This is done with the help of the notion of C -complexes (cf. [12, Section 3]). We begin with a brief recall of this theory. We call it a *left C-complex* here.

Notational convention: In this section, when we write sums over various indices, we emphasize the indices over which the sums are taken by putting underlines for them. For example, $\sum_{m < \underline{k} < n}$ is taken over k such that $m < k < n$ with m, n fixed, while $\sum_{m < \underline{k} < \underline{n}}$ is taken over all pairs of indices k and n with $m < k < n$ for a fixed m .

Definition 3.1. A *left C-complex* of abelian groups consists of

- (i) A sequence of cochain complexes (A_m^\bullet, d_{A_m}) for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_m^\bullet = 0$ for all but finitely many m 's.
- (ii) For $m < n$, there are maps of graded groups

$$F_{m,n} : A_m^\bullet \rightarrow A_n^\bullet[m - n + 1]$$

subject to the condition

$$(3.1) \quad F_{m,n} \circ (-1)^m d_{A_m} + (-1)^n d_{A_n} \circ F_{m,n} + \sum_{m < l < n} F_{l,n} \circ F_{m,l} = 0$$

as a map $A_m^\bullet \rightarrow A_n^{\bullet+m-n+2}$.

Given a left C -complex (A_m^\bullet, d_{A_m}) , one defines its total complex $Tot(A) = (Tot(A)^\bullet, \mathbf{d}^L)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad Tot(A)^p = \bigoplus_{m+i=p} A_m^i$$

such that for $f \in A_m^{p-m}$, one has

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbf{d}^L(f) = \left((-1)^m d_{A_m}(f) + \sum_{n>m} F_{m,n}(f) \right) \in \bigoplus_{n \geq m} A_n^{p-n+1}.$$

One checks using the condition (3.1) that \mathbf{d}^L is indeed a differential.

Remark 3.2. As explained in *loc. cit.*, a left C -complex is a generalization of the notion of double complexes in that the maps $F_{m,m+1}$ are chain maps such that $F_{m+1,m+2} \circ F_{m,m+1}$ are *not* assumed to be zero, although they are zero in the homotopy category $K(\mathbb{Z})$ via the homotopy $F_{m,m+2}$. In fact, the maps $F_{m,n}$ of higher lengths ($m - n$) give the null-homotopy for the composites of the similar maps of smaller lengths. In particular, a left C -complex is a chain complex of objects in the homotopy category $K(\mathbb{Z})$ of chain complexes. The standard formalism of spectral sequences associated to a chain complex of objects in $K(\mathbb{Z})$ then implies that there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$(3.4) \quad E_1^{p,q} = H^q(A_p^\bullet) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Tot(A), \mathbf{d}^L).$$

Apart from the above left C -complexes, we shall also need the following variant of these objects that we shall call *right* C -complexes. We emphasize again that the above left C -complexes are exactly what are simply called C -complexes in [12].

Definition 3.3. A *right* C -complex of abelian groups consists of

(i) A sequence of cochain complexes (A_m^\bullet, d_{A_m}) for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A_m^\bullet = 0$ for all but finitely many m 's.

(ii) For $m < n$, there are maps of graded groups

$$E_{m,n} : A_m^\bullet \rightarrow A_n^\bullet[m - n + 1]$$

subject to the condition

$$(3.5) \quad E_{m,n} \circ (-1)^m d_{A_m} + (-1)^n d_{A_n} \circ E_{m,n} + \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+1} E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l} = 0$$

as a map $A_m^\bullet \rightarrow A_n^{\bullet+m-n+2}$.

Given a right C -complex (A_m^\bullet, d_{A_m}) , one defines its total complex $Tot(A) = (Tot(A)^\bullet, \mathbf{d}^R)$ by

$$(3.6) \quad Tot(A)^p = \bigoplus_{m+i=p} A_m^i$$

such that for $f \in A_m^{p-m}$, one has

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}(f) = \left((-1)^m d_{A_m}(f) + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(f) \right) \in \bigoplus_{\underline{n} \geq m} A_n^{p-n+1}.$$

Lemma 3.4. $\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}} \circ \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}} = 0$. In other words, $(\text{Tot}(A), \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}})$ is a cochain complex.

Proof. By a direct calculation, for $f \in A_m^{p-m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}})^2(f) &= \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}} \left((-1)^m d_A(f) + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(f) \right) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}((-1)^m d_A(f))}_{(A)} + \underbrace{\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(f) \right)}_{(B)}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the first term we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A) &= (-1)^m (-1)^m d_A^2(f) + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^m (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(d_A(f)) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (E_{m,n} \circ (-1)^m d_A)(f), \end{aligned}$$

and for the second term we have

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &= \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ (-1)^n d_A(E_{m,n}(f)) + \sum_{\underline{k} > n} (-1)^{k+1} E_{n,k}(E_{m,n}(f)) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} ((-1)^n d_A \circ E_{m,n})(f) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\underline{k} > \underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} (E_{n,k} \circ E_{m,n})(f) \\ &= \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} ((-1)^n d_A \circ E_{m,n})(f) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\underline{n} > \underline{l} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{l+1} (E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l})(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}})^2(f) = (A) + (B)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}})^2(f) &= \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ (E_{m,n} \circ (-1)^m d_A)(f) + ((-1)^n d_A \circ E_{m,n})(f) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\underline{n} > \underline{l} > m} (-1)^{l+1} (E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l})(f) \right\} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.5). \square

It is easy to see in the definition of a right C -complex that for $n = m+1$, $E_{m,m+1} : A_m^\bullet \rightarrow A_{m+1}^\bullet$ is a map of chain complexes. Moreover, the composite $E_{m+1,m+2} \circ E_{m,m+1}$ is zero in the homotopy category $K(\mathbb{Z})$ via the homotopy $E_{m,m+2}$. Thus, a right C -complex is also a chain complex of objects of the homotopy category $K(\mathbb{Z})$ of chain complexes. One gets a convergent spectral sequence similar to the one in (3.4):

$$(3.8) \quad E_1^{p,q} = H^q(A_p^\bullet) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(Tot(A), \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}).$$

Our interest in C -complexes is explained by the following results.

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category. Let $A' \in \mathcal{C}^\oplus$ and let $B = \{(B^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i,j} : B^i \rightarrow B^j\}$ be a twisted complex over \mathcal{C} as in Definition 2.2. Assume that we have chosen distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A', B_m)'$ such that the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A', B)$ is defined as in (2.2). Then (A_m^\bullet, d_{A_m}) is a left C -complex, where $A_m = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A', B_m)'$ and d_{A_m} is its differential. Moreover,*

$$(Tot(A), \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{L}}) = (\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A', B), D).$$

Proof. We can assume that all $A', B^i \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $A_m = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A', B_m)'$ and $F_{m,n} = (-1)^{m+n} q_{m,n} \circ (-)$ for $m < n$. Since $q_{m,n} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}^{m-n+1}(B_m, B_n)$, we have for any $f \in A_m^\bullet$,

$$F_{m,n}(f) = (-1)^{m+n} q_{m,n} \circ f \in A_n^{\bullet+m-n+1}.$$

Moreover, the Leibniz rule

$$d(q_{m,n} \circ f) = d(q_{m,n}) \circ f + (-1)^{m-n+1} q_{m,n} \circ d(f)$$

for the composition in \mathcal{C} and (2.1) together imply that

$$(-1)^n d(q_{m,n} \circ f) + (-1)^m q_{m,n} \circ d(f) + \sum_{m < k < n} (q_{k,n} \circ q_{m,k} \circ f) = 0.$$

This exactly translates to the condition (3.1) in the definition of a left C -complex. This proves the first part.

For the second part, one sees from (2.2) and (3.2) that the terms of the two complexes $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B)$ and $Tot(A)$ agree in each degree. Furthermore, using (2.3) and (3.3) and noting that A' is a single term twisted complex, we see that the two differentials also agree. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category. Let $B' \in \mathcal{C}^\oplus$ and let $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, p_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j\}$ be a twisted complex over \mathcal{C} . Assume that we have chosen distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^m, B')'$ such that the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B')$ is defined. Let $(B_m^\bullet, d_{B_m}) := (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B')', (-1)^m d_{-m})$, where d_{-m} is the differential of the complex $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B')'$. Then (B_m^\bullet, d_{B_m}) is a right C -complex. Moreover,*

$$(Tot(B), \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}) = (\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B'), D).$$

Proof. Since right C -complexes have not appeared before, we give a detailed proof in this case. We first show that (B_m^\bullet, d_{B_m}) is a right C -complex. For $m < n$, Let $E_{m,n}(f) = (-1)^{\deg(f)} f \circ p_{-n,-m}$, where $\deg(f) := r$ if $f \in (\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B')')^r$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+1} E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l}(f) &= \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+1+\deg(f)} E_{l,n}(f \circ p_{-l,-m}) \\
&= \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{2\deg(f)-l+m+1} f \circ p_{-l,-m} \circ p_{-n,-l} \\
&= \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+m+1} f \circ p_{l,n} \circ p_{m,l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Leibniz rule, for the differential d_{-m} of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B)'$,

$$d_{-n}(f \circ p_{-n,-m}) = (d_{-m}f) \circ p_{-n,-m} + (-1)^{\deg(f)} d_{-n}(p_{-n,-m}).$$

Thus, the equation (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{\deg(f)} d_{-n}(f \circ p_{-n,-m}) + (-1)^{\deg(f)+1} (d_{-m}f) \circ p_{-n,-m} \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{-n < l < -m} f \circ p_{l,-m} \circ p_{-n,l} = 0. \\
\Rightarrow &(-1)^{\deg(f)+n} d_{B_n}(f \circ p_{-n,-m}) + (-1)^{\deg(f)+m+1} d_{B_m}(f) \circ p_{-n,-m} \\
&\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{-n < l < -m} f \circ p_{l,-m} \circ p_{-n,l} = 0. \\
\Rightarrow &(-1)^n d_{B_n} \circ E_{m,n}(f) + (-1)^m E_{m,n} \circ d_{B_m}(f) + \\
&\quad \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+1} E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l}(f) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last implication follows from the definition of $E_{m,n}$'s and (3.9).

This shows that (B_m^\bullet, d_{B_m}) is a right C -complex. The proof of the second assertion follows directly by comparing the terms of both complexes and computing the two differentials using (3.7) and (2.3). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PreTr}(C)}(A, B')^p &= \bigoplus_{l+0+m=p} (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B')')^l = \bigoplus_m (\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B')')^{p-m} \\
&= \bigoplus_m B_m^{p-m} = \mathrm{Tot}(B)^p.
\end{aligned}$$

For differentials, when $f \in B_m^{p-m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}(f) &= (-1)^m d_{B_m}(f) + \sum_{n > m} (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(f) \\
&= d_{-m}(f) + \sum_{-n < -m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{p-m} f \circ p_{-n,-m},
\end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}
D(f) &= (-1)^0 d_{-m}(f) + \sum_{-n} (-1)^{(p-m)+0+(-n)+1} f \circ p_{-n,-m} \\
&= d_{-m}(f) + \sum_{-n} (-1)^{p-m+n+1} f \circ p_{-n,-m} = \mathbf{d}^{\mathbf{R}}(f),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. This proves the lemma. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category. Let $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, p_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j\}$, $B = \{(B^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i,j} : B^i \rightarrow B^j\}$ be two twisted complexes over \mathcal{C} . Use the convention $A_i := A^{-i}$. Assume that we have chosen distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A_i, B^m)'$ for which $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B)$ is defined. Then we have the following:*

- (1) *For fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the complex $A_{i,m}^\bullet = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A_i, B^m)'$ and let $d_{A_{i,m}}$ be its differential. Then, the system*

$$L(A_i, B) := \{(A_{i,m}^\bullet = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A_i, B^m)')_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, F_{m,n}\}$$

is a left \mathcal{C} -complex for some suitable $F_{m,n}$ induced from $q_{i,j}$.

- (2) *For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $T_i = \text{Tot}(A_i, B)$ be the total complex of the left \mathcal{C} -complex $L(A_i, B)$, where the differential is denoted by $\mathbf{d}_{A_i, B}^L$. Then, the system*

$$RL(A, B) := \{(T_m = \text{Tot}(A_m, B), (-1)^m \mathbf{d}_{A_m, B}^L)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, E_{m,n}\}$$

is a right \mathcal{C} -complex for some suitable $E_{m,n}$ induced from $p_{i,j}$.

- (3) *Let $\mathbb{T} = \text{Tot}(T) = \text{Tot}(\text{Tot}^*(A, B^*))$ be the total complex of the right \mathcal{C} -complex $RL(A, B)$, where the differential is denoted by $\mathbf{d}_{A, B}^{\text{RL}}$. Then, we have*

$$(\mathbb{T} = \text{Tot}(\text{Tot}^*(A, B^*)), \mathbf{d}_{A, B}^{\text{RL}}) = (\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B), D).$$

Proof. (1) is nothing but Lemma 3.5 with $A' = A^{-i}$, where $F_{m,n}$ is given for $f \in A_{i,m}^\bullet$ by $F_{m,n} = (-1)^{m+n} q_{m,n} \circ f \in A_{i,n}^{\bullet+m-n+1}$.

(2) Let $\mathbf{d} = (-1)^m \mathbf{d}_{A_m, B}^L$ for simplicity. First of all, by the definition of the total complex T_m , its degree p -term is

$$T_m^p = \text{Tot}(A_m, B)^p = \bigoplus_{m' \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{m,m'}^{p-m'} = \bigoplus_{m'} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}^{p-m'}(A^{-m}, B^{m'})'.$$

For $f \in A_{m,m'}^{p-m'} \subset T_m^p$, let

$$E_{m,n}(f) := (-1)^{p-m'} f \circ p_{-n, -m} \in A_{n,m'}^{p-m'-n+m+1} \subset T_n^{p+m-n+1}.$$

We prove that $RL(A, B)$ is a right \mathcal{C} -complex with respect to these $E_{m,n}$. But the perceptive reader will notice that when $m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ is fixed, the maps $E_{m,n}$ are defined in exactly same way as in Lemma 3.6, thus the relation

$$E_{m,n} \circ (-1)^m \mathbf{d} + (-1)^n \mathbf{d} \circ E_{m,n} + \sum_{m < l < n} (-1)^{l+1} E_{l,n} \circ E_{m,l} = 0$$

works for all $f \in A_{m,m'}^{p-m'}$ by the same proof. This proves (2).

(3) We prove that both \mathbb{T} and $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B)$ have exactly the same direct summands, and that on each component, $\mathbf{d}_{A, B}^{\text{RL}} = D$. Indeed, the degree p -term is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^p &= \bigoplus_m T_m^{p-m} = \bigoplus_m \bigoplus_{m'} A_{m,m'}^{p-m-m'} \\ &= \bigoplus_m \bigoplus_{m'} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}^{p-m-m'}(A^{-m}, B^{m'})' = \text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}^p(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Regarding the differentials, let $f \in A_{m,m'}^{p-m-m'}$, $\mathbf{d} = (-1)^m \mathbf{d}_{A_m, B}^{\mathbf{L}}$ of (2), and let $d = d_{A_{m,m'}}$ be the differential for the complex $A_{m,m'}^\bullet = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^{-m}, B^{m'})'$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{d}_{A,B}^{\mathbf{RL}}(f) &= (-1)^m \mathbf{d}(f) + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} E_{m,n}(f) \\
&= \mathbf{d}_{A_m, B}^{\mathbf{L}}(f) + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{p-m} f \circ p_{-n, -m} \\
&= \left((-1)^{m'} df + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} F_{m', n}(f) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{p-m} f \circ p_{-n, -m} \\
&= (-1)^{m'} df + \sum_{\underline{n} > m'} (-1)^{m'+n} q_{m', n} \circ f \\
&\quad + \sum_{\underline{n} > m} (-1)^{n+1} (-1)^{p-m} f \circ p_{-n, -m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{m,m'}^{p-m-m'} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}^{p-m-m'}(A^{-m}, B^{m'})'$, after a suitable re-indexing, one immediately sees that $\mathbf{d}_{A,B}^{\mathbf{RL}}(f) = D(f)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.8. The Proposition 3.7 can also be stated by (1) first taking the right \mathcal{C} -complexes fixing B^j for each j , and then (2) taking its associated total complexes, which along with varying j form a left \mathcal{C} -complex. The total complex of this out put gives the same result without affecting the final result (3). We leave the detailed formulation and its proof as an exercise.

Definition 3.9. Let \mathcal{C} be a partial dg-category. We define $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ to be a category such that

- $\text{Ob}(\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{Ob}(\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}))$
- For any two twisted complexes $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, p_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j\}$ and $B = \{(B^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i,j} : B^i \rightarrow B^j\}$, one has

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B) := H^0((\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B), D)).$$

To justify the above definition, we remark that for any pair of objects (A, B) in $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ as above, the complex $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})}(A, B)$ depends on the choice of distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})' \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})$. If we make another choice of the distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})''$, then by (P5), we have distinguished subcomplexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})'''$ contained in both $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})'$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})''$ from which we get inclusion maps

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})' \hookleftarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})''' \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_\alpha^i, B_\beta^{i'})''$$

that are quasi-isomorphisms. Then we see from Proposition 3.7(1) that for a fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a filtered system of quasi-isomorphic left \mathcal{C} -complexes $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^\oplus}(A^i, B)'$. The spectral sequence (3.4) and Proposition 3.7(2) then imply that by varying $i \in$

\mathbb{Z} , we get a filtered system of quasi-isomorphic right C -complexes $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A^i, B)'$. Finally, Proposition 3.7(3) and spectral sequence (3.8) together imply that there is a well-defined filtered system of quasi-isomorphic complexes $\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A, B)'$. In particular, $H^0(\text{Hom}_{\text{PreTr}(C)}(A, B), D)$ is canonically defined. In particular, $\text{Tr}(C)$ is an honest category.

If \mathcal{D} is a partial full subcategory of $\text{PreTr}(C)$ in the sense of Definition 2.5, then we define $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ to be the category whose objects are same as those of \mathcal{D} and whose morphisms are defined as in $\text{Tr}(C)$. It easily follows from the above discussion that $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ is an honest category and in fact is a genuine full subcategory of $\text{Tr}(C)$.

We shall call $\text{Tr}(C)$ to be the *homotopy* category of the partial category C . This terminology is inspired by the example of the dg-category $C(R)$ of complexes of modules over a commutative ring R , where the category $\text{Tr}(C(R))$ is indeed the usual homotopy category $K(R)$ of complexes of R -modules.

Proposition 3.10. *Let C be a partial dg-category. Then the homotopy category $\text{Tr}(C)$ is a triangulated category. Moreover, any functor $t : C \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of partial dg-categories induces an exact functor $\text{Tr}(t) : \text{Tr}(C) \rightarrow \text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ of triangulated categories.*

Proof. We only describe the shift functor and the distinguished triangles in $\text{Tr}(C)$. The rest of the proof follows exactly like [12, Section 4]. We skip the details and refer to *ibid.* to see that all axioms of a triangulated category are satisfied.

Let $A = \{(A^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, q_{i,j} : A^i \rightarrow A^j\}$ be an object of $\text{Tr}(C)$. The shift functor $A \mapsto A[1]$ is given by $A[1]^i = A^{i+1}$ and $q[1]_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j+1}q_{i+1,j+1}$. For a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$, $u[1]$ is given by $(u[1])^{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j}u^{i+1,j+1}$.

The cone of a morphism $u = (u^{i,j}) : A = (A^i, q_{i,j}) \rightarrow (B^i, r_{i,j}) = B$ is defined as an object $C = (C^k, t_{k,l})$, where

$$C^i = A^{i+1} \oplus B^i$$

and

$$t_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{i+j+1}q_{i+1,j+1} & 0 \\ u^{i+1,j} & (-1)^{i+j}r_{i,j} \end{pmatrix}.$$

There are natural morphisms $\alpha(u) : B \rightarrow C$ given by

$$\alpha(u)^{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ (-1)^i \delta_{i,j} 1_{L^i} \end{pmatrix} : B^i \rightarrow A^{i+1} \oplus B^i$$

and $\beta(u)^{i,j} : C \rightarrow A[1]$ is given by

$$\beta(u)^{i,j} = (\delta_{i,j} 1_{A^{i+1}}) : A^{i+1} \oplus B^i \rightarrow A^{i+1},$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is 0 if $i \neq j$, 1 if $i = j$, so that there is a distinguished triangle

$$A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow A[1]$$

in $\text{Tr}(C)$. Such triangles are called the standard distinguished triangles and an arbitrary distinguished triangle in $\text{Tr}(C)$ is the one isomorphic to a standard one. \square

Remark 3.11. If \mathcal{D} is a partial full subcategory of $\text{PreTr}(C)$ in the sense of Definition 2.5, then we have seen before that $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ is a full subcategory of $\text{Tr}(C)$. However, this may not be the inclusion of triangulated categories since $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ may not be closed under the cone construction. For example, one could take \mathcal{D} as those twisted complexes in $\text{PreTr}(C)$ in Section 6.1 where $q_{i,j}$'s are only higher Chow cycles. It is then easy to see that $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{D})$ is not a triangulated subcategory of $\text{Tr}(C)$.

4. ADDITIVE CYCLE COMPLEXES AND SOME PROPERTIES

In this section, we review the definition of additive cycle complexes from [15] and also study some of their properties which we shall need in this paper. We begin with a recall of the cubical version of Bloch's higher Chow complexes from [17, p. 298].

Set $\mathbb{P}^1 := \text{Proj } k[Y_0, Y_1]$, and set $\square^n := (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{1\})^n$. We use the coordinates (y_1, \dots, y_n) for \square^n . A *face* $F \subset \square^n$ is a closed subscheme defined by equations of the form $\{y_{i_1} = \epsilon_1, \dots, y_{i_s} = \epsilon_s\}$, where each ϵ_j is 0 or ∞ . For each $\epsilon = 0, \infty$ and each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we let $\iota_{n,i,\epsilon} : \square^{n-1} \rightarrow \square^n$ be the closed immersion given by $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \mapsto (y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, \epsilon, y_i, \dots, y_{n-1})$. The schemes $\iota_{n,i,\epsilon}(\square^{n-1})$ are called the codimension 1 faces of \square^n .

Definition 4.1. Let X be a k -variety. Let $\underline{z}^q(X, n)$ be the free abelian group generated by closed irreducible subvarieties $Z \subset X \times \square^n$ that intersect all faces of \square^n properly, i.e. in the right codimensions. The cycle $\iota_{n,i,\epsilon}^*(Z) \in \underline{z}^q(X, n-1)$ is denoted by $\partial_i^\epsilon(Z)$. Define the boundary map as $\partial := \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (\partial_i^\infty - \partial_i^0)$.

Let $\underline{z}^q(X, n)_{\text{degn}}$ be the subgroup of $\underline{z}^q(X, n)$ given by the degenerate cycles, i.e. cycles obtained by pulling back via the projections $X \times \square^n \rightarrow X \times \square^{n-1}$. Define $z^q(X, n) := \underline{z}^q(X, n) / \underline{z}^q(X, n)_{\text{degn}}$. One checks that the boundary map ∂ descends to $z^q(X, n)$, and $\partial^2 = 0$. This is the cubical higher Chow complex of X , and its homology is the higher Chow group denoted by $\text{CH}^q(X, n)$.

4.1. Additive cycle complexes. We follow the notations of [15] to define the additive cycle complexes. For a k -scheme V , let V^N be the normalization of V_{red} . Set $\mathbb{A}^1 := \text{Spec } k[t]$, $\mathbb{G}_m := \text{Spec } k[t, t^{-1}]$. For $n \geq 1$, let $B_n = \mathbb{G}_m \times \square^{n-1}$, $\overline{B}_n = \mathbb{A}^1 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$ and $\widehat{B}_n = \mathbb{P}^1 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{n-1}$, with the coordinates (t, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) on \widehat{B}_n .

Let $F_{n,i}^1$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, be the divisor $\{y_i = 1\}$, and $F_{n,0}$ the divisor $\{t = 0\}$ on \widehat{B}_n . Let $F_n^1 := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} F_{n,i}^1$ on \widehat{B}_n . A *face* F of B_n is defined by equations of the form $y_{i_1} = \epsilon_1, \dots, y_{i_s} = \epsilon_s$ where each ϵ_j is 0 or ∞ . For each $\epsilon = 0, \infty$ and each $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let $\iota_{n,i,\epsilon} : B_{n-1} \rightarrow B_n$ be the inclusion $(t, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}) \mapsto (t, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, \epsilon, y_i, \dots, y_{n-2})$, that gives a codimension 1 face.

4.1.1. Modulus conditions. The additive higher Chow cycles satisfy one additional property, other than the proper intersection with faces, called the *modulus condition*. We consider two such conditions for which the moving lemma of [15] works, which is essential in this paper:

Definition 4.2. Let X be a k -variety, and let V be an integral closed subvariety of $X \times B_n$. Let \overline{V} be the Zariski closure of V in $X \times \widehat{B}_n$, and let $\nu : \overline{V}^N \rightarrow X \times \widehat{B}_n$ be the normalization of \overline{V} . Fix an integer $m \geq 1$.

- (1) We say that V satisfies the modulus m condition M_{sum} on $X \times B_n$, if as Weil divisors on \overline{V}^N , we have

$$(m+1)[\nu^*(F_{n,0})] \leq [\nu^*(F_n^1)].$$

- (2) We say that V satisfies the modulus m condition M_{ssup} on $X \times B_n$, if there exists an integer $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that

$$(m+1)[\nu^*(F_{n,0})] \leq [\nu^*(F_{n,i}^1)]$$

as Weil divisors on \overline{V}^N .

We often say that V has the modulus condition M without mentioning m .

Definition 4.3. Let M be the modulus condition M_{sum} or M_{ssup} . Let X be an equi-dimensional k -variety, and let r, m be integers with $m \geq 1$.

(0) $\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}_r(X, 1; m)_M$ is the free abelian group on integral closed subschemes Z of $X \times \mathbb{G}_m$ of dimension r .

For $n > 1$, $\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}_r(X, n; m)_M$ is the free abelian group on integral closed subschemes Z of $X \times B_n$ of dimension $r + n - 1$ such that:

- (1) For each face F of B_n , Z intersects $X \times F$ properly on $X \times B_n$.
- (2) Z satisfies the modulus m condition M on $X \times B_n$.

If $d = \dim X$, we write for $q \geq 0$

$$\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}^q(X, n; m)_M = \underline{\mathrm{Tz}}_{d+1-q}(X, n; m)_M.$$

As shown in [15], one can check that if $Z \subset X \times B_n$ satisfies the above conditions (1) and (2), then every component of $\iota_{n,i,\epsilon}^*(Z)$ also satisfies these conditions on $X \times B_{n-1}$. As before, we let $\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}^q(X, n; m)_{M, \text{degn}}$ be the subgroup generated by the degenerate cycles.

Definition 4.4. The *additive higher Chow complex* $\mathrm{Tz}^q(X, \bullet; m)_M$ of X in codimension q and with modulus m condition M is the non-degenerate complex

$$\mathrm{Tz}^q(X, \bullet; m)_M := \underline{\mathrm{Tz}}^q(X, \bullet; m)_M / \underline{\mathrm{Tz}}^q(X, \bullet; m)_{M, \text{degn}}.$$

The boundary map is $\partial = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\partial_i^\infty - \partial_i^0)$. It satisfies $\partial^2 = 0$. The homology $\mathrm{TCH}^q(X, n; m)_M := H_n(\mathrm{Tz}^q(X, \bullet; m)_M)$ for $n \geq 1$ is the *additive higher Chow group* of X with modulus m condition M .

We shall drop the subscript M from the notations. All results of this paper work for both the modulus conditions.

4.1.2. *Total higher Chow complex.* This paper deals with the higher Chow cycles and the additive higher Chow cycles altogether:

Definition 4.5. The *total higher Chow complex* of X of codimension q with respect to modulus $m \geq 1$ is the direct sum of complexes

$$z^q(X, \bullet; m) := z^q(X, \bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Tz}^q(X, \bullet; m).$$

Its degree n homology will be denoted by

$$\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n; m) := \mathrm{CH}^q(X, n) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}^q(X, n; m).$$

By convention, for $m = 0$, we let $z^q(X, \bullet; 0)$ be the higher Chow complex $z^q(X, \bullet)$, and let $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n; 0)$ be the higher Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^q(X, n)$.

We shall need the following functoriality properties of the cycle complexes.

Lemma 4.6 (Push-forward and pull-back). *Let X, Y, Z, X', Y' be k -varieties.*

- (1) *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a projective morphism, then the push-forward $f_* : z^q(X, \bullet; m) \rightarrow z^{q'}(Y, \bullet; m)$, $q' := q + \dim Y - \dim X$, is well-defined on the level of complexes.*
If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are two projective morphisms, then we have $(g \circ f)_ = g_* \circ f_*$.*
- (2) *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat morphism, then the pull-back $f^* : z^q(Y, \bullet; m) \rightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is well-defined on the level of complexes.*
If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are two flat morphisms, then we have $(g \circ f)^ = f^* \circ g^*$.*

(3) If we have a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ f' \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

where f is flat and g is projective, then, as maps of complexes $z^q(Y', \bullet; m) \rightarrow z^{q'}(X, \bullet; m)$, we have $f^* \circ g_* = g'_* \circ f'^*$, where $q' = q + \dim X - \dim X' = q + \dim Y - \dim Y'$.

Proof. (1), (2) for the higher Chow part follow from the cubical version of [3, Proposition 1.3]. For the additive part, [14, Lemmas 3.6, 3.7] prove them for a slightly different modulus condition, so we state here that the same works for the modulus conditions M_{sum} and M_{ssup} as follows: (1) is a special case of a stronger statement [15, Proposition 5.2] that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is projective, and $Z \subset X \times B_n$ is an admissible additive cycle, then its projective image $(f \times 1_{B_n})(Z)$ is an admissible additive cycle. The push-forward is the projective image when the map $Z \rightarrow (f \times 1_{B_n})(Z)$ is generically finite, and 0 if not. For (2), the proof in [14, Lemma 3.7] works without change.

(3) This statement is part of more general compatibility of projective push-forward and flat pull-back of any cycles in Cartesian squares as in [8]. \square

4.2. Operations of correspondences on total cycles. Total higher Chow cycles on $X \times Y$ can induce two important partially defined operations on cycles in total higher Chow complexes. These operations are induced by the following external products of cycles.

4.2.1. External product. Given two k -varieties X, Y , we have the external products

$$\boxtimes : z^{q_1}(X, n_1; m) \otimes z^{q_2}(Y, n_2; m) \rightarrow z^q(X \times Y, n; m)$$

where $q = q_1 + q_2, n = n_1 + n_2$, for each integral closed admissible subschemes $Z_1 \subset X \times \square^{n_1}$ or $X \times B_{n_1}$ and $Z_2 \subset Y \times \square^{n_2}$ or $Y \times B_{n_2}$, given by $Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2 = \tau_*(Z_1 \times Z_2)$, where

$$\tau : \begin{cases} X \times \square^{n_1} \times Y \times \square^{n_2} \rightarrow X \times Y \times \square^{n_1} \times \square^{n_2} \\ X \times \square^{n_1} \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \square^{n_2-1} \rightarrow X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \square^{n_1} \times \square^{n_2-1} \\ X \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \square^{n_1-1} \times Y \times \square^{n_2} \rightarrow X \times Y \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \square^{n_1-1} \times \square^{n_2} \end{cases}$$

are the corresponding transpositions. By convention, the product \boxtimes of two additive admissible cycles is zero. If only one of Z_1 and Z_2 is an additive admissible cycle, then $Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2$ is an additive admissible cycle by [14, Lemma 4.2]. Hence the above external products \boxtimes is well-defined. Note that \boxtimes is distributive over sums.

4.2.2. Cup product. Given $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, we have partially defined products

$$\cup_X : z^{q_1}(X, n_1; m) \otimes z^{q_2}(X, n_2; m) \dashrightarrow z^q(X, n; m)$$

where $q = q_1 + q_2, n = n_1 + n_2$, given by the formula

$$Z_1 \cup_X Z_2 = \delta_X^*(Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2),$$

if the pull-back via the diagonal $\delta_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$ makes sense. While \boxtimes is always defined, the pull-back δ_X^* is defined only on a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X \times X, \bullet; m)'$ by Lemma 5.7-(1).

Remark 4.7. The notation \cap_X was used in [14, §4] for the second and the third cases of the above. We uniformly use the notation \cup_X for simplicity.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of k -varieties.*

- (0) *The cup product is associative, and distributive over sums, whenever they are defined.*
- (1) *We have $f^*(Z_1 \cup_Y Z_2) = f^*(Z_1) \cup_X f^*(Z_2)$, whenever all expressions are defined.*
- (2) *If f is both flat and projective, then whenever all expressions are defined, we have the projection formulas*

$$f_*(f^*(Z_1) \cup_X Z_2) = Z_1 \cup_Y f_*(Z_2), f_*(Z_1 \cup_X f^*(Z_2)) = f_*(Z_1) \cup_Y Z_2.$$

Proof. (0) Consider for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, integral closed admissible subschemes $Z_i \subset X \times \times \square^{n_i}$ or $X \times B_{n_i}$.

We show that $(Z_1 \cup_X Z_2) \cup_X Z_3 = Z_1 \cup_X (Z_2 \cup_X Z_3)$, if all cup-products are defined. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times X & \xrightarrow{\delta_X \times 1} & X \times X \times X \\ \delta_X \uparrow & & \uparrow 1 \times \delta_X \\ X & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & X \times X \end{array}$$

from which we get $\delta_X^*(\delta_X \times 1)^* = \delta_X^*(1 \times \delta_X^*)$ by Lemma 4.6-(2). Since \boxtimes is associative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_1 \cup_X Z_2) \cup Z_3 &= \delta_X^*(\delta_X^*(Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2) \boxtimes Z_3) \\ &= \delta_X^*((\delta_X \times 1)^*((Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2) \boxtimes Z_3)) \\ &= \delta_X^*((1 \times \delta_X)^*(Z_1 \boxtimes (Z_2 \boxtimes Z_3))) \\ &= Z_1 \cup_X (Z_2 \cup_X Z_3). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the associativity. The distributive law is obvious by definition.

- (1) Note that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\delta_X} & X \times X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \times f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\delta_Y} & Y \times Y \end{array}$$

from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} f^* \circ \delta_Y^* &= (\delta_Y \circ f)^* && \text{(by Lemma 4.6-(2))} \\ &= ((f \times f) \circ \delta_X)^* \\ &= \delta_X^* \circ (f \times f)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by a direct calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(Z_1 \cup_Y Z_2) &= f^*(\delta_Y^*(Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2)) \\ &= \delta_X^*((f \times f)^*(Z_1 \boxtimes Z_2)) \\ &= \delta_X^*(f^*(Z_1) \boxtimes f^*(Z_2)) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. For (2), one can follow [14, Theorem 4.10]. \square

4.2.3. *Push-forward by correspondences.* Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let $v \in z^{q_2}(X \times Y, n_2; m)$. Then, we have partially defined push-forward maps of complexes

$$v_* : z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m) \dashrightarrow z^q(Y, \bullet + n_2; m),$$

where $q = q_1 + q_2 - \dim X$, given by $v_*(Z) := p_{Y*}(v \cup_{X \times Y} p_X^*(Z))$, and p_X, p_Y are the obvious projections. If one writes $v = (\alpha, f)$ where α is the higher Chow cycle and f is the additive cycle, then one has $v_* = \alpha_* + f_*$.

4.2.4. *Composition by correspondences.* Let $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$. We have partially defined compositions

$$(-) \circ (-) : z^{q_2}(Y \times Z, \bullet; m) \otimes z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m) \dashrightarrow z^q(X \times Z, \bullet; m),$$

where $q = q_1 + q_2 - \dim Y$, given by

$$v \otimes u \mapsto v \circ u := p_{XZ}^{XYZ} (p_{YZ}^{XYZ*}(v) \cup p_{XY}^{XYZ*}(u)),$$

where $\cup = \cup_{X \times Y \times Z}$, and p_{XZ}^{XYZ} , etc. are the obvious projections. Since \cup is distributive over sums of cycles, if one writes a cycle v as $v = (\alpha, f)$, where α_i is a higher Chow cycle and g_i , an additive one, we deduce the composition law

$$(4.1) \quad (\alpha_2, f_2) \circ (\alpha_1, f_1) = (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \circ f_1 + f_2 \circ \alpha_1).$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$.*

- (1) *For three higher Chow cycles α_1 on $X \times Y$, α_2 on $Y \times Z$, and α_3 on $Z \times W$, we have $(\alpha_3 \circ \alpha_2) \circ \alpha_1 = \alpha_3 \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1)$, if all compositions are defined.*
- (2) *Let $f \in \text{Tz}^{q_1}(X \times Y, n_1; m)$, $\alpha_1 \in z^{q_2}(Y \times Z, n_2)$, $\alpha_2 \in z^{q_3}(Z \times W, n_3)$. Then we have $(\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1) \circ f = \alpha_2 \circ (\alpha_1 \circ f)$, if all compositions are defined.*

Similarly, for cycles on appropriate spaces, we have $(\alpha_1 \circ f) \circ \alpha_2 = \alpha_1 \circ (f \circ \alpha_2)$, and $(f \circ \alpha_1) \circ \alpha_2 = f \circ (\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2)$ if all compositions are defined, where α_i are higher Chow cycles, and g are additive higher Chow cycles.

- (3) *The composition law of (4.1) for the total correspondences is associative whenever the compositions are defined.*

Proof. (1) is proven in [12].

(2) We let p_{XY}^{XYZ} , etc. be the obvious projections, but the projections from $X \times Y \times Z \times W$ to, say $X \times Z$, will be denoted by p_{XZ} instead of p_{XZ}^{XYZW} . We prove the first equation $(\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1) \circ f = \alpha_2 \circ (\alpha_1 \circ f)$. From the RHS, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_2 \circ (\alpha_1 \circ f) \\ &= p_{XW}^{XZW} \{ p_{ZW}^{XZW*}(\alpha_2) \cup p_{XZ}^{XZW*}(\alpha_1 \circ f) \} \\ &= p_{XW}^{XZW} [p_{ZW}^{XZW*}(\alpha_2) \cup p_{XZ}^{XZW*} \{ p_{XZ}^{XYZ} (p_{YZ}^{XYZ*}(\alpha_1) \cup p_{XY}^{XYZ*}(f)) \}] \\ &= p_{XW}^{XZW} [p_{ZW}^{XZW*}(\alpha_2) \cup p_{XZW}^* \{ p_{XYZ}^* (p_{YZ}^{XYZ*}(\alpha_1) \cup p_{XY}^{XYZ*}(f)) \}] \\ & \quad \text{(by Lemma 4.6-(3))} \\ &= p_{XW}^{XZW} [p_{ZW}^{XZW*}(\alpha_2) \cup p_{XZW}^* \{ p_{YZ}^*(\alpha_1) \cup p_{XY}^*(f) \}] \\ & \quad \text{(by Lemma 4.8-(1) and Lemma 4.6-(2))} \\ &= p_{XW}^{XZW} [p_{XZW}^* \{ p_{XZW}^* (p_{ZW}^{XZW*}(\alpha_2)) \cup (p_{YZ}^*(\alpha_1) \cup p_{XY}^*(f)) \}] \\ & \quad \text{(by the projection formula, Lemma 4.8-(2))} \\ &= p_{XW}^* \{ p_{ZW}^*(\alpha_2) \cup (p_{YZ}^*(\alpha_1) \cup p_{XY}^*(f)) \} \\ &= p_{XW}^* \{ (p_{ZW}^*(\alpha_2) \cup p_{YZ}^*(\alpha_1)) \cup p_{XY}^*(f) \} \text{ (by Lemma 4.8(0)).} \end{aligned}$$

By the same kind of calculations with LHS, we get to the last expression. Hence, we get first equation. The other two equations are proven in exactly the same fashion.

(3) Let $v_i = (\alpha_i, f_i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ be total correspondences for which $v_1 \circ v_2$, $v_2 \circ v_3$, and $(v_1 \circ v_2) \circ v_3$, $v_1 \circ (v_2 \circ v_3)$ are defined. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(v_1 \circ v_2) \circ v_3 &= ((\alpha_1, f_1) \circ (\alpha_2, f_2)) \circ (\alpha_3, f_3) \\
&= (\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \circ f_2 + f_1 \circ \alpha_2) \circ (\alpha_3, f_3) \\
&= ((\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2) \circ \alpha_3, (\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2) \circ f_3 \\
&\quad + (\alpha_1 \circ f_2) \circ \alpha_3 + (f_1 \circ \alpha_2) \circ \alpha_3), \\
v_1 \circ (v_2 \circ v_3) &= (\alpha_1, f_1) \circ ((\alpha_2, f_2) \circ (\alpha_3, f_3)) \\
&= (\alpha_1, f_1) \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3, \alpha_2 \circ f_3 + f_2 \circ \alpha_3) \\
&= (\alpha_1 \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3), \alpha_1 \circ (\alpha_2 \circ f_3) \\
&\quad + \alpha_1 \circ (f_2 \circ \alpha_3) + f_1 \circ (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (1) and (2) imply the equality $(v_1 \circ v_2) \circ v_3 = v_1 \circ (v_2 \circ v_3)$. \square

5. MOVING LEMMA AND DISTINGUISHED SUBCOMPLEXES

In this section, we define a class of distinguished subcomplexes for the total higher Chow complexes, and study its properties. This is technically the most important part. The new ingredient behind this definition is the moving lemma for additive higher Chow groups of smooth projective varieties in [15] and its refinement discussed below. In this section, $m \geq 0$ is a fixed integer and all the results hold for any of the modulus conditions considered in Section 4.

5.1. A refined moving lemma. The moving lemma for higher Chow groups from [3] (*cf.* [12] for the cubical version) and additive higher Chow groups from [15, Theorem 4.1] of smooth projective varieties together imply the following form of moving lemma:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$. Let \mathcal{W} be a finite set of irreducible locally closed subsets of X . Then, the inclusion $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m) \hookrightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Remark 5.2. By [15, Remarks 4.3, 4.4], the above theorem is equivalent to that the inclusion $z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m) \hookrightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all set functions $e : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where

$$z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m) := z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Tz}_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m),$$

and $z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, n)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Tz}_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, n; m)$) is defined as follows: first, let $z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, n)$ (resp. $\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, n; m)$) be the subgroup of $z^q(X, n)$ (resp. $\underline{\mathrm{Tz}}^q(X, n; m)$) generated by integral closed subschemes $Z \subset X \times \square^n$ (resp. $Z \subset X \times B_n$) such that $\mathrm{codim}_{W \times F}(Z \cap (W \times F)) \geq q - e(\mathcal{W})$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and all faces F of \square^n (resp. all faces F of B_n). We let $z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ be the image of $z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m) = z_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, n) \oplus \underline{\mathrm{Tz}}_{\mathcal{W},e}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ in $z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ via the projection modulo the degenerate cycles.

This paper requires a bit finer form of moving lemma than Theorem 5.1. We allow the following more general collections \mathcal{W} of varieties:

Definition 5.3 (c.f. [14, Definition 2.1] [12, p.112]). Let $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ and let T_1, \dots, T_n be finitely many k -schemes of finite type over k . Let \mathcal{W} be a finite set

of irreducible locally closed subsets $W_i \subset X \times T_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. For each face $F \subset \square^n$ and $F \subset B_n$, let $p_{F,i} : X \times F \times T_i \rightarrow X \times T_i$ be the projection.

Let $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m) \subset z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ be the direct sum of subcomplexes $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet)$ in $z^q(X, \bullet)$ and $\mathbb{T}z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ in $\mathbb{T}z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, where $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet)$ is generated by integral closed subschemes $Z \subset X \times \square^n$ such that, additionally, for each face $F \subset \square^n$, two sets $p_{F,i}^{-1}(W_i)$ and $(Z \cap (X \times F)) \times T_i$ intersect properly on $X \times F \times T_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. The complex $\mathbb{T}z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is defined similarly.

The image of $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ in $z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, under the projection modulo degenerate cycles, is called a *distinguished subcomplex* of $z^q(X, \bullet; m)$. Similarly, one defines the complexes $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet)$ and $\mathbb{T}z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ modulo degenerate cycles, and they are called distinguished subcomplexes of $z^q(X, \bullet)$ and $\mathbb{T}z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, respectively. If the reference to the set \mathcal{W} is not necessary, then we simply write $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$ for any distinguished subcomplex.

Remark 5.4. As a special case, take all $T_i = \text{Spec}(k)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Then, we recover the complex $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m)$ in Theorem 5.1.

We now discuss a refined version of the moving lemma:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let \mathcal{W} be a finite set of irreducible k -varieties as in Definition 5.3. Then, the inclusion $z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m) \hookrightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. One can prove it using arguments similar to those in [14, Proposition 2.2] together with Theorem 5.1, and Remark 5.2: for each $W_i \subset X \times T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, in the set \mathcal{W} , we form the constructible subsets of X

$$C_{i,d} := \{x \in X \mid (x \times T_i) \cap W_i \text{ contains a component of dimension } \geq d\}.$$

Write each $C_{i,d} \setminus C_{i,d-1}$ as a union of irreducible locally closed subsets $C_{i,d}^j$. Let $\mathcal{C} := \{C_{i,d}^j \mid i, d, j\}$, and let $e : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the set-theoretic function defined by $e(C_{i,d}^j) := \dim W_i - d - \dim C_{i,d}^j$, which is always ≥ 0 . One can then check by comparing the defining conditions, that

$$z_{\mathcal{C},e}^q(X, \bullet; m) = z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m).$$

By the moving lemma of Theorem 5.1 and Remark 5.2, the image of the left is quasi-isomorphic to $z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, thus so does the image of the right hand side. This proves the theorem. \square

The following obvious result is very frequently used in this paper, so we record it here. (*cf.* (P5) in Definition 2.1, Proposition 6.1)

Lemma 5.6. *For $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, let $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$, $z^q(X, \bullet; m)''$ be two distinguished subcomplexes. Then, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'''$ contained in both $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$ and $z^q(X, \bullet; m)''$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{W}' , \mathcal{W}'' be the finite sets as in Definition 5.3 that give the complexes $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$, $z^q(X, \bullet; m)''$, respectively.

Take all the set T_i 's used to give \mathcal{W}' and \mathcal{W}'' (as in Definition 5.3), and collect all of W_i 's in \mathcal{W}' and \mathcal{W}'' to define \mathcal{W} . This gives $z^q(X, \bullet; m)''' := z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m) = z^q(X, \bullet; m)' \cap z^q(X, \bullet; m)''$. \square

The following useful lemma is backed by the refined moving lemma:

Lemma 5.7. *Let X, Y, Z be k -varieties.*

- (1) Suppose $Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be any morphism. Then, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which the pull-back $f^* : z^q(Y, \bullet; m)' \rightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$ is well-defined on the level of complexes.
- (2) Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be any morphism. Then, given any distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which the pull-back f^* is well-defined, and we have $f^*(z^q(Y, \bullet; m)') \subset z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$.
- (3) Let $X, Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism. Then, given any distinguished subcomplex $z^{q'}(Y, \bullet; m)'$, where $q' := q + \dim Y - \dim X$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$ such that $f_*(z^q(X, \bullet; m)') \subset z^{q'}(Y, \bullet; m)'$.

Proof. A similar but weaker statement was proven in [15, Theorem 7.1] using Theorem 5.1. A more efficient proof is provided here with Theorem 5.5. (1) As in Definition 5.3, we take $T = X$, and take $W = {}^t\Gamma_f \subset Y \times T$, the transpose of the graph of f . Take $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)' := z_{\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}}^q(Y, \bullet; m)$. Then, it gives a natural pull-back $p_Y^* : z_{\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}}^q(Y, \bullet; m) \rightarrow z_{\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}}^q(Y \times X, \bullet; m)$, where p_Y is the projection $X \times Y \rightarrow Y$, and the subscript $\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}$ is in the sense of Remark 5.4. Composing with the Gysin chain map induced by the regular embedding ${}^t\text{Graph}_f : X \rightarrow Y \times X$ (see [15, Corollary 7.2]) $z_{\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}}^q(Y \times X, \bullet; m) \rightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, one gets $f^* : z_{\{{}^t\Gamma_f\}}^q(Y, \bullet; m) \rightarrow z^q(X, \bullet; m)$, as desired.

(2) Let \mathcal{W} be a set of $W_i \subset X \times T_i$, for some k -schemes with $i = 1, \dots, N$ with the desired properties as in Definition 5.3 that gives the given distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$.

Then one takes for \mathcal{W}' , the collection of the sets $p_Y^{-1}(W_i) \subset Y \times S_i$, with $S_i := X \times T_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, and ${}^t\Gamma_f \subset Y \times S_{N+1}$ with $S_{N+1} := X$, where $p_Y : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$ is the projection. Take $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)' := z_{\mathcal{W}'}^q(Y, \bullet; m)$. Then $f^*(z_{\mathcal{W}'}^q(Y, \bullet; m)) \subset z_{\mathcal{W}}^q(X, \bullet; m) = z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$ as desired.

(3) We drop the codimensions when no confusion arises to simplify our notations. Let \mathcal{W} be such that $z_{\mathcal{W}}(Y, \bullet; m)$ is the given distinguished subcomplex $z_{\mathcal{W}}(Y, \bullet; m)'$. Assume that the set \mathcal{W} is given by the irreducible closed subvarieties $W_i \subset Y \times T_i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, for some k -schemes T_i .

Consider $(f \times 1_{T_i})^{-1}(W_i) \subset X \times T_i$, and write W_{ij} for the irreducible components of $(f \times 1_{T_i})^{-1}(W_i)$. Let $\mathcal{W}' = \{W_{ij} | i, j\}$. Then we have $f_*(z_{\mathcal{W}'}(X, \bullet; m)) \subset z_{\mathcal{W}}(Y, \bullet; m) = z(Y, \bullet; m)'$. This finishes the proof. \square

5.1.1. *Distinguished subcomplexes and the operations.* Let's have a closer look at the above partially defined operations using the refined moving lemma. The following proposition summarizes some essential results we need later in the paper. This generalizes [12, Propositions 1.4, 1.5] (cf. [14, Proposition 2.5]) to include additive higher Chow cycles.

Proposition 5.8. *Let $X, Y, Z, W \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$. Let $q_i, n_i \geq 0$ be integers. Then we have the following properties:*

- (1a) *Given $w \in z^{q_2}(X, n_2; m)$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$ on which $w \cup_X (-)$ is defined, and similarly for $(-) \cup_X w$.*
- (1b) *Given $w \in z^{q_2}(X, n_2; m)$ and a given distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$, with $q = q_1 + q_2$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$ on which $w \cup_X (-)$ is defined, and we have $w \cup_X (z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)') \subset z^q(X, \bullet + n_2; m)'$. Similarly for $(-) \cup_X w$.*

- (2a) Given $v \in z^{q_2}(X \times Y, n_2)$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)$ on which v_* is defined.
- (2b) In addition to (2a), given $w \in z^{q_3}(Y \times Z, n_3)$ such that $\beta \circ v$ is defined, there exist distinguished subcomplexes $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$ and $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)'$, where $q = q_1 + q_2 - \dim X$, such that
- (i) both v_* and $(w \circ v)_*$ are defined on $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$,
 - (ii) w_* is defined on $z^q(Y, \bullet; m)'$,
 - (iii) $v_*(z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)') \subset z^q(Y, \bullet + n_2; m)'$, and
 - (iv) $w_* \circ v_* = (w \circ v)_*$ on $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$.
- (3a) Given $v \in z^{q_2}(Y \times Z, n_2; m)$, there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which $v \circ (-)$ is defined.
- (3b) In addition to (3a), given $w \in z^{q_3}(Z \times W, n_3; m)$ such that $w \circ v$ is defined, there exist distinguished subcomplexes $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ and $z^q(X \times Z, \bullet; m)'$, where $q = q_1 + q_2 - \dim Y$, such that
- (i) $v \circ (-)$, $(w \circ v) \circ (-)$ are defined on $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$,
 - (ii) $w \circ (-)$ is defined on $z^q(X \times Z, \bullet; m)'$,
 - (iii) $v \circ (z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)') \subset z^q(X \times Z, \bullet + n_2; m)'$, and
 - (iv) $w \circ (v \circ (-)) = (w \circ v) \circ (-)$ on $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$.
- The same works for compositions from the right.
- (3c) The same works for any finite sequence of the above operations.

Proof. (1a) is a special case of (1b). For (1b), given $w \in z^{q_2}(X, n_2; m)$ and a distinguished subcomplex $z^q(X, \bullet; m)'$, by Lemma 5.7-(2) there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z_{\mathcal{W}_1}^q(X \times X, \bullet; m)$ on which δ_X^* is defined, and $\delta_X^*(z_{\mathcal{W}_1}^q(X \times X, \bullet + n_2; m))$ is contained in $z^q(X, \bullet + n_2; m)'$. Then, it is enough to find a set \mathcal{W}_2 for which we have $w \boxtimes z_{\mathcal{W}_2}^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m) \subset z_{\mathcal{W}_1}^q(X \times X, \bullet + n_2; m)$. We may assume w is an irreducible closed subvariety of $X \times \square^{n_2}$ by Lemma 5.6. If \mathcal{W}_1 is given by $\mathcal{W}_1 = \{W_i \subset X \times X \times T_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$, then for \mathcal{W}_2 we take $\mathcal{W}_2 = \{W_i \subset X \times S_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\} \cup \{w \subset X \times S_{N+1}\}$, where $S_i = X \times T_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $S_{N+1} = \square^{n_2}$. This proves (1b).

(2a) Recall that for $Z \in z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)$, if defined, the push-forward $v_*(Z)$ is given by the expression $v_*(Z) := p_{Y^*}^{XY}(v \cup_{X \times Y} p_X^{XY*}(Z))$. By (1a), there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which the product $v \cup_{X \times Y} (-)$ is defined on $z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$. By Lemma 5.7-(2), there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$ such that $p_X^{XY*}(z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)') \subset z^{q_1}(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$. Since p_Y^{XY} is projective, $p_{Y^*}^{XY}$ is everywhere defined on $z^{q_1}(X \times X, \bullet; m)'$. Hence everywhere on $z^{q_1}(X, \bullet; m)'$, the push-forward v_* is defined, proving (2a).

(2b) We first show (iv) that $w_* \circ v_* = (w \circ v)_*$, if defined. The notations p_X^{XY} etc. are the obvious projections, while the projections from $X \times Y \times Z$ are simply denoted by p_{YZ} , instead of p_{YZ}^{XYZ} . For a cycle Z on X for which $(w \circ v)_*(Z)$, $(w_* \circ v_*)(Z)$ are defined, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(w \circ v)_*(Z) &= p_{Z^*}^{XZ}((w \circ v) \cup p_X^{XZ*}(Z)) \\
&= p_{Z^*}^{XZ} \{ p_{XZ^*}^{XYZ} (p_{YZ^*}^{XYZ}(w) \cup p_{XY^*}^{XYZ}(v)) \cup p_X^{XZ*}(Z) \} \\
&= p_{Z^*}^{XZ} [p_{XZ^*} \{ (p_{YZ}^*(w) \cup p_{XY}^*(v)) \cup p_X^*(p_X^{XZ*}(Z)) \}] \\
&\quad : \text{by projection formula, Lemma 4.8(2)} \\
&= p_{Z^*} \{ (p_{YZ}^*(w) \cup p_{XY}^*(v)) \cup p_X^*(Z) \} : \text{Lemma 4.6(1,2)} \\
&= p_{Z^*} \{ p_{YZ}^*(w) \cup (p_{XY}^*(v) \cup p_X^*(Z)) \} : \text{Lemma 4.8(0)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= p_{Z*}^{YZ} [p_{YZ*} \{p_{YZ}^*(w) \cup (p_{XY}^*(v) \cup p_X^*(Z))\}] : \text{Lemma 4.6(1)} \\
&= p_{Z*}^{YZ} \{w \cup p_{YZ*} (p_{XY}^*(v) \cup p_X^*(Z))\} : \text{Lemma 4.8(2)} \\
&= p_{Z*}^{YZ} \{w \cup p_{YZ*} (p_{XY}^*(v) \cup p_{XY}^* p_X^{XY*}(Z))\} : \text{Lemma 4.6(2)} \\
&= p_{Z*}^{YZ} [w \cup p_{YZ*} \{p_{XY}^*(v \cup p_X^{XY*}(Z))\}] : \text{Lemma 4.6(1)} \\
&= p_{Z*}^{YZ} [w \cup p_Y^{YZ*} \{p_Y^{XY*}(v \cup p_X^{XY*}(Z))\}] : \text{Lemma 4.6(3)} \\
&= w_* \{p_Y^{XY*}(v \cup p_X^{XY*}(Z))\} = (w_* \circ v_*)(Z).
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of (2b) is similar to (2a), but a bit more is involved. Whenever the codimensions we consider are apparent, we will drop them for simplicity. Given $w \in z(Y \times Z, n_3; m)$, by (2a) we have a distinguished subcomplex $z(Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which w_* is defined, which gives (ii). By

Lemma 5.7-(3), one can find a distinguished subcomplex $z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad p_{Y*}^{XY}(z(X \times Y, \bullet + n_2; m)') \subset z(Y, \bullet + n_2; m)'.$$

Now, for $v \in z(X \times Y, n_2; m)$, by (1b) we have a distinguished subcomplex $z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ on which $v \cup_{X \times Y} (-)$ is well-defined, and

$$(5.2) \quad v \cup_{X \times Y} (z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)') \subset z(X \times Y, \bullet + n_2; m)'.$$

By Lemma 5.7-(2), one can find a distinguished subcomplex $z(X, \bullet; m)'$ such that

$$(5.3) \quad p_X^{XY*}(z(X, \bullet; m)') \subset z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'.$$

On the other hand, by (2a) applied to v_* and $(w \circ v)_*$ (with Lemma 5.6), one can replace $z(X, \bullet; m)'$ by a smaller distinguished subcomplex, denoted by the same symbols, $z(X, \bullet; m)'$ on which v_* and $(w \circ v)_*$ are all defined, which gives (i).

Now combining the above, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
v_*(z(X, \bullet; m)') &= p_{Y*}^{XY}(v \cup p_X^{XY*}(z(X, \bullet; m)')) \\
&\subset p_{Y*}^{XY}(v \cup z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)') \quad (5.3) \\
&\subset p_{Y*}^{XY}(z(X \times Y, \bullet + n_2; m)') \quad (5.2) \\
&\subset z(Y, \bullet + n_2; m)' \quad (5.1),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (iii). This proves all of (2b).

(3a) Again, we drop the codimensions from our notations, whenever no confusion arises. We let p_{XY} , etc. be the projections from $X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ to $X \times Y$, etc. Given $v \in z(Y \times Z, n_2; m)$, for the fixed $p_{YZ}^*(v) \in z(X \times Y \times Z, n_2; m)$, by (1a), there exists a distinguished subcomplex $z(X \times Y \times Z, \bullet, m)'$ on which the operation $p_{YZ}^*(v) \cup (-)$ is well-defined. Now, by Lemma 5.7-(2), one can find a distinguished subcomplex $z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ such that $p_{XY}^*(z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)') \subset z(X \times Y \times Z, \bullet; m)'$. Since p_{XZ*} is everywhere defined, on $z(X \times Y, \bullet; m)'$ the operation $v \circ (-) = p_{XZ*}(p_{YZ}^*(v) \cup p_{XY}^*(-))$ is well-defined. This solves (3a).

(3b) The part (vi) is Lemma 4.9-(3). The rest of the proof is similar to (3a), but it is a bit more involved. In fact, one can imitate the arguments for (2b). The reader is encouraged to try its proof following (2b) with suitable changes. The arguments for the compositions from the right are similar. (3c) is obvious from all of the above. \square

Corollary 5.9. *For $X_i, Y_i \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, given finitely many $v_i \in z^{s_i}(Y_i \times Y_{i+1}, n_i; m)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and $w_j \in z^{s'_j}(X_{j+1} \times X_j, n'_j; m)$, $j = 1, \dots, N'$ for which the compositions $v_N \circ \dots \circ v_1$ and $w_1 \circ \dots \circ w_{N'}$ are defined, there exists a distinguished*

subcomplex $z^q(X_1 \times Y_1, \bullet; m)'$ on which the composition $v_N \circ \dots \circ v_1 \circ (-) \circ w_1 \circ \dots \circ w_N$ is well-defined without ambiguity.

Proof. To find a distinguished subcomplex on which the composition is well-defined, one repeatedly applies Proposition 5.8, and Lemma 5.6. Once the compositions are defined, by the associativity the composition in question is unambiguous. This finishes the proof. \square

6. THE CATEGORY $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$

In this section, we construct our category of mixed motives $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ over $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$ using the results of the previous sections. The strategy is to define a “category” \mathcal{C} which is shown to be a partial dg-category using the results of Section 5. The desired category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ will be the pseudo-abelian hull of the homotopy category $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} . So we first describe our partial dg-category. We fix an integer $m \geq 0$.

6.1. Partial dg-category \mathcal{C} . The partial dg-category \mathcal{C} has for objects, the pairs (X, r) for $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. The objects have the product structure via $(X, r) \otimes (Y, s) = (X \times Y, r + s)$, the dual structure $(X, r)^\vee = (X, \dim X - r)$, and the internal hom $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((X, r), (Y, s)) = (X, r)^\vee \otimes (Y, s)$. If $m \geq 1$, one associates for each object, a right bounded complex

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{Z}((X, r); m) := z^r(X, -\bullet; m) = z^r(X, -\bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Tz}^r(X, -\bullet; m),$$

which is the direct sum of the higher Chow complex and the additive higher Chow complex, seen as a cohomological complex by using $-\bullet$. For $m = 0$, by convention

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{Z}((X, r); 0) := z^r(X, -\bullet; 0) = z^r(X, -\bullet),$$

the higher Chow complex of X . For two objects $(X, r), (Y, s) \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, one defines the morphism to be the above complex for the internal hom $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}((X, r), (Y, s))$, namely,

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((X, r), (Y, s)) = \mathcal{Z}((X, r)^\vee \otimes (Y, s); m).$$

Given two cycles $v_i = (\alpha_i, f_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ where α_1, α_2 are higher Chow cycles on $X \times Y$ and $Y \times Z$ respectively and f_1, f_2 are additive higher Chow cycles on $X \times Y$ and $Y \times Z$ respectively, we defined their composition by

$$(6.3) \quad v_2 \circ v_1 = (\alpha_2, f_2) \circ (\alpha_1, f_1) := (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \circ f_1 + f_2 \circ \alpha_1)$$

whenever these compositions of cycles are defined.

If (X, r) is an object of \mathcal{C} , we define the unit endomorphism as the morphism of chain complexes

$$(6.4) \quad \mathbb{I}_{(X, r)} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}((X, r); m)$$

given by $1 \mapsto [\Delta_X]$, where $[\Delta_X]$ is the class of the diagonal in $z^{\dim X}(X \times_k X, 0)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((X, r), (X, r)) &= \mathcal{Z}((X, \dim X - r) \otimes (X, r); m) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}(X \times X, \dim X; m) \\ &= z^{\dim X}(X \times X, -\bullet) \oplus \mathrm{Tz}^{\dim X}(X \times X, -\bullet; m). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Tz}^{\dim X}(X \times X, 0; m) = 0$, we see that $\mathbb{I}_{(X, r)}$ is a well defined map of complexes. It is well-known and easy to check that for any $X, Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, the compositions

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_Y \circ (-) &: z^r(X \times Y, \bullet; m) \dashrightarrow z^r(X \times Y, \bullet; m), \\ (-) \circ \Delta_X &: z^r(X \times Y, \bullet; m) \dashrightarrow z^r(X \times Y, \bullet; m) \end{aligned}$$

are partially defined morphisms which are identity.

Proposition 6.1. \mathcal{C} is a partial dg-category.

Proof. To prove the proposition, we first describe the classes of *distinguished subcomplexes* and then verify all the axioms of Definition 2.1. For $A = (X, r), B = (Y, s) \in \mathcal{C}$, we let the class $S(A, B)$ to be the class of distinguished subcomplexes $z_{\mathcal{W}}^{r+s}(X \times Y, -\bullet; m)$ in the sense of Definition 5.3.

The “unit” endomorphism of axiom (P3), $\mathbb{I}_{(X,r)} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}((X, r); m)$ is defined in (6.4). The axiom (P4) is verified in Proposition 5.8. The axiom (P5) follows directly from the stronger assertion in Lemma 5.6. The associativity part of axiom (P6) is proven in Proposition 5.8 (3b)(iv), and the identity action of the unit morphism is shown in (6.5). Thus \mathcal{C} is a partial dg-category. \square

6.2. The category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. Let \mathcal{C} be the partial dg-category described in Section 6.1. Proposition 6.1 implies that \mathcal{C} is indeed a partial dg-category. In particular, it follows from Proposition 3.10 that $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ is a triangulated category.

Definition 6.2. We define $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ to be the pseudo-abelian hull of the triangulated category $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$.

It follows from [1, Theorem 1.5] that $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ is also a triangulated category such that there is an exact inclusion functor $\tau : \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. The category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ will be called the triangulated category of mixed motives over the ring $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$ for given $m \geq 0$.

Remark 6.3. It is easy to see from the definition of \mathcal{C} and from (6.2) that $\mathcal{DM}(k; 0)$ is the same as the integral version of Hanamura’s triangulated category of mixed motives $\mathcal{DM}(k)$ over k .

Definition 6.4. We define the *motive functor with the modulus m augmentation* $h : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ as

$$(6.6) \quad h(X) = ((X, 0), 0),$$

where the morphisms $f : X \rightarrow Y$ are sent to the graph $\Gamma_f \in \text{CH}^{\dim Y}(X \times Y, 0)$. Note that the term $((X, 0), 0)$ on the right of (6.6) is a twisted complex where the correspondences $q_{i,j}$ ’s are all zero.

6.3. Some structural properties of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. We now discuss some structural properties of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ which essentially follow from our construction and the proofs of similar results in [12].

6.3.1. Duals. For an object $A = (A_i, q_{i,j}) \in \text{Ob}(\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}))$, define its dual object $A^\vee = ((A^\vee)_i, (q^\vee)_{i,j})$ by the relations

$$(A^\vee)_i := (A_{-i})^\vee, \quad \text{where the RHS } \vee \text{ is in the sense of duals for } \mathcal{C}^\oplus,$$

$$(q^\vee)_{i,j} := (-1)^{ij-j+1} {}^t q_{-j,-i}.$$

That A^\vee is an object of $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ can be checked easily.

6.3.2. *Monoidal structure.* For two objects $A = (A_i, q_{i,j})$, $A' = (A'_i, q'_{i,j})$, we define their product $A \otimes A' = (M_i, h_{i,j})$ to be given as follows: for each i , we let

$$M_i := \bigoplus_{i_1+i_2=i} A_{i_1} \otimes A'_{i_2}, \quad \text{where the RHS } \otimes \text{ is for } \mathcal{C}^\oplus.$$

If $A_i = \bigoplus_{\alpha} A_{i,\alpha}$, $A'_i = \bigoplus_{\beta} A'_{i,\beta}$, where $A_{i,\alpha}, A'_{i,\beta} \in \mathcal{C}$, then we can write M_i as

$$M_i = \bigoplus_{i_1+i_2=i} \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta} A_{i_1,\alpha} \otimes A_{i_2,\beta}.$$

The morphism $h_{i,j} : M_i \rightarrow M_j$ of degree $i - j + 1$ in \mathcal{C}^\oplus is given by combining various morphisms

$$h_{(j_1,j_2,\alpha',\beta')}^{(i_1,i_2,\alpha,\beta)} : A_{i_1,\alpha} \otimes A'_{i_2,\beta} \rightarrow A_{j_1,\alpha'} \otimes A'_{j_2,\beta'}, \quad i_1 + i_2 = i, j_1 + j_2 = j.$$

Here, they are defined as follows:

(a) if $\beta = \beta'$ and $i_2 = j_2$, then

$$h_{(j_1,j_2,\alpha',\beta')}^{(i_1,i_2,\alpha,\beta)} := (-1)^{i_2(j_1-i_1-1)} q_{i_1,j_1,\alpha,\alpha'} \otimes 1,$$

where $q_{i_1,j_1,\alpha,\alpha'} : A_{i_1,\alpha} \rightarrow A_{j_1,\alpha'}$ is the map given from $q_{i,j}$,

(b) if $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $i_1 = j_1$, then

$$h_{(j_1,j_2,\alpha',\beta')}^{(i_1,i_2,\alpha,\beta)} := (-1)^{i_1} 1 \otimes q'_{i_2,j_2,\beta,\beta'},$$

where $q'_{i_2,j_2,\beta,\beta'} : A'_{i_2,\beta} \rightarrow A'_{j_2,\beta'}$ is the map given from $q'_{i,j}$,

(c) for all other cases, we let $h_{(j_1,j_2,\alpha',\beta')}^{(i_1,i_2,\alpha,\beta)} = 0$.

This system gives an object of $\text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$.

6.3.3. *Internal homs.* For two objects $A, A' \in \text{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$, define the internal hom by

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(A, A') := A^\vee \otimes A'.$$

The above three operations thus give objects in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

Definition 6.5. (*Unit object*) The object $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(0) \in \text{Tr}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by $A = (A_i, q_{i,j})$, where

$$A_i = \begin{cases} (\text{Spec}(k), 0), & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $q_{i,j} = 0$ for all i, j .

Proposition 6.6 ([12, p. 140]). *For objects A, A', A'' of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$, we have*

- (1) Associativity: $(A \otimes A') \otimes A'' = A \otimes (A' \otimes A'')$.
- (2) Unit object: $\underline{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes A = A \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}} = A$.
- (3) Product and dual: $(A \otimes A')^\vee = A'^\vee \otimes A^\vee$.
- (4) Product and hom: *There are functorial isomorphisms*

$$\text{adj} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k;m)}(A'', A^\vee \otimes A') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k;m)}(A'' \otimes A, A').$$

- (5) Reflexivity: *There are functorial isomorphisms*

$$i_A : A \rightarrow A^{\vee\vee},$$

given by $(-1)^i$ on A_i .

Proof. See *loc. cit.* □

6.4. The category of mixed Tate motives. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *Tate objects* $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ are defined as

$$\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n) := (\mathrm{Spec}(k), n) [-2n],$$

i.e. $(\mathrm{Spec}(k), n)$ is in degree $2n$.

The n -th *Tate twist* $(-)(n) : \mathcal{DM}(k; m) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ is defined by $A \mapsto A(n) := A \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$, where $A(n) = (A(n)_i, q(n)_{i,j})$ with

$$\begin{cases} A(n)_i := A_{i+2n} \otimes (\mathrm{Spec}(k), n), \text{ and} \\ q(n)_{i,j} = q_{i+2n, j+2n} \otimes 1_{(\mathrm{Spec}(k), n)}. \end{cases}$$

Definition 6.7. We define the category of *mixed Tate motives* $\mathcal{MTM}(k; m)$ over $k[t]/(t^{m+1})$ to be the smallest thick subcategory of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ containing all Tate objects $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$.

It is clear from our construction and the definition of a thick subcategory of a monoidal triangulated category (cf. [17, p. 424]) that $\mathcal{MTM}(k; m)$ is in fact a tensor triangulated category and its objects are those in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ which have finite filtrations whose graded pieces are the direct sums of Tate objects.

6.5. Comparison with $\mathcal{DM}(k)$. We have seen before (as is obvious from the construction) that $\mathcal{DM}(k; 0)$ is canonically isomorphic to the integral version of $\mathcal{DM}(k)$ of Hanamura. Moreover, if we take \mathcal{C}' to be the partial dg-category as before except that we take the morphisms to be only the higher Chow cycles, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}((X, r), (Y, s)) = z^{r+s}(X \times Y, -\bullet),$$

then for all $m \geq 1$, there are natural inclusion and forgetful functors $\iota : \mathrm{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}') \rightarrow \mathrm{PreTr}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathrm{Forget} : \mathrm{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PreTr}(\mathcal{C}')$. These induce the exact functors

$$(6.7) \quad \iota : \mathcal{DM}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathrm{Forget} : \mathcal{DM}(k; m) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k).$$

Moreover, for any $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$, there is a split exact sequence

$$(6.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{TCH}^r(X, n; m) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\underline{\mathbb{Z}}, h(X)(r)[2r - n]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{CH}^r(X, n) \rightarrow 0.$$

For a more general smooth quasi-projective variety X , not necessarily projective, there is a similar split exact sequence, where $\mathrm{TCH}^r(X, n; m)$ is replaced by the logarithmic additive Chow group $\mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m)$ of [14], and the functor $h(-)$ is replaced by a functor $bm(-)$ that extends $h(-)$ on \mathbf{SmProj}/k to more general k -schemes, whose construction is the goal of the next section.

7. MOTIVES OF SCHEMES

In this section, we extend the homological functor $h : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ to the category of schemes of finite type over k , assuming the resolution of singularities in the sense of Hironaka. This will complete the proof of our main theorem. Using the additive cycle complexes of objects of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$, this extension allows us to get directly an additive cycle complex associated to any scheme X whose homology is the logarithmic additive Chow groups $\mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m)$ of [14, Theorem 3.3]. Since our extension of the homological functor heavily uses the intermediate category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$ of [14, Section 2], we begin this section by recalling its definition and the related concepts. Throughout this section, we assume that the ground field k admits Hironaka's resolution of singularities.

Let $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k$ be the additive category generated by \mathbf{SmProj}/k : for any integral smooth projective varieties X, Y , define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k}(X, Y) := \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SmProj}/k}(X, Y)]$$

and extend to finite formal sums of integral smooth projective varieties in the natural way. The composition law in $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k$ is induced from \mathbf{SmProj}/k .

We form the category of bounded complexes $C^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$ and the homotopy category $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$. We denote the complex concentrated in degree 0 associated to $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ by $[X]$. Sending X to $[X]$ defines the functor

$$[-] : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow C^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$$

Let $i : Z \rightarrow X$ be a closed immersion in \mathbf{SmProj}/k , $\mu : X_Z \rightarrow X$ the blow-up of X along Z and $i_E : E \rightarrow X_Z$ the exceptional divisor with the structure morphism $q : E \rightarrow Z$. Let $C(\mu)$ be the complex

$$(7.1) \quad [E] \xrightarrow{(i_E, -q)} [X_Z] \oplus [Z] \xrightarrow{\mu+i} [X]$$

with $[X]$ in degree 0.

Definition 7.1. The category $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$ is the localization of the triangulated category $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$ with respect to the thick subcategory generated by the complexes $C(\mu)$.

Let

$$m_{\mathrm{hom}} : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$$

be the composition of functors

$$\mathbf{SmProj}/k \xrightarrow{[-]} C^b(\mathbf{SmProj}/k) \rightarrow K^b(\mathbf{SmProj}/k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k).$$

Recall that \mathbf{Sch}/k is the category of all quasi-projective schemes over k and \mathbf{Sch}'/k is its subcategory with only proper morphisms.

Theorem 7.2. [14, Theorem 2.9] *The functor m_{hom} extends to a functor*

$$M_{\mathrm{hom}} : \mathbf{Sch}'_k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$$

such that

1. If $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism in \mathbf{Sch}_k , $i : Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion such that $\mu : \mu^{-1}(X \setminus Z) \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is an isomorphism, then

$$M_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mu^{-1}(Z)) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{hom}}(Y) \oplus M_{\mathrm{hom}}(Z) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{hom}}(X) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mu^{-1}(Z))[1]$$

is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$.

2. Let $j : U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion in \mathbf{Sch}_k with closed complement $i : Z \rightarrow X$. We have the object $\mathrm{Cone}([i])$ in $C^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$, giving the object $m_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathrm{Cone}([i]))$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M_{\mathrm{hom}}(U) \cong m_{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathrm{Cone}([i]))$$

in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$, giving a canonical distinguished triangle

$$M_{\mathrm{hom}}(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} M_{\mathrm{hom}}(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} M_{\mathrm{hom}}(U) \rightarrow M_{\mathrm{hom}}(Z)[1]$$

in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$, natural with respect to proper morphisms of pairs $f : (X, U) \rightarrow (X', U')$.

Next we have the following variant of [12, Proposition 5.5] for our category $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $u : K \rightarrow L$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ such that for any $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ and $s, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map*

$$u \circ (-) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}((X, s)[i], K) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}((X, s)[i], L)$$

is an isomorphism. Then u is an isomorphism.

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Yoneda's Lemma by a spectral sequence argument in *loc. cit.* \square

For $X \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$ and $r, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $h(X)(r)[i]$ denote the object $(X, r)[i]$ of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

Lemma 7.4. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{i_E} & X_Z \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

be a blow-up square in \mathbf{SmProj}/k . Then

$$h(E)(r) \xrightarrow{(i_{E^*}, -q^*)} h(X_Z)(r) \oplus h(Z)(r) \xrightarrow{\mu_* + i_*} h(X)(r)$$

is an exact triangle in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

Proof. Let $C(\mu)$ be the complex in (7.1). It suffices to show that it is isomorphic to the zero object in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. By Lemma 7.3, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}((Y, s)[i], C(\mu))$ is zero for all $Y \in \mathbf{SmProj}/k$. Since $Y \times X_Z$ is the blow-up of $Y \times X$ along $Y \times Z$, it suffices to show that $z^r(C(\mu), -\bullet; m)$ is acyclic for arbitrary blow-up $X_Z \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{SmProj}/k and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this follows directly from the definition of $z^r(C(\mu), -\bullet; m)$, the blow-up formula for higher Chow groups (cf. [14, Lemma 5.7]) and the blow-up formula for the additive higher Chow groups ([14, Theorem 5.8], [15, Theorem 3.2]). \square

Proposition 7.5. *The functor $h : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ canonically extends to an exact functor $\mathcal{D}(h) : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ of triangulated categories.*

Proof. Assuming that we can canonically extend the functor h to a functor $K^b(h) : K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$, the proposition follows from the description of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{hom}}(k)$ in Definition 7.1 and Lemma 7.4. So we only need to construct $K^b(h)$.

It follows from the definition of the shift functor and the standard distinguished triangles in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ (cf. proof of Proposition 3.10) if $M(n) := (X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n+1})$ is an object of $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$, then

$$M(n) \cong \mathrm{Cone} \left(M(n-1) \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n+1}[-n] \right).$$

Hence, it suffices to show by induction on the length of M that $K^b(h)(M)$ is a well defined object of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. Now if $M = (X_0 \xrightarrow{f} X_1)$, then f is represented by a cycle $f \in z^r(X_0 \times X_1, 0)$ which implies that $d(f) = 0$. Since $h(X_i) = ((X_i, 0), 0)$ for $i = 0, 1$, we see that $D(K^b(h)(f)) = 0$ in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. In particular, the definition of the cone of a morphism as given above implies that $K^b(M) = (X_0 \xrightarrow{f} X_1)$ is a twisted complex K with $K_i = X_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $q_{0,1} = f$ and hence defines a unique object of $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. Thus $K^b(h)$ canonically extends the functor h from

\mathbf{SmProj}/k to an exact functor $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Theorem 7.6. *The functor $h : \mathbf{SmProj}/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$ extends canonically to a functor*

$$bm : \mathbf{Sch}'/k \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$$

such that

1. *If $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper morphism in \mathbf{Sch}_k , $i : Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion such that $\mu : \mu^{-1}(X \setminus Z) \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is an isomorphism, then*

$$bm(\mu^{-1}(Z)) \rightarrow bm(Y) \oplus bm(Z) \rightarrow bm(X) \rightarrow bm(\mu^{-1}(Z))[1]$$

is a distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$.

2. *If $j : U \rightarrow X$ is an open immersion in \mathbf{Sch}_k with closed complement $i : Z \rightarrow X$, then there is a canonical distinguished triangle*

$$bm(Z) \xrightarrow{i_*} bm(X) \xrightarrow{j^*} bm(U) \rightarrow bm(Z)[1]$$

in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$, natural with respect to proper morphisms of pairs $f : (X, U) \rightarrow (X', U')$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.2 and Proposition 7.5. \square

Theorem 7.7. *The functor $(X, r) \mapsto \mathcal{Z}((X, r), -\bullet; m) = z^r(X, -\bullet; m)$ canonically extends to an exact functor $\mathcal{Z}(-, -\bullet; m) : \mathcal{DM}(k; m) \rightarrow D^-(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence defines the mixed cycle complexes of all motives such that for $X \in \mathbf{Sch}'/k$, one has*

$$H^{-i}(\mathcal{Z}((X, r), -\bullet; m)) = \mathrm{CHC}^r(X, i) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, i; m),$$

where $\mathrm{CHC}^r(X, i) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k)}(\mathbb{Z}, bm(X)(r)[2r - n])$ (cf. [11, Definition 2.4], [12, Definition 4.4]).

Proof. Let $K = (K^i, q_{i,j})$ be a twisted complex in $\mathcal{DM}(k; m)$. We define the mixed cycle complex of K following [12], using our refined moving lemma for additive cycle complexes. For each $\alpha \in I(i)$, we take a distinguished subcomplex $\mathcal{Z}(K_\alpha^i, -\bullet; m)' \subset \mathcal{Z}(K_\alpha^i, -\bullet; m)$ so that letting $\mathcal{Z}(K^i, -\bullet; m)' := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I(i)} \mathcal{Z}(K_\alpha^i, -\bullet; m)'$,

the map

$$(q_{i_{r-1}, i_r} \circ \cdots \circ q_{i_{r_0}, i_{r_1}})_* : \mathcal{Z}(K^i, -\bullet; m)' \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(K^j, -\bullet + j - i - r; m)'$$

is defined and associative for any sequence $i = i_0 < \cdots < i_r = j$. The *mixed cycle complex* $\mathcal{Z}(K, -\bullet; m)$ of K is defined as the complex (L, d) with

$$L^i = \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I(j)} z^{r_\alpha}(K_\alpha^j, j - i; m)',$$

$$d^i = \sum_j \left((-1)^j \delta_{j+} + \sum_{j < l} (q_{j,l})_* \right).$$

This defines the desired functor $\mathcal{Z}(-, -\bullet; m)$.

It is easy to check from this definition that if $M = (X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n+1})$ is an object of $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$, then $\mathcal{Z}(M(r), -\bullet; m)$ is the total complex associated to the double complex given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(M(r), -\bullet; m)^{i,j} = z^r(X_i, j; m)'$$

with the horizontal differential given by $(-1)^i(f_i)_*$ and the vertical differential given by δ_{X_j} .

If X is now a complete variety (possibly singular) with a smooth cubical resolution $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$, let $M = X_\bullet$ also denote the associated chain complex in $K^b(\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{SmProj}/k)$ with the differential being the alternating sum of the face maps of the cubical object X_\bullet . So, the above description of $\mathcal{Z}(M(r), -\bullet; m)$ and [14, Theorem 6.1] immediately imply that $H^{-i}(\mathcal{Z}(bm(X)(r), -\bullet; m)) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X, i) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, i; m)$. If X is not complete, the corresponding isomorphism now follows from Theorem 7.6 and [14, Corollary 6.2]. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Definition 7.8. (Total higher Chow groups of a motive) For $A \in \mathcal{DM}(k; m)$, we define its *motivic cohomology* by

$$(7.2) \quad \mathrm{CH}_{\log}(A, n; m) := H^n(\mathcal{Z}(A, -\bullet; m)),$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(-, \bullet; m)$ is the functor of Theorem 7.7.

Corollary 7.9. For a smooth quasi-projective variety X , one has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, bm(X)(r)[2r - n]) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X, n) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m).$$

Proof. For X smooth and projective, this is shown below. If X is a projective but possibly singular variety, let $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ be a smooth cubical resolution. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, -)$ is a cohomological functor, the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p, q} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, h(X_p)[p - q]) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, bm(X))$$

and Theorem 7.7 show that

$$(7.3) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, bm(X)(r)[2r - n]) = \mathrm{CHC}^r(X, n) \oplus \mathrm{TCH}_{\log}^r(X, n; m).$$

If X is not necessarily projective, (7.3) now follows from Theorem 7.6(2). Finally, for X smooth and quasi-projective, one has $\mathrm{CHC}^r(X, n) = \mathrm{CH}^r(X, n)$ by [11, p.328]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1: The part (1) of the theorem is already shown in (6.7) and (6.8).

For (2), we first observe from the definition of the differential of a complex $(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{PreTr}(C)}(A, B), D)$ in (2.3) that for twisted complexes A, B with $p_{i,j}, q_{i,j}$'s all zero, one has $D = d$. Hence, we see from (6.6) and (6.4) that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{DM}(k; m)}(\mathbb{Z}, h(X)(r)[2r - n]) = H^n(\mathcal{Z}^r(X, -\bullet; m)).$$

Part (2) now follows from (6.1). The last part is shown in Corollary 7.9.

Acknowledgments. The second author would like to thank TIFR and KAIST for their hospitality and administrative help. He wishes to thank Juya for her moral support. During this work, JP was partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2009-0063180).

REFERENCES

- [1] Balmer, P., Schlichting, M., *Idempotent completion of triangulated categories*, J. Algebra, **236**, (2001), no. 2, 819-834.
- [2] Bloch, S., *On the tangent space to Quillen K-theory*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 205-210. Lecture Notes in Math., **341**, Springer, Berlin, 1973.

- [3] Bloch, S., *Algebraic cycles and higher K-theory*, Adv. Math., **61**, (1986), 267-304.
- [4] Bloch, S., *Algebraic cycles and the Lie algebra of mixed Tate motives*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **4**, (1991), no. 4, 771-791.
- [5] Bloch, S., *The moving lemma for higher Chow groups*, J. Algebraic Geom., **3**, (1994), no. 3, 537-568.
- [6] Bloch, S., Esnault, H., *The additive dilogarithm*, Doc. Math., J., DMV **Extra Vol.**, (2003), 131-155.
- [7] Bondal, A. I.; Kapranov, M. M., *Framed triangulated categories*, (Russian) Mat. Sb. **181**, (1990), no. 5, 669-683; translation in English as *Enhanced triangulated categories*, Math. USSR-Sb. **70**, (1991), no. 1, 93-107.
- [8] Fulton, W., *Intersection theory*, Second Edition, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **2**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [9] Goncharov, A., *Euclidean scissor congruence groups and mixed Tate motives over dual numbers*, Math. Res. Lett., **11**, (2004), no. 5-6, 771-784.
- [10] Guillén, F., Navarro Aznar, V., *Un critère d'extension des foncteurs définis sur les schémas lisses*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., **95**, (2002), 1-91.
- [11] Hanamura, M., *Homological and cohomological motives of algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math., **142**, (2000) 319-149.
- [12] Hanamura, M., *Mixed motives and algebraic cycles II*, Invent. Math., **158**, (2004) 105-179.
- [13] Hesselholt L., *K-theory of truncated polynomial algebras*, Handbook of K-Theory, **1**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005, 71-110.
- [14] Krishna, A., Levine, M., *Additive higher Chow groups of schemes*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **619**, (2008) 75-140.
- [15] Krishna, A., Park, J., *Moving lemma for additive Chow groups and applications*, Preprint Sep. 2009, arXiv:0909.3155
- [16] Krishna, A., Park, J., *Additive higher Chow group of 1-cycles on fields*, In preparation.
- [17] Levine, M., *Mixed Motives*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **57**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [18] Park, J., *Regulators on additive higher Chow groups*, Amer. J. Math., **131**, (2009) no. 1, 257-276.
- [19] Park, J., *Algebraic cycles and additive dilogarithm*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **2007**, no. 18, Article ID rnm067.
- [20] Rülling, K., *The generalized de Rham-Witt complex over a field is a complex of zero-cycles*, J. Algebraic Geom., **16**, (2007), no. 1, 109-169.
- [21] Soulé, C., *Opérations en K-théorie algébrique*, Canad. J. Math., **37**, (1985), no. 3, 488-550.
- [22] Voevodsky, V., *Triangulated categories of motives over a field*. in Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Cohomology Theories, ed. by V. Voevodsky, A. Suslin, E.M. Friedlander. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press 2000

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI, INDIA

E-mail address: amal@math.tifr.res.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, DAEJEON, 305-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA (SOUTH)

E-mail address: jinhyun@mathsci.kaist.ac.kr; jinhyun@kaist.edu