# TRIANGLE- AND PENTAGON-FREE DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS WITH AN EIGENVALUE MULTIPLICITY EQUAL TO THE VALENCY

Aleksandar Jurišić IMFM and Nova Gorica Polytechnic Vipavska 13, p.p. 301 5000 Nova Gorica Slovenia Jack Koolen Divison of Applied Mathematics KAIST Daejeon, South Korea

Štefko Miklavič IMFM and Nova Gorica Polytechnic Vipavska 13, p.p. 301 5000 Nova Gorica Slovenia

May 26, 2004

#### Abstract

We classify triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graphs with diameter  $d \ge 2$ , valency k, and an eigenvalue multiplicity k. In particular, we prove that such a graph is isomorphic to a cycle, a k-cube, a complete bipartite graph minus a matching, a Hadamard graph, a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{k, k - 1, k - c, c, 1; 1, c, k - c, k - 1, k\}$ , where  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1)$ ,  $c = \gamma(\gamma + 1)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ , or a folded k-cube, k odd and  $k \ge 7$ . This is a generalization of the results of Nomura [10] and Yamazaki [13], where they classified bipartite distance-regular graphs with an eigenvalue multiplicity k and showed that all such graphs are 2-homogeneous. We also classify bipartite almost 2-homogeneous distance-regular graphs with diameter  $d \ge 4$ . In particular, we prove that such a graph is either 2-homogeneous (and thus classified by Nomura and Yamazaki), or a folded k-cube for k even, or a generalized 2d-gon with order (1, k - 1).

#### CONTENTS

1. Introduction														1
2. Partitions														4
3. On the eigenv	valu	ie :	mι	ilt	ipl	ici	ty							6
4. Classification	$\mathbf{s}$													9
References .														12

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter d and let  $r \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ . We say that the parameter  $\gamma_r$  exists, when for all vertices z of  $\Gamma$  the following holds:

 $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{r-1}(z)| = \gamma_r,$  for all  $x, y \in \Gamma_r(z)$ , such that  $\partial(x, y) = 2$ ,

i.e., two vertices at distance two and at distance r from z have precisely  $\gamma_r$  common neighbours that are at distance r-1 from z. If  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, then it is called 2-homogeneous in the sense of Nomura [9], when the parameters  $\gamma_r$  exist for all  $r \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ . Nomura [10] (see also Curtin [3]) showed that if the graph  $\Gamma$  is a 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graph, then  $\Gamma$  is (i) a cycle, (ii) a hypercube, (iii) a complete bipartite graph, (iv) a complete bipartite graph minus a matching, (v) a Hadamard graph, i.e., a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{4\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 4\gamma\}$  where  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ , or (vi) a graph with intersection array  $\{k, k-1, k-c, c, 1; 1, c, k-c, k-1, k\}$ , where  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), c = \gamma(\gamma + 1)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The well known Terwilliger tree bound [12] (see Godsil [5, Lemma 13.4.4]) implies that an eigenvalue multiplicity of a triangle-free distance-regular graph is either 1 or at least its valency. If a distance-regular graph has an eigenvalue with multiplicity equal to its valency, then this often yields some additional combinatorial properties. For example, Yamazaki [13] showed that if a bipartite distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  of valency k has an eigenvalue of multiplicity k, then the graph  $\Gamma$  is 2-homogeneous. Combining Nomura's and Yamazaki's results gives the following classification of bipartite distance-regular graphs with an eigenvalue multiplicity equal to its valency:

**Theorem 1 (Nomura & Yamazaki)** Let  $\Gamma$  be a bipartite distance-regular graph with valency k. Then  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue with multiplicity k if and only if  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs:

- (i) the (2n)-gon for  $n \ge 2$ ;
- (ii) the k-cube for  $k \ge 1$ ;
- (iii) the complete bipartite graph  $K_{k+1,k+1}$  minus a matching for  $k \geq 2$ ;
- (iv) a Hadamard graph, i.e., a distance-regular graph with intersection array

 $\{4\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 4\gamma\}, \text{ where } k = 4\gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{N};$ 

(v) a distance-regular graph with intersection array

 $\{k, k-1, k-c, c, 1; 1, c, k-c, k-1, k\},\$ 

where  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), c = \gamma(\gamma + 1), \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle-free distance-regular graph with valency  $k \geq 3$  and with eigenvalue multiplicity k. It turns out that the girth of  $\Gamma$  is at most five, see Lemma 7(iv). Therefore, we can consider separately the following three cases: (i)  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $a_2 \geq 1$ ; (ii)  $c_2 \geq 2$ ,  $a_2 = 0$ ; and (iii)  $c_2 \geq 2$ ,  $a_2 \geq 1$ . A complete classification of cases (i) and (iii) still seems to be beyond reach. In this paper we will give the complete classification of the case (ii), i.e., triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graphs with diameter  $d \geq 2$ , valency k, and an eigenvalue multiplicity k. The following is our first result.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 2$  and valency k. Then  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue with multiplicity k if and only if  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs:

- (i) the n-gon for  $n \ge 6$ ;
- (ii) the k-cube for  $k \ge 2$ ;
- (iii) the complete bipartite graph  $K_{k+1,k+1}$  minus a matching for  $k \geq 2$ ;
- (iv) a Hadamard graph, i.e., a distance-regular graph with intersection array

 $\{4\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 4\gamma\}, \text{ where } k = 4\gamma, \gamma \in \mathbb{N};$ 

(v) a distance-regular graph with intersection array

$$\{k, k-1, k-c, c, 1; 1, c, k-c, k-1, k\},\$$

where 
$$k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), c = \gamma(\gamma + 1), \gamma \in \mathbb{N};$$

(vi) the folded k-cube for k odd and  $k \ge 7$ .

**Remarks:** (a) It is not known whether a Hadamard graph exists for every positive integer  $\gamma$ , however, its existence is equivalent to the existence of a Hadamard matrix of order  $4\gamma$ . For the survey of Hadamard matrices see for example Hedayat and Wallis [6] or Seberry and Yamada [11].

(b) In the case (v) there are only two examples known, namely for  $\gamma = 1$  the 5-cube and for  $\gamma = 2$  the bipartite double of the Higman-Sims graph. For integers  $\gamma \ge 3$  the existence is still undecided.

A bipartite distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  with diameter  $d \geq 3$  is called *almost 2-homogeneous*, if the parameter  $\gamma_r$  exists for all  $r \in \{1, \ldots, d-2\}$ . Almost 2-homogeneous graphs were introduced and studied by Curtin [4]. Observe that  $\gamma_1 = 1$ , so  $\gamma_1$  exists for every distanceregular graph. Therefore, all bipartite distance-regular graphs with diameter 3 are almost 2-homogeneous. So we will investigate only almost 2-homogeneous distance-regular graphs with diameter  $d \geq 4$ . Our second result is the classification of these graphs. We will prove the following result. **Theorem 3** A bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \ge 4$  and valency k is almost 2-homogeneous if and only if  $\Gamma$  is one of the following graphs:

- (i) the (2d)-gon;
- (*ii*) the d-cube;
- (iii) a Hadamard graph, i.e., a graph with intersection array

$$\{4\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 4\gamma\}, \quad where \ k = 4\gamma, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{N};$$

*(iv)* a graph with intersection array

 $\{k, k-1, k-c, c, 1; 1, c, k-c, k-1, k\},\$ 

where  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), c = \gamma(\gamma + 1), \gamma \in \mathbb{N};$ 

- (v) the folded 2d-cube;
- (vi) a generalized 8-gon with order (1, k 1), i.e., a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{k, k - 1, k - 1, k - 1; 1, 1, 1, k\}$ ;
- (vii) a generalized 12-gon with order (1, k 1), i.e., a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{k, k 1, k 1, k 1, k 1, k 1; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, k\}$ .

Our paper is organized as follows. After preliminaries in Section 2, we will derive some results concerning triangle- and pentagon free distance-regular graphs with eigenvalue multiplicity equals to the valency in Section 3. In particular, we will show that such graphs have some additional combinatorial properties. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 2 and 3.

### 2 Partitions

In this section we recall some definitions and basic concepts about distance-regular graphs and their partitions. See Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier [1] and Godsil [5] for more background information.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges. We denote the vertex set of  $\Gamma$  by  $V\Gamma$ . For arbitrary  $x, y \in V\Gamma$ , let  $\partial_{\Gamma}(x, y) = \partial(x, y)$  denote the distance between x and y, i.e., the length of a shortest path connecting x and y. Let  $d = d(\Gamma) := \max\{\partial(x, y) | x, y \in V\Gamma\}$  denote the diameter of  $\Gamma$ . For  $x \in V\Gamma$  and for an integer i we denote by  $\Gamma_i(x)$  the set of vertices of  $\Gamma$  at distance i from x. We abbreviate  $\Gamma(x) := \Gamma_1(x)$  and  $k_i(x) = |\Gamma_i(x)|$ .

If  $\Pi$  is an arbitrary partition of the vertex set of a graph  $\Gamma$ , then there is an obvious concept of a quotient graph  $\Gamma/\Pi$ . Given a partition  $\Pi$  on the vertex set of a graph  $\Gamma$  (into nonempty classes), we define the quotient graph  $\Gamma/\Pi$  on the classes of  $\Pi$  by defining two distinct classes  $C, C' \in \Pi$  to be adjacent if  $\Gamma$  contains an edge joining a vertex of C to a vertex of C'. The partition  $\Pi$  is called *regular* (also equitable) if for any two classes  $C, C' \in \Pi$ , the number of vertices in C' adjacent to  $x \in C$  is a constant e(C, C')independent of  $x \in C$ .

A notion of a *covering graph* is an opposite concept of the quotient graph corresponding to the regular partition  $\Pi$  with  $e(C, C') \in \{0, 1\}$  and e(C, C) = 0 for every  $C, C' \in \Pi$ . In the next lemma it is demonstrated that some special graphs with valency k have k-cubes for covering graphs. For, we need two more definitions.

A connected graph  $\Gamma$  is called a *rectagraph* if it is triangle free and if any two vertices of  $\Gamma$  at distance 2 have exactly 2 common neighbours. By Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 1.1.2], every rectagraph is regular. An *s*-*claw* in a graph  $\Gamma$  is a subgraph of  $\Gamma$  which is isomorphic to the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,s}$ .

**Lemma 4** (Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 4.3.6, Cor. 4.3.7]) Let  $\Gamma$  be a rectagraph with v vertices and valency k such that any 3-claw determines a unique 3-cube. Then the following (i), (ii) hold.

- (i) There exists a map  $\pi$  from a k-cube to  $\Gamma$  preserving distances  $\leq 2$ . If  $\Gamma$  does not contain pentagons, then  $\pi$  also preserves distance 3.
- (ii) If x and y are two adjacent vertices of  $\Gamma$ , then each vertex in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  is adjacent to a unique vertex in  $\pi^{-1}(y)$ . In particular,  $|\pi^{-1}(x)| = |\pi^{-1}(y)|$ . It follows that  $v | 2^k$ .

We now define distance-regular graphs. A connected graph  $\Gamma$  with diameter d is said to be *distance-regular*, whenever for all integers  $h, i, j \ (0 \le h, i, j \le d)$ , and all  $x, y \in V\Gamma$ with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\{z \mid z \in V\Gamma, \, \partial(x, z) = i, \, \partial(y, z) = j\}| \tag{1}$$

is independent of x, y. The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are known as the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . For convenience, set  $c_i := p_{1i-1}^i$  for  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $a_i := p_{1i}^i$  for  $0 \le i \le d$ ,  $b_i := p_{1i+1}^i$  for  $0 \le i \le d-1$ , and  $c_0 = b_d = 0$ . Observe that  $k_i = k_i(x) = p_{ii}^0$  for  $0 \le i \le d$  and for all  $x \in V\Gamma$ . It is well known that  $k_i = (b_0 \cdots b_{i-1})/(c_1 \cdots c_d)$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ . Moreover, for  $0 \le i \le d$  we have

$$c_i + a_i + b_i = k,$$

where  $k := k_1$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter d. For each integer  $i \ (0 \le i \le d)$ , let  $A_i$  be the matrix with rows and columns indexed by  $V\Gamma$ , and x, y entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x,y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x,y) \neq i. \end{cases}$$

We call  $A_i$  the *i*th distance matrix of  $\Gamma$ . Observe (i)  $A_0 = I$ , where I denotes the identity matrix; (ii)  $A_i^T = A_i$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{h=0}^d A_h = J$ , where J denotes the all 1's matrix, and (iv)  $A_i A_j = \sum_{h=0}^d p_{ij}^h A_h$   $(0 \le i, j \le d)$ . These properties imply that the matrices  $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_d$  form a basis for a commutative semi-simple  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra M, known as the Bose-Mesner algebra. By Godsil [5, Thm. 12.2.1], the algebra M has a second basis  $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$  such that (i)  $E_0 = |V\Gamma|^{-1}J$ ; (ii)  $E_i^T = E_i$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ ; (iii)  $\sum_{h=0}^d E_h = I$ , and (iv)  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$   $(0 \le i, j \le d)$ . We refer to  $E_0, E_1, \ldots, E_d$  as the principal idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E_0$  as the trivial idempotent.

Set  $A := A_1$  and let  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d$  denote the real numbers which satisfy

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \theta_i E_i.$$

Then  $AE_i = E_i A = \theta_i E_i$   $(0 \le i \le d)$ , and  $\theta_0 = k$ . We refer to  $\theta_i$  as the *eigenvalue* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $E_i$ , and call  $\theta_0$  the *trivial* eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ . For each integer i  $(0 \le i \le d)$ , let  $m_i$  be the rank of  $E_i$ . We refer to  $m_i$  as the *multiplicity* of  $E_i$  (or  $\theta_i$ ).

For notational convenience, we identify  $V\Gamma$  with the standard orthonormal basis in the Euclidean space  $(V, \langle , \rangle)$ , where  $V = \mathbb{R}^{|V\Gamma|}$  (column vectors), and where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = u^t v \quad (u, v \in V).$$

We now review the cosine sequence of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\theta$  be an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ , and let E be the associated principal idempotent. Let  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d$  be the real numbers satisfying

$$E := \frac{m_{\theta}}{|V\Gamma|} \sum_{i=0}^{d} w_i A_i, \tag{2}$$

where  $m_{\theta}$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\theta$ . We refer to  $w_i$  as the *ith cosine* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $\theta$  (or E), and call  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d$  the *cosine sequence* of  $\Gamma$  associated with  $\theta$  (or E). The following basic result can be found for example in Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 4.1.1].

**Lemma 5** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter d. Let  $\theta$  be an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  with multiplicity  $m_{\theta}$ , the associated principal idempotent E, and the associated cosine sequence  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d$ . Then the following (i), (ii) hold.

(i) For all  $x, y \in V\Gamma$  with  $\partial(x, y) = i$  we have  $\langle Ex, Ey \rangle = w_i \cdot m_\theta / |V\Gamma|$ .

(ii) The cosine sequence satisfies  $w_0 = 1$  and the three-term recurrence

$$c_i w_{i-1} + a_i w_i + b_i w_{i+1} = \theta w_i \quad (0 \le i \le d).$$
 (3)

In particular, we have  $w_1 = \theta/k$  and for  $d \ge 2$  also

$$w_2 = (\theta^2 - a_1\theta - k)/(kb_1)$$
 and  $kb_1(1 - w_2) = (k - \theta)(\theta + k - a_1).$  (4)

We end this section with the following definitions. Let  $A \subseteq V\Gamma$  and let E be a principal idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\langle A \rangle_E$  will denote the vector space spanned by  $\{Ea \mid a \in A\}$ . Let  $\ell$  and n be positive integers and let  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$  be vectors in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the *Gram matrix* of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$  is the matrix G of dimension  $\ell \times \ell$  defined by  $G_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle, 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell$ . Observe that the determinant of G is zero if and only if the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\ell$  are linearly dependent.

# 3 On the eigenvalue multiplicity

In this section we will show that a triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  with an eigenvalue multiplicity equal to the valency has some additional combinatorial properties. We begin with a simple observation.

**Lemma 6** Let n be a positive integer, I be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix and J all ones matrix of the same dimension. Then  $det(aI + bJ) = a^{n-1}(a + nb)$  for any scalars a and b.

*Proof.* For the all 1's vector  $\boldsymbol{j}$  we have  $(aI+bJ)\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda \boldsymbol{x}$  if and only if  $(\lambda - a)\boldsymbol{x} = b \langle \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{j} \rangle \boldsymbol{j}$ .

**Lemma 7** Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle-free distance-regular graph with valency  $k \geq 3$ . Let  $\theta \neq \pm k$ be an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  with multiplicity  $m_{\theta}$  and let E be the associated principal idempotent. Then the following (i)–(iv) hold.

- (i)  $m_{\theta} \geq k$ .
- (ii)  $\theta \neq 0$  if and only if  $\langle \Gamma(x) \rangle_E$  has dimension k for all vertices  $x \in V\Gamma$ .
- (iii) If  $\theta = 0$  then  $\langle (\{x\} \cup \Gamma(x)) \setminus \{y\} \rangle_E$  has dimension k for all  $x \in V\Gamma$  and for all  $y \in \Gamma(x)$ .
- (iv) If  $m_{\theta} = k$ , then the girth of  $\Gamma$  is at most five.

*Proof.* (i) This is an immediate consequence of Terwilliger Tree Bound, see [12].

(ii) Let  $\Gamma(x) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$  and let G be the Gram matrix of vectors  $Ey_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . By Lemma 5(i), we have

$$G = \frac{m_{\theta}}{v} \left( I_k + w_2 (J_k - I_k) \right) = \frac{m_{\theta}}{v} \left( (1 - w_2) I_k + w_2 J_k \right),$$

where  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are the identity and the all 1's matrix with dimension  $k \times k$ , and  $v = |V\Gamma|$ . By Lemma 6, we observe that  $(v/m_{\theta})^k \det(G) = (1-w_2)^{k-1}(1+(k-1)w_2)$ . Since  $\theta \neq \pm k$ we have  $1 = w_0 \neq w_2$ . Thus  $\det(G) \neq 0$  if and only if  $w_2 \neq -1/(k-1)$ , implying that the set of vectors  $\{Ey_i \mid i = 1, 2, ..., k\}$  is linearly independent if and only if  $w_2 \neq -1/(k-1)$ , i.e.,  $\theta \neq 0$  by  $a_1 = 0$  and (4).

(iii) Suppose  $\theta = 0$ , i.e.,  $w_1 = 0$  and  $w_2 = -1/(k-1)$ . Let  $\Gamma(x) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $y = y_k$ . Let G be the Gram matrix of vectors  $Ex, Ey_1, Ey_2, \ldots, Ey_{k-1}$ . Using Lemma 5(i), Gauss elimination and Lemma 6, we calculate

$$(v/m_{\theta})^k \det(G) = (1-w_2)^{k-2}(1+(k-2)w_2).$$

But since  $w_2 = -1/(k-1) \neq 1$ , we find  $\det(G) \neq 0$ , showing that the vectors Ex,  $Ey_1$ ,  $Ey_2, \ldots, Ey_{k-1}$  are linearly independent.

(iv) Assume the girth of  $\Gamma$  is greater than 5. Choose  $x \in V\Gamma$  and  $y \in \Gamma(x)$  and let T be the graph induced on  $\Gamma(x) \cup \Gamma(y)$ . Since the girth of  $\Gamma$  is greater than 5, T is a tree and  $\partial_T(v_1, v_2) = \partial_{\Gamma}(v_1, v_2)$  for every  $v_1, v_2 \in VT$ . So, by Terwilliger tree bound,  $m_{\theta} \geq 2(k-1) = k + k - 2 > k$ , a contradiction.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graph with an eigenvalue multiplicity equal to the valency. Since the parameter  $\gamma_1$  always exists, the parameter  $\gamma_2$  is the next to consider. In the next lemma we will show, that the parameter  $\gamma_i$  exists when  $a_i = 0$ , so in particular  $\gamma_2$  exists.

**Lemma 8** Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ , and an eigenvalue  $\theta$  with multiplicity k. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.

- (*i*)  $c_2 \ge 2$ .
- (*ii*)  $\theta \neq 0$ .
- (iii) For all  $1 \le i \le d-1$  with  $a_i = 0$  the parameters  $\gamma_i$  exist. In particular,  $\gamma_1 = 1$  and

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{(k-\theta^2)(2c_2-k) + c_2^2(\theta^2-1)}{\theta^2(k-1)}.$$

*Proof.* Let E be the principal idempotent associated with the eigenvalue  $\theta$ . For a vertex u of  $\Gamma$  we denote by  $\hat{u}$  the vector Eu. Let  $x \in V\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma(x) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_k\}$ .

(i) An immediate consequence of Lemma 7(iv).

(ii) Assume  $\theta = 0$ . Then  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ , (4) and (3) implies  $w_1 = 0 = w_3$  and  $w_2 = -1/(k-1)$ . By Lemma 7(iii),  $\langle (\{x\} \cup \Gamma(x)) \setminus \{y_k\} \rangle_E$  has dimension k. Hence, since the multiplicity of  $\theta$  is k, the set  $\{\hat{x}, \hat{y}_1, \dots, \hat{y}_{k-1}\}$  is a basis for the vector subspace  $\langle V\Gamma \rangle_E$ . Let z be a neighbour of  $y_k$  different from x. So  $\partial(x, z) = 2$ , because  $\Gamma$  is triangle-free, and there exists real numbers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \delta$  such that

$$\hat{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i \, \hat{y}_i + \delta \, \hat{x}. \tag{5}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{c_2-1}$  are adjacent to z. Because  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ , we have  $\partial(z, y_i) = 3$  for  $c_2 \le i \le k - 1$ . By taking the inner product of both sides of Equation (5) with  $\hat{y}_j$ , for j = k and  $j = 1, \ldots, k - 1$ , and using Lemma 5(i) and  $w_1 = 0 = w_3$ , we find

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{\ell} w_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{\ell} w_2 + (1-w_2)\alpha_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}.$$
(6)

Therefore, since  $w_2 = -1/(k-1) \neq 1$ , we obtain  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{k-1} = 0$ . Hence  $\hat{z} = \delta \hat{x}$ . As  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{z}$  have both the same length, it follows that  $\delta = \pm 1$  and so also  $w_2 = \langle \hat{x}, \hat{z} \rangle v/k = \delta \langle \hat{x}, \hat{x} \rangle v/k = \pm 1$ , where v is the number of vertices of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $w_2 = -1/(k-1) \neq 1$  we have -1/(k-1) = -1, i.e., k = 2. A contradiction! Therefore,  $\theta \neq 0$ .

(iii) Suppose  $a_i = 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ . By (ii) above, we have  $\theta \neq 0$  and so also  $w_1 = \theta/k \neq 0$ . Let  $y \in \Gamma_2(x)$  and  $z \in \Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_i(y)$ . Obviously  $\gamma_1 = 1$ , so we assume  $i \geq 2$ . Furthermore, without loss of generality we assume  $\partial(y, y_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq c_2$ . Since  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ , we have  $\partial(y, y_j) = 3$  for  $c_2 + 1 \leq j \leq k$ . By Lemma 7(ii), there exists real numbers  $\alpha_j$  such that

$$\hat{y} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \alpha_{\ell} \, \hat{y}_{\ell}. \tag{7}$$

Similarly as in (ii) above, by taking the inner product of both sides of Equation (7) with  $\hat{y}_j$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ , we find

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} \alpha_{\ell} w_2 + (1 - w_2) \alpha_j = \begin{cases} w_1 & \text{if } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, c_2\} \\ w_3 & \text{if } j \in \{c_2 + 1, \dots, k\}, \end{cases}$$
(8)

and hence  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_{c_2} =: \alpha, \ \alpha_{c_2+1} = \cdots = \alpha_k =: \beta$ . From this, (8) and, by taking the inner product of both sides of (7) also with  $\hat{x}$ , we obtain,

$$w_1 = \alpha + ((c_2 - 1)\alpha + \beta(k - c_2))w_2$$
 and  $w_2 = (c_2\alpha + \beta(k - c_2))w_1.$  (9)

As  $w_2 \neq 1$ ,  $w_1 \neq 0$  and  $k \neq c_2$ , we can solve the above two equalities for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{(w_1 - w_2)(w_1 + w_2)}{w_1(1 - w_2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{w_2(w_2 - 1) + c_2(w_1 - w_2)(w_1 + w_2)}{(k - c_2)w_1(w_2 - 1)}$$

Let  $\gamma = \gamma_i(x, y, z)$  be the cardinality of the set  $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)$ . We may assume  $\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma(y) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z) = \{y_1, \ldots, y_\gamma\}$ . Observe that  $a_i = 0$  implies  $\partial(z, y_j) = i + 1$  for  $\gamma + 1 \leq j \leq c_2$ . Since  $|\Gamma(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(z)| = c_i$ , we may assume  $\partial(z, y_j) = i - 1$  for  $c_2 + 1 \leq j \leq c_2 + c_i - \gamma$ . Again, since  $a_i = 0$ , we have  $\partial(z, y_j) = i + 1$  for  $c_2 + c_i - \gamma + 1 \leq j \leq k$ . By calculating the inner product of  $\hat{y}$  and  $\hat{z}$ , we get

$$w_{i} = \gamma \alpha w_{i-1} + (c_{2} - \gamma) \alpha w_{i+1} + (c_{i} - \gamma) \beta w_{i-1} + (k - c_{2} - c_{i} + \gamma) \beta w_{i+1}.$$
 (10)

Observe that (10) is a linear equation for  $\gamma$  with the coefficient beside  $\gamma$  equal to  $(\alpha - \beta)(w_{i-1} - w_{i+1})$ . Let us show that  $(\alpha - \beta)(w_{i-1} - w_{i+1}) \neq 0$ . Observe  $\alpha - \beta = \theta/(k - c_2) \neq 0$  since  $\theta \neq 0$ . Let us suppose  $w_{i-1} = w_{i+1}$ . Then, by  $a_i = 0$  and (3), we obtain  $\theta w_i = c_i w_{i-1} + b_i w_{i+1} = k w_{i+1}$  and thus  $w_{i+1} = w_1 w_i$ . By Equation (10), we find  $w_i = w_{i+1}(\alpha c_2 + \beta(k - c_2))$ , and hence, by the second equation of (9), we have  $w_2 w_{i+1} = w_1 w_i$ . Therefore,  $w_2 w_1 w_i = w_1 w_i$ . If  $w_i = 0$ , then also  $w_{i-1} = w_{i+1} = 0$ . But then, by the recursion relation of the cosine sequence  $\{w_i\}$ , we have also  $w_1 = 0$ , a contradiction. So  $w_i \neq 0$  and hence  $w_2 = 1$ , which is equivalent to  $\theta = \pm k$  and this is clearly impossible. Hence we can calculate  $\gamma$  from Equation (10) and is therefore independent of the choice of x, y, z.

We obtain the formula for  $\gamma_2$  from (10) for i = 2.

The following result and its proof are essentially the same as in Nomura [10, Lemma 5.1].

**Lemma 9** Let  $\Gamma$  be a triangle- and pentagon-free distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , valency k. Pick an integer  $i, 2 \leq i \leq d-1$ , such that  $a_i = 0$  and that the parameter  $\gamma_i$  exists. Then

- (i) If  $\gamma_2$  exists, then  $(k-2)(\gamma_2-1) = (c_2-1)(c_2-2)$ ; (ii)  $\gamma_i(c_{i+1}-1) = c_i(c_2-1)$ .
- $(ii) /_i (c_{i+1} 1) = c_i (c_2 1).$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $u \in V\Gamma$  and  $v \in \Gamma(u)$ ,  $w \in \Gamma_2(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ . Count the number of edges between  $\Gamma(u) \cap \Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma_2(v)$  and  $\Gamma(v) \cap \Gamma_2(u) \cap \Gamma_2(w)$  in two different ways.

(ii) Let  $u \in V\Gamma$  and  $v \in \Gamma_i(u)$ ,  $w \in \Gamma_{i+1}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$ . Count the number of edges between  $\Gamma_{i-1}(u) \cap \Gamma(v)$  and  $\Gamma_i(u) \cap \Gamma(w) \cap \Gamma_2(v)$  in two different ways.

## 4 Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3

In this section we prove our main results. In order to prove Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we will first prove Theorem 10 and Theorem 11, which are also of independent interest. Recall that we have identified the vertex set of an arbitrary graph  $\Delta$  with the standard orthonormal basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $n = |V\Delta|$ . For an arbitrary vector  $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for every  $x \in V\Delta$  we denote by  $\boldsymbol{v}_x = \langle \boldsymbol{v}, x \rangle$  the component of  $\boldsymbol{v}$  corresponding to the vertex x.

**Theorem 10** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$  and  $c_i = i$  for i = 1, 2, 3. Then  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue  $\theta \in \{k - 2, 2 - k\}$  if and only if  $\Gamma$  is the k-cube or k odd,  $k \geq 7$  and  $\Gamma$  is the folded k-cube.

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  is the k-cube or k odd,  $k \ge 7$  and  $\Gamma$  is the folded k-cube, then  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue  $\theta = k - 2$  or  $\theta = 2 - k$  respectively, see Brouwer et al. [1, p. 261, p. 264].

Let us now assume the graph  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue  $\theta \in \{k - 2, 2 - k\}$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is a rectagraph (i.e.,  $a_1 = 0$  and  $c_2 = 2$ ),  $c_3 = 3$  and  $a_2 = 0 \leq 3$ , every 3-claw in  $\Gamma$  determines a unique 3-cube by Brouwer et al. [1, Lemma 4.3.5 (ii)]. Therefore, by Lemma 4(i), there exists a map  $\pi$  from the k-cube  $\Delta$  to  $\Gamma$ , which preserves distances 1, 2 and 3. Let  $\Pi = \{\pi^{-1}(x) \mid x \in V\Gamma\}$ . Then two vertices in the same class are at distance at least 7. By Lemma 4(ii), between two classes of  $\Pi$  there is either a perfect matching or nothing (so the partition  $\Pi$  of the vertex set of  $\Delta$  is uniformly regular), and the quotient graph  $\Delta/\Pi$  is the graph  $\Gamma$ . Hence the eigenvalue  $\theta$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\Delta$ , see Godsil [5, Lemma 5.2.2(a)].

We construct an eigenvector of  $\theta$  in  $\Delta$  from the cosine sequence  $w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d$  of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $\theta$ . Choose  $x_0 \in V\Gamma$ . So  $(w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_d)^T$  is a (right) eigenvector of the tridiagonal matrix corresponding to the distance partition of the graph  $\Gamma$ , see Brouwer et al. [1, Sect. 4.1B], and a vector  $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $n = |V\Gamma|$ , defined by  $\boldsymbol{v}_x = w_i$  if and only if  $\partial(x_0, x) = i$ , is an eigenvector of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $\theta$  by Godsil [5, Lemma 5.2.2(a)]. For  $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$  define

$$D_i = \bigcup \{ \pi^{-1}(x) \mid x \in V\Gamma, \ \partial(x_0, x) = i \}.$$

Observe that, by Brouwer et al. [1, Lemma 11.1.4],  $D_i = \{\overline{x} \in V\Delta \mid \partial(\overline{x}, D_0) = i\}$ , where  $\partial(\overline{x}, D_0) = \min\{\partial(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \mid \overline{y} \in D_0\}$ . Therefore, the vector  $\overline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{2^k}$ , defined by  $\overline{v}_{\overline{x}} = (k/2^k)w_i$  if and only if  $\overline{x} \in D_i$ , is an eigenvector of  $\Delta$  corresponding to  $\theta$ , such that  $\overline{v}_{\overline{x}} = (k/2^k)w_0 = k/2^k$  if  $\overline{x} \in D_0$ .

Choose  $\overline{x}_0 \in D_0$  and let  $\overline{x}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , be the neighbours of  $\overline{x}_0$  in  $\Delta$ . They are members of  $D_1$ , since the map  $\pi$  preserves adjacency. Let E be the principal idempotent of  $\Delta$  corresponding to  $\theta$ . We will now show that  $\overline{\boldsymbol{v}} = E\overline{x}_0$ . Note that we have  $E\overline{\boldsymbol{v}} = \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}$ , since  $\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}$  is an eigenvector for  $\Delta$  corresponding to  $\theta$ , and that the vectors  $E\overline{x}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are a basis of the eigenspace corresponding to  $\theta$ , by Lemma 7, as the multiplicity of  $\theta$  in  $\Delta$  is k, see Brouwer et al. [1, p. 261]. We have, by Lemma 5 and the definition of the vector  $\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}$ ,

$$\langle E\overline{x}_0, E\overline{x}_i \rangle = \frac{k}{2^k} \frac{\theta}{k} = \frac{k}{2^k} w_1 = \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}_{\overline{x}_i} = \langle \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}, \overline{x}_i \rangle = \langle E\overline{\boldsymbol{v}}, \overline{x}_i \rangle = \langle \overline{\boldsymbol{v}}, E\overline{x}_i \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i \le k.$$

Therefore,  $\overline{\boldsymbol{v}} = E\overline{x}_0$ . Let  $w_0(\Delta), w_1(\Delta), \ldots, w_k(\Delta)$  be the cosine sequence corresponding to  $\theta$  in  $\Delta$ . Observe that  $(E\overline{x}_0)_{\overline{x}} = (k/2^k)w_i(\Delta)$  if and only if  $\partial(\overline{x}_0, \overline{x}) = i$ , since  $E = (k/2^k) \sum_{j=0}^d w_j(\Delta)A_j$ , where  $A_j$  is the *j*-th distance matrix of  $\Delta$ . So, by Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 4.4.7], the set  $\{\overline{x} \in V\Delta \mid (E\overline{x}_0)_{\overline{x}} = k/2^k\}$ , which contains  $D_0$ , is either  $\{\overline{x}_0\}$  or  $\{\overline{x}_0, \overline{y}_0\}$ , where  $\overline{y}_0$  is the unique vertex in  $\Delta$ , which is at distance k from  $\overline{x}_0$ . It follows  $\Gamma$ is either the k-cube, or the folded k-cube. Assume  $\Gamma$  is the folded k-cube. If k is even, then neither k - 2 nor 2 - k is eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ , see Brouwer et al. [1, p. 264]. Hence kmust be odd. If k is 5, then d = 2, thus  $k \geq 7$ .

**Theorem 11** Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 3$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ , for which the parameter  $\gamma_2$  exist. Then (i)  $\gamma_2 = c_2 = 1$ , or (ii)  $\gamma_2 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 2$  and  $c_3 = 3$ , or (iii)  $\Gamma$  is bipartite 2-homogeneous graph.

Proof. Set  $\gamma := \gamma_2$ . By  $k \ge 3$ , it follows that  $\gamma \ne 0$ . We first consider the case  $\gamma = 1$ . If  $c_2 = 1$  then we obtain the case (i), so we may assume  $c_2 \ge 2$ . Then it follows from Lemma 9(i) that  $c_2 = 2$  and hence, by Lemma 9(ii),  $c_3 = 3$ . So we obtain the case (ii). It remains to consider the case  $\gamma \ge 2$ . Then we have, by Lemma 9(i),  $c_2 > 2$ . If  $\gamma \ge c_2$ , then we obtain from Lemma 9(i)  $c_2 \ge k$ , which is not possible as  $d \ge 3$ . Thus  $c_2 > \gamma$ . Let us show  $2c_3 \ge k+3$ . As  $c_2 > \gamma$ , it follows that  $c_2\gamma - 2c_2 + 2\gamma = c_2(\gamma - 2) + 2\gamma > \gamma^2 > \gamma(\gamma - 1)$ , so

$$\frac{c_2\gamma - 2c_2 + 2\gamma}{\gamma(\gamma - 1)} > 1$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 9 we calculate

$$k = \frac{(c_2 - 1)(c_2 - 2)}{\gamma - 1} + 2$$
 and  $c_3 = \frac{c_2(c_2 - 1)}{\gamma} + 1$ , (11)

so we have

$$2c_3 - k = (c_2 - 1)\frac{c_2\gamma - 2c_2 + 2\gamma}{\gamma(\gamma - 1)}$$

It follows that  $2c_3 - k > c_2 - 1 \ge 2$ , i.e.,  $2c_3 \ge k + 3$ .

We will now derive two consequences, namely that  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and has diameter at most 5. Suppose  $\Gamma$  is not bipartite and let *i* be the minimal integer such that  $a_i > 0$ . By

Brouwer et al. [1, Prop 5.5.4 (ii)],  $i \ge 3$  and  $2c_3 \ge k+3$ , we find  $a_i \ge c_i \ge c_3 > k/2$ , which is clearly impossible. So  $\Gamma$  is bipartite. The bound  $d \le 5$  follows immediately from  $b_3 < c_3$ , see Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 4.1.6(ii)].

Let us now show that  $\Gamma$  is 2-homogeneous. We will first show that  $c_2 | 2\gamma(\gamma+1)$ . Since  $k_2 = k(k-1)/c_2$  is integral,  $c_2$  divides k(k-1). From Lemma 9(i) we calculate  $k(\gamma-1)$  and  $(k-1)(\gamma-1)$  in order to find  $(\gamma-1)^2k(k-1) = (c_2^2 - 3c_2 + 2\gamma)(c_2^2 - 3c_2 + \gamma + 1)$ . Thus  $c_2$  must divide  $2\gamma(\gamma+1)$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, we obtain from (11)

$$b_3 = k - c_3 = \frac{(c_2 - \gamma)(c_2 - \gamma - 1)}{\gamma(\gamma - 1)}.$$
(12)

Let us now consider separately the cases d = 3, d = 4 and d = 5. If d = 3, then  $b_3 = 0$ and hence, by (12),  $(c_2 - \gamma)(c_2 - \gamma - 1) = 0$ . Since  $c_2 > \gamma$ , we obtain  $c_2 = \gamma + 1$ . But then, by (11),  $k = \gamma + 2$  and  $\Gamma$  is the complete bipartite graph  $K_{k+1,k+1}$  minus a matching, which is 2-homogeneous by Theorem 1.

Assume d = 4. By Brouwer et al. [1, Lemma 4.1.7], we find  $p_{42}^4 = k(k - 1 - c_3)/c_2$ . But since  $p_{42}^4$  is integral,  $c_2$  divides  $k(k - 1 - c_3)$ . By direct computation, we find from  $(11) \gamma(\gamma - 1)^2 k(k - 1 - c_3) = (c_2 - 1)(c_2 - 2\gamma)(c_2^2 - 3c_2 + 2\gamma)$ , hence  $c_2$  divides  $4\gamma^2$ . But  $c_2$  divides also  $2\gamma(\gamma + 1)$ , so  $c_2 | 4\gamma$ . Since  $c_2 > \gamma$ , we obtain  $c_2 \in \{4\gamma/3, 2\gamma, 4\gamma\}$ . We will consider each of this three cases separately. If  $c_2 = 4\gamma$  then, by the integrality of k,  $\gamma \in \{2, 3, 4, 7\}$ . Only for  $\gamma = 3$  the number  $k_2$  is integral, but in this case the number  $p_{42}^4$  is not integral. If  $c_2 = 2\gamma$  then, by (11),  $k = 4\gamma$  and  $c_3 = 4\gamma - 1$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{4\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 2\gamma, 1; 1, 2\gamma, 4\gamma - 1, 4\gamma\}$ , i.e., a Hadamard graph, which is 2-homogeneous by Theorem 1. Finally, if  $c_2 = 4\gamma/3$ , then, by the integrality of k,  $\gamma = 3$ . But in this case we have  $c_2 = 4$ , k = 5 and  $c_3 = 5$ , which is in contradiction with d = 4.

Assume d = 5. Then  $b_3 \ge c_2$  by Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 4.1.6(ii)], and from (12) we obtain  $(c_2 - \gamma)(c_2 - \gamma - 1) \ge c_2\gamma(\gamma - 1)$ , i.e.,  $c_2 \ge \gamma(\gamma + 1)$ . Since  $c_2 | 2\gamma(\gamma + 1)$ , we have  $c_2 \in \{\gamma(\gamma+1), 2\gamma(\gamma+1)\}$ . Suppose first  $c_2 = 2\gamma(\gamma+1)$ . By the integrality of k, we obtain  $(\gamma - 1) | 6$ , so  $\gamma \in \{2, 3, 4, 7\}$ . But for none of this possibilities the number  $k_3$  is integral. So we can assume  $c_2 = \gamma(\gamma+1)$ . Then we have  $c_2 = b_3$  and  $c_3 = b_2$ . Therefore,  $c_4 | k(k-1)$  by the integrality of  $k_4$ . If  $c_4 = k - 1$ , then  $\Gamma$  is a distance-regular graph with intersection array  $\{k, k - 1, k - c, c, 1; 1, c, k - c, k - 1, k\}$ , where  $k = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1), c = c_2 = \gamma(\gamma + 1)$ . In this case  $\Gamma$  is 2-homogeneous by Theorem 1. Assume now  $c_4 < k - 1$ . Since  $c_4 | k(k-1)$ , we have  $c_4 = k(k-1)/(k+a)$  for some positive integer a and, by  $c_4 \ge c_3$ , we obtain  $a \le \gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 1 - 1/(\gamma(\gamma + 2))$ . Hence, by the integrality of  $a, a \le \gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 2$ . Observe that  $\Gamma$  is not antipodal because  $b_4 = k - c_4 > c_1 = 1$ . Hence, by Brouwer et al. [1, Prop. 5.6.1] and  $a_5 = 0$ , we must have  $k_2 \le k_5(k_5 - 1)$ . By direct computation, we obtain

$$k_2 = (\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1)(\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 1)$$
 and  $k_5 = a + 1$ . Thus  
 $(\gamma^2 + 3\gamma + 1)(\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 1) \le (a + 1)a \le (\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 1)(\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 2),$ 

which gives us  $\gamma \leq -3$ , a contradiction!

We are now ready to give the proofs of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Since distance-regular graphs with k = 2 are cycles, we can assume  $k \geq 3$ . If d = 2, then  $\Gamma$  is a bipartite strongly regular graph, i.e., a complete bipartite graph  $K_{k,k}$ . But the only complete bipartite graph with an eigenvalue multiplicity equal to its valency is the 4-gon, i.e., the 2-cube. Thus we can assume  $d \geq 3$ . If  $\Gamma$  has an eigenvalue with multiplicity k, then, by Lemma 8,  $c_2 \geq 2$ , parameter  $\gamma_2$  exists and it is equal to

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{(k-\theta^2)(2c_2-k) + c_2^2(\theta^2-1)}{\theta^2(k-1)}.$$
(13)

By Theorem 11, either  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous, or  $\gamma_2 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 2$  and  $c_3 = 3$ . In the first case we are done by Theorem 1, so assume  $\gamma_2 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 2$  and  $c_3 = 3$ . From (13) we find  $\theta \in \{k - 2, 2 - k\}$ , so, by Theorem 10,  $\Gamma$  is the k-cube or  $k \ge 7$ , k odd and  $\Gamma$  is the folded k-cube.

On the other hand, graphs (ii)-(v) from Theorem 2 are bipartite (and hence triangleand pentagon-free) and they all have an eigenvalue with multiplicity k by Theorem 1. Any n-gon,  $n \ge 6$ , is also triangle- and pentagon-free and it has an eigenvalue  $2\cos(2\pi/n)$ with multiplicity 2. Finally, folded k-cube, k odd and  $k \ge 7$ , is triangle- and pentagon-free and it has eigenvalue 2 - k with multiplicity k.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Since distance-regular graphs with k = 2 are cycles, we can assume  $k \geq 3$ . If  $\Gamma$  is almost 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $d \geq 4$ , then parameter  $\gamma_2$  exists. So, by Theorem 11, either  $\Gamma$  is bipartite and 2-homogeneous, or  $\gamma_2 = 1$ . If the first case the result follows from Nomura [10, Thm. 1.2]. Therefore, assume  $\gamma_2 = 1$ .

If  $c_2 = 1$ , then, by Curtin [4, Thm. 4.4],  $\Gamma$  is a regular generalized 2*d*-gon of order (1, k - 1). But, by  $d \ge 4$  and Brouwer et al. [1, Thm. 6.5.1],  $2d \in \{8, 12\}$ . If  $c_2 \ge 2$ , then, by Curtin [4, Thm. 4.7],  $\Gamma$  is the *d*-cube or the folded 2*d*-cube.

On the other hand, graphs (i)-(iv) from Theorem 3 are almost 2-homogeneous since they are 2-homogeneous by Theorem 1, while the folded 2*d*-cube and a regular generalized 2*d*-gon of order (1, k - 1) are almost 2-homogeneous by Curtin [4, Thm. 4.4, Thm. 4.7].

### 5 Conclusions and comments

The conditions  $c_3 = 3$  and  $a_2 = 0$  in Theorem 10 are probably not necessary. If  $\theta = k - 2$ , these conditions are not necessary, see Brouwer et al. [1, Thm. 4.4.11], but our proof

does not seem to generalize in order to show this.

The argument of the proof of Theorem 10 follows an approach due to Meyerowitz [7], who classified the completely regular codes of strength 0, that is a completely regular code, whose quotient matrix has k - 2 as an eigenvalue, in the Hamming schemes, see also [2].

# References

- A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, C. D. Godsil, J. H. Koolen, W. J. Martin, Width and dual width of subsets in polynomial association schemes, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 102 (2003), 255–271.
- [3] B. Curtin, 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, Discrete Math. 187 (1998), 39–70.
- B. Curtin, Almost 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, European J. Combin. 21 (2000), 865–876.
- [5] C. D. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.
- [6] A. Hedayat and W. D. Wallis, Hadamard matrices and their applications, Ann. Statist. 6 (1978), 1184–1238.
- [7] A. Meyerowitz, Cycle-balanced conditions for distance-regular graphs, Discrete Math. 264 (2003), 149–165.
- [8] A. Neumaier, Completely regular codes, *Discrete Math.* **106/107** (1992), 353–360.
- [9] K. Nomura, Homogeneous graphs and regular near polygons, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 60 (1994), 63–71.
- [10] K. Nomura, Spin models on bipartite distance-regular graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 64 (1995), 300–313.
- [11] J. Seberry and M. Yamada, Hadamard Matrices, Sequences, and Block Designs, Ch. 11 in Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys (Ed. J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson). New York: Wiley, 431–560, 1992.
- P. Terwilliger, Eigenvalue multiplicities of highly symmetric graphs, *Discrete Math.* 41 (1982), 295– 302.
- [13] N. Yamazaki, Bipartite distance-regular graphs with eigenvalue of multiplicity k, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 66 (1996), 34–37.