A Generalization of an Inequality of Brouwer-Wilbrink

Akira HIRAKI* Division of Mathematical Sciences, Osaka Kyoiku University Asahigaoka 4-698-1, Kashiwara, Osaka 582-8582, JAPAN e-mail address: hiraki@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp Jack KOOLEN Division of Applied Mathematics, KAIST, 373-1 Kusongdong, Yusongku, Daejon 305-701, KOREA e-mail address: jhk@amath.kaist.ac.kr

January 22, 2004

Abstract

Brouwer and Wilbrink showed that $t + 1 \leq (s^2 + 1)c_{d-1}$ holds for a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s, t) with $s \geq 2$ and *d* is even. In this note we generalize their inequality to all diameter.

^{*}This work was partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No 14740072), the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, JAPAN.

1 Introduction

The reader is referred to next section for the definitions.

Generalized *n*-gons of order (s, t) were introduced by Tits in [14]. Although formally *n* is unbounded, a famous theorem of Feit-G. Higman asserts that, apart from the ordinary polygons, finite examples can exist only for n = 3, 4, 6, 8 or 12. (See [6] and [3, Theorem 6.5.1].) Moreover, if n = 12 holds, then s = 1 or t = 1. In the case of n = 4, 6, 8, D.G. Higman [8, 9] and Haemers [7] showed that *s* and *t* are bounded from above by functions in *t* and *s*, respectively. To show this they used the Krein condition. (See [3, Theorem 6.5.1].)

Regular near polygons were introduced by Shult and Yanushka [15] as point-line geometries satisfying certain axioms. It is well known that (the collinearity graph of) a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s,t) is a distance-regular graph of valency s(t+1), diameter *d* and $a_i = c_i(s-1)$ for all $1 \le i \le d$ such that for any vertex *x* the subgraph induced by the neighbors of *x* is the disjoint union of t+1 complete graphs of size *s*.

Let Γ be a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s,t) with s > 1 and let $t_i := c_i - 1$ for all $1 \le i \le d$. Brouwer and Wilbrink [5] showed, by using the Krein condition $q_{dd}^d \ge 0$, that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \left(\frac{-1}{s^2}\right)^i \prod_{j=1}^i \left(\frac{t-t_j}{1+t_j}\right) \ge 0.$$

In particular, this implies that $1+t \leq (s^2+1)(1+t_{d-1})$ holds if d is even. This means that if d is even, then $\frac{t+1}{c_{d-1}}$ is bounded from above by a function of s, namely by (s^2+1) .

We remark that a generalized 2*d*-gon of order (s,t) is a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s,t) with $c_{d-1} = 1$. So the result of Brouwer-Wilbrink can be regarded as a generalization of Higman-Haemers result for generalized 2*d*-gons to regular near 2*d*-gons.

In this note we generalize the Brouwer-Wilbrink inequality to all diameters.

The following is our result.

Theorem 1 Let Γ be a regular near 2d-gon of order (s,t) with $t \ge 2$ and $s \ge 2$. Let $r = r(\Gamma) := \max\{i \mid (c_i, a_i, b_i) = (c_1, a_1, b_1)\}$. Then the following hold. (1) Let $\tau := \frac{2d+1}{2d-2}$. Then $\frac{t+1}{c_{d-1}} < s\left(2s^{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 1.$ (2) Let $\rho := \frac{3d+r}{d+r-1}$. Suppose $\theta_1 \le \frac{(t+1)(s-1)}{s^{\rho}+1}$. Then $t \le 2s^{2\rho-1}$. We remark that $\lim_{d\to\infty} \tau = 1$ and that $2 < \rho < 3$ as $r + 1 \le d$.

We also remark that the dual polar graph on $[{}^{2}A_{2d-1}(s)]$ is a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s,t) with $t+1 = \frac{s^{2d}-1}{s-1}$ and $c_{d-1} = \frac{s^{2d-2}-1}{s-1}$. In this case we have $s^{2} < \frac{t+1}{c_{d-1}} \le s^{2} + 1$. This example shows that the Brouwer-Wilbrink inequality is quite sharp for even diameter.

Note that for fixed s and d much larger than s the bound in Theorem 1 looks like

$$\frac{t+1}{c_{d-1}} < 5s^3.$$

There are generalized hexagons with $t = s^3$. In this light we wonder whether the bound

$$\frac{t+1}{c_{d-1}} \le s^3 + 1.$$

would be true for all regular near 2d-gons

The results of Brouwer-Wilbrink and Higman-Haemers were shown by using the fact that the Krein parameters are non-negative. In our proof we use the so-called absolute bound instead. This bound relates the multiplicities of eigenvalues.

This note is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions and prove a basic result. And we prove main result in Section 3.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Gamma = (V\Gamma, E\Gamma)$ be a connected undirected graph without loops or multiple edges. For vertices x and y in Γ we denote by $\partial_{\Gamma}(x, y)$ the usual shortest path distance between x and y in Γ . The *diameter* of Γ , denoted by d, is the maximal distance of two vertices in Γ . For a vertex x in Γ we denote by $\Gamma_i(x)$ the set of vertices which are at distance i from x, and put $\Gamma_{-1}(x) = \Gamma_{d+1}(x) := \emptyset$.

A connected graph Γ with diameter d is called *distance-regular* if for all $0 \le i \le d$ there are numbers c_i, a_i and b_i such that for any two vertices x and y in Γ at distance i the sets

 $\Gamma_{i-1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y), \Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y)$ and $\Gamma_{i+1}(x) \cap \Gamma_1(y)$

have cardinalities c_i, a_i and b_i , respectively. Then Γ is regular with valency $k := b_0$. The numbers c_i, a_i and b_i are called the *intersection numbers* of Γ .

Now suppose Γ is a distance-regular graph of diameter $d \ge 2$, valency $k \ge 3$ with the intersection numbers c_i, a_i and b_i . Define $r = r(\Gamma) := \max\{i \mid (c_i, a_i, b_i) = (c_1, a_1, b_1)\}$.

Let $k_i := |\Gamma_i(x)|$ for all $0 \le i \le d$ which does not depend on the choice of x.

By an eigenvalue of Γ we will mean an eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix A. Its multiplicity is its multiplicity as eigenvalue of A. Define the polynomials $u_i(x)$ by

$$u_0(x) := 1, u_1(x) := x/k,$$
 and
 $c_i u_{i-1}(x) + a_i u_i(x) + b_i u_{i+1}(x) = x u_i(x),$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, d-1.$

Let θ be an eigenvalue of Γ with multiplicity $m(\theta)$. The sequence $(u_0(\theta), u_1(\theta), \ldots, u_d(\theta))$ is called the *standard sequence corresponding to* θ .

The following is well-known basic result. (See [3, Corollary 4.1.2, Theorem 4.1.4].)

Proposition 2 Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter $d \ge 2$ and valency $k \ge 3$. Then Γ has exactly d + 1 distinct eigenvalues $k = \theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_{d-1} > \theta_d$. Then (1) The standard sequence corresponding to θ_j has exactly j single changes. (2)

$$m(\theta_j) = \frac{|V\Gamma|}{\sum_{i=0}^d k_i u_i(\theta_j)^2}.$$

We would like to refer to the books [1, 2, 3, 4] for more information on distance-regular graphs.

A graph Γ is said to be of order (s, t) if $\Gamma_1(x)$ is a disjoint union of t + 1 cliques of size s for every vertex x in Γ . In this case, Γ is a regular graph of valency k = s(t+1) and every edge lies on a clique of size s + 1. A clique of size s + 1 is called a singular line of Γ .

A graph Γ is called (the collinearity graph of) a regular near 2d-gon of order (s,t)if it is a distance-regular graph of order (s,t) with diameter d and $a_i = c_i(s-1)$ for all $1 \le i \le d$.

More information on regular near 2d-gons will be found in $[3, \S6.4-6.6]$.

To close this section we recall the following result.

Lemma 3 Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with diameter d such that $b_{d-1} \ge c_{d-1}$, then the second largest eigenvalue θ_1 satisfies

$$\theta_1 \le a_{d-1} + 2\sqrt{c_{d-1}b_{d-1}}.$$

Proof. Let $u_i := u_i(\theta_1)$ for all $0 \le i \le d$. If $\theta_1 > a_{d-1} + 2\sqrt{b_{d-1}c_{d-1}}$, then it is easy to show by induction that $u_i > 0$ for all *i*. This is a contradiction as the standard sequence corresponding to θ_1 has to have exactly one sign change.

3 Proof of the Theorem

The rest of this paper $\Gamma = (V\Gamma, E\Gamma)$ denotes a regular near 2*d*-gon of order (s, t) with $s \geq 2$. Let θ_d be the smallest eigenvalues of Γ , and let θ_1 be the second largest eigenvalue of Γ with the standard sequence (u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_d) . Let $r := \max\{i \mid (c_i, a_i, b_i) = (1, s - 1, st)\}$.

Lemma 4 (1) $a_{d-1} \leq \theta_1$ and $m(\theta_d) < s^{2d}$. (2) If $\theta_1 < a_d$, then $0 < u_i < \left(\frac{\theta_1}{st}\right)^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $u_d < 0$ with $|u_d| \leq \frac{c_{d-1}}{b_{d-1}}u_{d-2}$. (3) If $\theta_1 \leq a_d - b_{d-1}s^{-2}$, then the Krein parameter $q_{d,d}^1$ is not zero. (4) If the Krein parameter $q_{d,d}^1$ is not zero, then $m(\theta_1) < \frac{s^{4d}}{2}$.

Proof. (1) These are proved in [13, Lemma 8] and [12, Lemma 4 (4)]. (2) Since $c_d u_{d-1} = (\theta_1 - a_d) u_d$, u_{d-1} and u_d have different signs. Note that the standard sequence corresponding to θ_1 has only one sign change. Hence we have $0 < u_i$ for $1 \le i \le d-1$ and $u_d < 0$.

For $1 \leq i \leq d-2$ we have

$$u_{i+1} \le \frac{\theta_1 - a_i}{b_i} u_i < \frac{\theta_1}{st} u_i.$$

The first assertion is proved by induction on i.

Since $a_{d-1} \leq \theta_1$ and $b_{d-1}u_d = (\theta_1 - a_{d-1})u_{d-1} - c_{d-1}u_{d-2}$. The desired result is proved. (3) Note that $q_{d,d}^1 = 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=0}^d k_i u_i s^{-2i} = 0$$

Since $c_d k_d = b_{d-1} k_{d-1}$, $c_d u_{d-1} = (\theta_1 - a_d) u_d$ and $u_d < 0$, we have

$$k_{d-1}u_{d-1} + k_d u_d s^{-2} = \frac{k_d u_d}{b_{d-1}} (\theta_1 - a_d + b_{d-1} s^{-2}) \ge 0.$$

This implies $q_{d,d}^1 \neq 0$, as $u_i > 0$ for all $0 \le i \le d-1$ and $u_d < 0$. (4) Suppose $q_{d,d}^1 \neq 0$. Then we have

$$m(\theta_1) \le \sum_{\substack{q_{d,d}^j \neq 0}} m(\theta_j) \le \frac{m(\theta_d)\{m(\theta_d) + 1\}}{2}$$

by the absolute bound. The assertion follows from (1). The lemma is proved.

Proposition 5 Suppose $\theta_1 \leq a_d - b_{d-1}s^{-2}$. Then we have

$$|V\Gamma| < \frac{s^{4d}}{2} \sum_{i=0}^d k_i u_i^2.$$

Proof. We have $q_{d,d}^1 \neq 0$ from Lemma 4 (3). It follows, by Lemma 4 (4), that

$$\frac{|V\Gamma|}{\sum_{i=0}^{d} k_i u_i^2} = m(\theta_1) < \frac{s^{4d}}{2}.$$

Let ρ be as in Theorem 1. Since $r + 1 \le d$, we have $2 < \rho < 3$.

Lemma 6 Suppose
$$\theta_1 \leq \frac{(t+1)(s-1)}{s^{\rho}+1}$$
. Then the following hold.
(1) $\sum_{i=r+1}^{d} k_i u_i^2 \leq \frac{2k_{d-1}}{s^{4d}}$.
(2) $k_i u_i^2 \leq \left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right)^i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq r$.
(3) If $2s^{2\rho-1} \leq t$, then $\sum_{i=0}^r k_i u_i^2 \leq 2\left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right)^r$.

Proof. Since $c_{d-1}(s-1) = a_{d-1} \le \theta_1$, we have $(s^{\rho}+1)c_{d-1} \le t+1$. It follows that $b_{d-1} = s(t+1-c_{d-1}) \ge s^{\rho+1}c_{d-1}$ and

$$\frac{\theta_1}{st} = \frac{(t+1)(s-1)}{st(s^{\rho}+1)} < \frac{1}{s^{\rho}}$$

(1) For all $r+1 \leq i \leq d-1$ we have

$$k_i = k_{d-1} \frac{c_{d-1} \cdots c_{i+1}}{b_i \cdots b_{d-2}} \le k_{d-1} \left(\frac{c_{d-1}}{b_{d-1}}\right)^{d-1-i} \le k_{d-1} \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho+1}}\right)^{d-1-i}.$$

Hence Lemma 4(2) implies that

$$k_{i}u_{i}^{2} \leq k_{d-1} \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho+1}}\right)^{d-1-i} \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho}}\right)^{2i} \leq \left(\frac{k_{d-1}}{s^{(\rho+1)(d-1)}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho-1}}\right)^{i}$$

and

$$k_d u_d^2 \le \frac{k_{d-1} b_{d-1}}{c_d} \left(\frac{c_{d-1}}{b_{d-1}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho}}\right)^{2(d-2)} \le \left(\frac{k_{d-1}}{s^{(\rho+1)(d-1)}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{s^{\rho-1}}\right)^d.$$

It follows that

(2) We have
$$k_0 u_0^2 = 1$$
 and $k_1 u_1^2 = \frac{\theta_1^2}{s(t+1)} \le \left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right)^{r+1} \le \frac{2k_{d-1}}{s^{4d}}$.
 $u_{i+1} = \frac{1}{st}(\theta_1 - s + 1)u_i - u_{i-1} < \frac{\theta_1}{st}u_i$.

It follows, by using induction on i, that

$$k_{i+1}u_{i+1}^2 \le k_i u_i^2 \left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right) \le \left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right)^{i+1}.$$

The desired result is proved.

(3) This follows from (2). The lemma is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) Let
$$\gamma := s \left(2s^{\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$$
. Suppose $c_{d-1}(\gamma + 1) \le (t+1)$. Then $c_{d-1}b_{d-1} = c_{d-1}(t+1-c_{d-1})s \le \frac{t+1}{\gamma+1} \left\{t+1-\frac{t+1}{\gamma+1}\right\}s = \frac{(t+1)^2s\gamma}{(\gamma+1)^2}.$

It follows, by Lemma 3, that

$$\theta_1 \le \frac{(t+1)(s-1)}{\gamma+1} + \frac{2(t+1)\sqrt{s\gamma}}{\gamma+1} = \frac{(t+1)(4s^{\tau+1}+2s-1)}{\gamma+1} < \frac{(t+1)}{s^{\tau}}.$$

For all $1 \le i \le d-2$ we have $\theta_1 - a_i \le \frac{1}{s^{\tau}}(t+1-c_i)$ and thus

$$k_{i+1}u_{i+1}^{2} < \frac{b_{i}k_{i}}{c_{i+1}} \left(\frac{\theta_{1} - a_{i}}{b_{i}}\right)^{2} u_{i}^{2} \le \frac{b_{i}}{c_{i+1}} \left(\frac{1}{s^{\tau+1}}\right)^{2} k_{i}u_{i}^{2}$$

It follow that

$$k_{i+1}u_{i+1}^2 \le \prod_{j=0}^i \left(\frac{b_j}{c_{j+1}s^{2\tau+2}}\right)$$

By Lemma 4(2) we have

$$k_{d}u_{d}^{2} \leq \frac{k_{d-2}b_{d-2}b_{d-1}}{c_{d}c_{d-1}} \left(\frac{c_{d-1}}{b_{d-1}}\right)^{2} u_{d-2}^{2} < \frac{s}{\gamma}k_{d-2}u_{d-2}^{2} < \frac{1}{4s^{2}}k_{d-2}u_{d-2}^{2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{b_j}{c_{j+1}s^{2\tau+2}} \ge \frac{b_{d-1}}{c_{d-1}s^{2\tau+2}} \ge \frac{\gamma}{s^{2\tau+1}} \ge 4,$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} k_i u_i^2 \le \prod_{j=0}^{d-2} \left(\frac{b_j}{c_{j+1} s^{2\tau+2}} \right) \left\{ 4^{1-d} + \dots + 4^{-1} + 1 + 4^{-2} s^{-2} \right\} < \frac{2k_{d-1}}{s^{4d-1}}.$$

It follows, by Proposition 5, that

$$k_{d-1}\left(1+\frac{b_{d-1}}{c_d}\right) = k_{d-1}+k_d < |V\Gamma| \le \frac{s^{4d}}{2}\sum_{i=0}^d k_i u_i^2 < sk_{d-1}.$$

Hence we have

$$t+1 < s(t+1) - b_{d-1} = c_{d-1}s.$$

which is a contradiction.

(2) Suppose $2s^{2\rho-1} \leq t$ to derive a contradiction. Proposition 5 and Lemma 6 imply that

$$k_{d-1} + k_d < |V\Gamma| \le \frac{s^{4d}}{2} \sum_{i=0}^d k_i u_i^2 \le \frac{s^{4d}}{2} \left\{ 2\left(\frac{t}{s^{2\rho-1}}\right)^r + \frac{2k_{d-1}}{s^{4d}} \right\}.$$

Since

$$k_d = \frac{b_0 \cdots b_{d-1}}{c_1 \cdots c_d} \ge s(st)^r \left(\frac{b_{d-1}}{c_{d-1}}\right)^{d-r-1} \ge t^r s^{r+1} (s^{\rho+1})^{d-r-1}.$$

we have

$$s^d (s^{\rho})^{d-r-1} < s^{4d} s^{r(1-2\rho)}.$$

This is a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

References

- E. Bannai and T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Note Ser. 58, Benjamin/Cummings Publ. Co., London, 1984.
- [2] N.L. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 67, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1974.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [4] C.D. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.
- [5] A.E. Brouwer and H.A. Wilbrink, "The structure of near polygons with quads," *Geom. Dedicata* 14 (1983) 145-176.
- [6] W. Feit and G. Higman, "The non-existence of certain generalized polygons," J. Algebra.
 1 (1964), 114–131.
- [7] W.H. Haemers and C. Roos, "An inequality for generalized hexagons," *Geom. Dedicata* 10 (1981) 219–222
- [8] D.G. Higman, "Partial geometries generalized quadrangles and strongly regular graphs," pp 263–293 in: Atti del Convegno di Geometria, Combinatoria e sue Applicazioni (Univ. degli Studi di Perugia, Perugia, 1970), Perugia 1971.
- [9] D.G. Higman, "Invariant relations, coherent configurations and generalized polygons," pp 27–43 in: Combinatorics, Math Centre Tracts 57, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [10] A. Hiraki, "Strongly closed subgraphs in a regular thick near polygons," European J. Combin. 20 (1999) 789–796.
- [11] A. Hiraki and J.H. Koolen, "An improvement of the Godsil bound," Ann. of Combin.
 6 (2002) 33-44.
- [12] A. Hiraki and J.H. Koolen, "A Higman-Haemers inequality for thick regular near polygons," To appear in *J. Alg. Combin.*
- [13] A. Hiraki and J.H. Koolen, "A note on regular near polygons," preprint.
- [14] J. Tits, "Sur la trialité et certains groups qui s'en déduisent," Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 2 (1959) 14–60.
- [15] E. Shult, and A. Yanushka, "Near n-gons and line systems," Geom. Dedicata. 9 (1980), 1–76.