

On the spectrums of frame multiresolution analyses ^{*}

Hong Oh Kim[†], Rae Young Kim[‡] and Jae Kun Lim[§]

January 2, 2003

Abstract

We first give conditions for a univariate square integrable function to be a scaling function of a frame multiresolution analysis (FMRA) by generalizing the corresponding conditions for a scaling function of a multiresolution analysis (MRA). We also characterize the spectrum of the ‘central space’ of an FMRA, and then give a new condition for an FMRA to admit a single frame wavelet solely in terms of the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA. This improves the results previously obtained by Benedetto and Treiber and by some of the authors. Our methods and results are applied to the problem of the ‘containments’ of FMRA in MRAs. We first prove that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA, and then we characterize those MRAs that contain ‘genuine’ FMRA in terms of the unique low-pass filters of the MRAs and the spectrums of the central spaces of the FMRA to be contained. This characterization shows, in particular, that if the low-pass filter of an MRA is almost everywhere zero-free, as is the case of the MRAs of Daubechies, then the MRA contains no FMRA other than itself.

1 Introduction

A multiresolution analysis (MRA) was introduced by Mallat [22] and Meyer [23] primarily as a tool to construct and analyze the orthonormal wavelets. Ever

^{*}The first author was partly supported by KRF-2002-070-00004, and the second by KOSEF (98-0701-0301-5).

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 42C40

Keywords: Multiresolution analysis, Frame, Wavelets, Shift-invariant spaces, Spectrum

[†]Division of Applied Mathematics, KAIST, 373-1 Kusong-Dong Yusong-Gu Taejon 305-701, Korea (hkim@amath.kaist.ac.kr)

[‡]Division of Applied Mathematics, KAIST, 373-1 Kusong-Dong Yusong-Gu Taejon 305-701, Korea (rykim@amath.kaist.ac.kr)

[§]CHiPS, KAIST, 373-1 Kusong-Dong Yusong-Gu Taejon 305-701, Korea (jaekun@ftn.kaist.ac.kr)

since its introduction it has been applied in such diverse fields as subband coding, image compression, mathematical tomography, and the numerical solution of the partial differential equations [10]. In particular, Daubechies' celebrated constructions of compactly supported orthonormal wavelets with arbitrary regularity used the full structures of MRAs [9]. Then, its generalization, a frame multiresolution analysis (FMRA), was considered and applied in the analysis of narrow band signals with more freedom in the constructions of wavelets with fast iterative structures by Benedetto and Li [1]. This paper is the continuation of our previous works in which various characterizations of the entities comprising an MRA or an FMRA were given [15, 16, 17, 19, 20]. We first characterize the scaling functions and the spectrums of the 'central' space of an FMRA. Then, we give a new condition for an FMRA to admit a single frame wavelet solely in terms of the spectrum of the central space of the FMRA. Other such characterizations in terms of the zero sets of the low-pass filters of an FMRA were given by Benedetto and Treiber [2] and by some of the authors [20], independently, and their generalizations were considered in another article of ours [17]. Our characterizations of the scaling functions of an FMRA and the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA are applied to the problem of the containments of FMRA in MRAs. In particular, we show that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA. Then the MRAs containing 'genuine' FMRA are also characterized in terms of the unique low-pass filters of the MRAs and the spectrums of the central spaces of the FMRA to be contained. The latter characterization shows, in particular, that if the low-pass filter of an MRA is almost everywhere zero-free, as is the case of the MRAs of Daubechies, then the MRA contains no FMRA other than itself.

Before we go into the details we introduce some notations which will be used throughout this article. Let $D : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the unitary dyadic dilation operator such that, for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$Df(x) := 2^{1/2}f(2x),$$

and let, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $T_t : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the unitary translation operator such that, for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$T_t f(x) := f(x - t).$$

We now state the definition of the MRA of Mallat and Meyer and that of the FMRA of Benedetto and Li.

Definition 1.1 *A family $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of closed subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be an MRA if*

- (i) $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (ii) $D(V_j) = V_{j+1}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$;

(iii) $\overline{\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$;

(iv) *There exists a scaling function $\varphi \in V_0$ such that $\{T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V_0 .*

On the other hand, $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is said to be an FMRA if Condition (iv) is replaced by

(v) *There exists a scaling function $\varphi \in V_0$ such that $\{T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tight frame with a frame bound one for V_0 .*

We refer to [8, 10, 11, 31] for the definitions and the basic properties of frames and Riesz bases of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Note that even though an FMRA is more general than an MRA, a modifier is attached to it. The normalizations in Conditions (iv) and (v) are not restrictive. It is well-known that if the integer shifts of a square integrable function form a Riesz basis (frame) of its closed linear span, then there is another element of the closed linear span such that its integer shifts form an orthonormal basis (tight frame with frame bound one, respectively) for the same closed linear span [4, 10, 23].

Suppose we are given an MRA with a scaling function φ . Since $\varphi \in V_0 \subset V_1$ and since $\{DT_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V_1 , there exists unique $a \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a(k) DT_k \varphi.$$

Taking the Fourier transform of the both sides yields a unique $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = m(x/2)\hat{\varphi}(x/2) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi].$$

This m is called the *low-pass filter* of the MRA with the given scaling function φ .

On the other hand, suppose that we are given an FMRA, and that φ is a scaling function of the FMRA. Then (1.1) still holds, and the low-pass filter m is still an element of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$. The low-pass filter, however, is not unique since the integer shifts of the scaling function are assumed to be a frame, not necessarily a Riesz basis, of its closed linear span [31]. In some situations, the low-pass filter, rather than the scaling function, plays the central role in the theory and the applications of FMRA's [1, 2, 20]. In this article we are going to elaborate that this non-uniqueness of the low-pass filter does not, in any way, matter in characterizing various aspects of FMRA's since it is the 'spectrum' of the central space of an FMRA, rather than the low-pass filter, that determines the structure of the FMRA.

The article is organized in the following manner: In Section 2, after a brief introduction of notations and conventions, we characterize the scaling functions of an FMRA (Theorem 2.3) and the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA (Theorem 2.5). Then we give another condition for an FMRA to admit a single frame wavelet solely in terms of the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA (Theorem 2.7). Examples illustrating our results are also given. In Section 3, we first show that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA (Theorem 3.2). Then we find the conditions for an MRA to contain an FMRA in terms of the spectrum of the central space of the FMRA to be contained and the unique low-pass filter of the MRA (Theorem 3.3). As a corollary we show that if the unique low-pass filter of an MRA is almost everywhere zero-free, as is the case of the Daubechies' MRAs, then no FMRA other than itself is contained in the MRA (Corollary 3.4).

2 Scaling functions and spectrums of FMRA's

In this section we characterize the scaling functions of FMRA's (Theorem 2.3) and the spectrums of the central spaces of FMRA's (Theorem 2.5). We then give a new condition for an FMRA to admit a single frame wavelet ([2, 19, 20]) in Theorem 2.7. We first fix the notations and introduce some concepts that will be used later.

A closed subspace S of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be *shift-invariant* if $T_k f \in S$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in S$. We refer to [4, 5, 14, 12, 26, 30] for the details about the shift-invariant spaces. Let $\Phi \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$S := \mathcal{S}(\Phi) := \overline{\text{span}}\{T_k \varphi : \varphi \in \Phi, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is clearly a shift-invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In this case we say that S is the shift-invariant space *generated* by Φ . The following form of the Fourier transform is used in this paper: for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\hat{f}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-ixt} dt.$$

Of course, the Plancherel theorem extends the Fourier transform to a $\sqrt{2\pi}$ times a unitary operator of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$\hat{f}_{||x} := (\hat{f}(x + 2\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

which is in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$ and, for $A \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, let

$$\hat{A}_{||x} := \{\hat{f}_{||x} : f \in A\}.$$

For a shift-invariant subspace $S \subset L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the *spectrum* $\sigma(S)$ of S is defined to be

$$\sigma(S) := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : \hat{S}_{||x} \neq \{0\}\}.$$

We use the following notational conventions throughout the paper. For $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, we let

$$\tilde{E} := E + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

If E is a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R} , then $|E|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of E . All subsets of \mathbb{R} in this paper, with some exceptions which are clear from the context, are defined modulo Lebesgue null sets, and the containments and equalities among subsets of \mathbb{R} are also in the sense of modulo Lebesgue null sets. We also use the convention that the multiplication of a function f defined on the real line with a function p defined on \mathbb{T} means the multiplication of f with the 2π -periodic extension of p .

The first statement of the following proposition is an almost folklore result. See [4, 10]. The proof of the second statement, using different techniques, can be found, for example, in [1, 4, 6, 7, 19, 26].

Proposition 2.1 *For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, $\{T_k f : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of its closed linear span if and only if*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T};$$

It is a tight frame with frame bound one for its closed linear span if and only if

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus N,$$

where $N := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : \hat{f}|_x = 0\}$.

We need the following proposition which is Theorem 4.3 in [3].

Proposition 2.2 ([3]) *For $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V_j := \overline{\text{span}}\{D^j T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if*

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi}) = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi}(2^j \cdot)) = \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.1)$$

The following is a generalization of Theorem 5.2 in Chapter 7 of [13]. See also [29]. We present a quick proof of this generalization by using Proposition 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 *For $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V_j := \overline{\text{span}}\{D^j T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA with a scaling function φ if and only if:*

- (1) $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = 0$ or 1 for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$;
- (2) There exists $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$, called a low-pass filter, such that $\hat{\varphi}(2x) = m(x)\hat{\varphi}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$;

(3) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)| = 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow): (1) follows from Proposition 2.1. Since $\varphi \in V_0 \subset V_1$ and since $\{DT_k\varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tight frame for V_1 with frame bound one,

$$\varphi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \varphi, DT_k\varphi \rangle DT_k\varphi.$$

(2) follows by taking the Fourier transform of the both sides of the above equation. By Proposition 2.2, for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $l_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\hat{\varphi}(2^{l_x}x) \neq 0$. For any $-j < l_x$, we have, by a repeated application of Condition (2) of Theorem 2.3,

$$0 < |\hat{\varphi}(2^{l_x}x)| = \left(\prod_{k=l_x-1}^{-j} |m(2^kx)| \right) |\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)|. \quad (2.2)$$

Conditions (1) and (2) imply that, for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k)|^2 \\ &= |m(x)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 \\ &= |m(x)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore $|m(x)| \leq 1$ for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0)^\sim$. (2.2) implies that $2^kx \in \sigma(V_0)^\sim$ for each $k \leq l_x$. Consequently, it implies that $|\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)|$ is non-decreasing, and, hence, converges to a positive number, say, α_x as $j \rightarrow \infty$. We have, by Condition (1), $\alpha_x \leq 1$. We now follow the line of argument in the proof of Theorem 1.7 in Chapter 2 of [13]. Since $\{D^jT_k\varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tight frame with frame bound one, $P_j f := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, D^jT_k\varphi \rangle D^jT_k\varphi$ is an orthogonal projection onto V_j . Let $f := \check{\chi}_{[-1,1]}$, where $\check{\chi}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform. Then $\|P_j f\|^2 \rightarrow \|f\|^2 = 1/\pi$ by Condition (iii) of Definition 1.1. Let $j \geq 1$. Since $P_j f \in V_j$ and since $\{D^jT_k\varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tight frame with frame bound one for V_j ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_j f\|^2 &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, D^jT_k\varphi \rangle|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{-1}^1 2^{-j/2} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(2^{-j}x) e^{-i2^{-j}kx} dx \right|^2 \\ &= 2^j \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \chi_{[-2^{-j}, 2^{-j}]}(x) \overline{\hat{\varphi}}(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{2^j}{2\pi} \int_{-2^{-j}}^{2^{-j}} |\hat{\varphi}(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 |\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the Parseval's theorem is used in the next-to-last equality. Now the dominated convergence theorem implies that $\alpha_x = 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

(\Leftarrow): (1) and (2) imply Conditions (i), (ii) and (v) of Definition 1.1. $\bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_j = \{0\}$ by Corollary 4.14 of [3]. Considering Proposition 2.2 we only need to show that $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi}) = \mathbb{R}$, which follows by (3). \square

Suppose that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA with a scaling function φ . Then there exists a low-pass filter $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying

$$\hat{\varphi}(2x) = m(x)\hat{\varphi}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now characterize the spectrum of V_0 (Theorems 2.5). We first derive some characterizing properties of $A := \sigma(V_0) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Notice that (2.4) is equivalent to:

$$(\hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)(\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Recall that $|m(x)| \leq 1$ for a.e. $x \in \tilde{A}$ by (2.3).

Now, let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the support of $\hat{\varphi}$, and define

$$B_{\mathbb{T}} := \{x \pmod{2\pi} : x \in B\} \subset \mathbb{T} = [-\pi, \pi].$$

Then the following should hold:

$$B \subset \tilde{A}; \quad (2.5)$$

$$B_{\mathbb{T}} = A; \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}B \subset B. \quad (2.7)$$

Notice that (2.6) implies (2.5). Note that the support of m contains $(1/2)B = \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi}(2\cdot))$ by (2.4). Since m is 2π -periodic, $((1/2)B)^{\sim} \subset \text{supp}(m)$. Hence

$$\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}B \right)^{\sim} \cap B \right) \subset (\text{supp}(m) \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})) \subset \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi}(2\cdot)) = \frac{1}{2}B,$$

again, by (2.4). Combining this fact with (2.7) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}B = \left(\frac{1}{2}B \right)^{\sim} \cap B. \quad (2.8)$$

Obviously, (2.8) implies (2.7). These facts lead us to:

Theorem 2.4 *Let $A \subset [-\pi, \pi]$. Then there exist $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ satisfying (2.4) with*

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T} \quad (2.9)$$

if and only if there exists $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Conditions (2.6) and (2.8). In this case, (2.5) and (2.7) hold.

Proof. We only need to show that Conditions (2.6) and (2.8) imply the existence of such φ and m . Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a compactly supported function such that

$$\hat{f}(2x) = n(x)\hat{f}(x)$$

holds for any real x for some trigonometric polynomial n . We may choose, for example, $f := \chi_{[0,1]}$. Then \hat{f} has, being a restriction of an entire function by a well-known theorem of Paley and Wiener [27], at most a countable number of zeros. Note also that n has finite number of zeros. If we define g and p by

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{g}(x) &:= \hat{f}(x)\chi_B(x), \\ p(x) &:= n(x)\chi_{((1/2)B)^\sim}(x),\end{aligned}$$

then, obviously, $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and p is 2π -periodic. Moreover,

$$\hat{g}(2x) = \hat{f}(2x)\chi_{(1/2)B}(x) = n(x)\chi_{((1/2)B)^\sim}(x)\hat{f}(x)\chi_B(x) = p(x)\hat{g}(x)$$

by (2.8). Since \hat{f} has at most a countable number of zeros, the support of the periodic function

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 \chi_B(x + 2\pi k)$$

is equal to \tilde{B} except possibly for a countable number of points. Note that $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A}$ by Condition (2.6).

We define a 2π -periodic function

$$q(x) := \frac{\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)}{(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}(x + 2\pi k)|^2)^{1/2}}.$$

By our convention of identifying measurable sets which are different modulo Lebesgue null sets, we have $\text{supp}(q) = \tilde{B}$. Also define φ by

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) := q(x)\hat{g}(x).$$

Notice that $(q(x)/q(x))\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x) = \chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)$. Hence $(q(x)/q(x))\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)\hat{g}(x) = \hat{g}(x)$ since $\text{supp}(\hat{g}) = B \subset \tilde{B}$. Therefore, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\varphi}(2x) &= q(2x)\hat{g}(2x) \\ &= q(2x)p(x)\hat{g}(x) \\ &= p(x)q(2x)\frac{q(x)}{q(x)}\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)\hat{g}(x) \\ &= p(x)\frac{q(2x)}{q(x)}\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)q(x)\hat{g}(x) \\ &= p(x)\frac{q(2x)}{q(x)}\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)\hat{\varphi}(x).\end{aligned}$$

(2.4) is satisfied since $p(x)q(2x)\chi_{\tilde{B}}(x)/q(x)$ is 2π -periodic. Moreover, (2.9) implies that it is essentially bounded. \square

Since the integer translates of φ are assumed to be a tight frame with frame bound one, we have, by Proposition 2.1, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x).$$

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $2^{-j}x \in \mathbb{T}$ for sufficiently large $j > 0$. We have

$$|\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)|^2 + \sum_{k \neq 0} |\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \chi_A(2^{-j}x).$$

Since the limit-superior of the left-hand side of the above equation is greater than or equal to 1 as j goes to infinity, the right-hand side is greater than $1/2$ for any sufficiently large j . Hence, for a.e. real x ,

$$\chi_A(2^{-j}x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.10)$$

since $\chi_A(2^{-j}x)$ is 0 or 1 for any j .

Notice that if $B = \text{supp}(\hat{\varphi})$, then (2.1) can be rephrased as the following condition:

$$\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j B = \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.11)$$

Combined with Proposition 2.2, Theorem 2.4 implies:

Theorem 2.5 *$A \subset \mathbb{T}$ is the spectrum of the central space V_0 of an FMRA $\{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if there exists $B \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying Conditions (2.6), (2.8) and (2.11). In this case, (2.5), (2.7) and (2.10) hold.*

Examples: Any interval of the form $[-a, a]$ ($a \leq \pi$) is easily seen to be the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA. On the other hand, one may check that $[3\pi/4, \pi]$ cannot be the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA. If a subset $B \subset \text{torus}$ satisfies (2.5), then $B \subset [3\pi/4, \pi] + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. A direct calculation, however, shows that $[3\pi/4, \pi] + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and $[3\pi/8, \pi/2] + \pi\mathbb{Z}$ are disjoint. Hence (2.7) cannot be satisfied.

For a non-trivial set which is the spectrum of an FMRA, we borrow the following example from [17]. We use this example again when we illustrate Theorem 2.7 below. For $2\pi/3 < b < \pi$, let $A := A_{-1} \uplus A_0 \uplus A_1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{-1} &:= \left[-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3} \right], \\ A_0 &:= \left[\frac{b}{2} - \pi, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right], \\ A_1 &:= [b, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

Here \uplus denotes the disjoint union. We also let $B := A \subset \mathbb{T}$. Then (2.6) is trivially satisfied. Since A_0 contains a neighborhood of the origin, (2.11) is satisfied. Since $b < \pi$, $(1/2)\mathbb{T} \subset A_0 \subset \mathbb{T}$. Hence $(1/2)B \subset B$. Therefore (2.8) is also satisfied.

The choice of B is not unique. The set $C := C_{-1} \uplus C_0 \uplus C_1$ with

$$\begin{aligned} C_{-1} &:= \left[b - 2\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3} \right], \\ C_0 &:= \left[\frac{b}{2} - \pi, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right], \\ C_1 &:= \left[b, \frac{4\pi}{3} \right], \end{aligned}$$

then C may play the role of B above. This can be verified as follows: Since $[b - 2\pi, -\pi] + 2\pi = A_1$ and $[\pi, (4\pi)/3] - 2\pi = A_{-1}$, $C_{\mathbb{T}} = A$. Hence (2.6) is satisfied. (2.8) is also satisfied since $(1/2)C \subset C_0 \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $((1/2)C + 2\pi k) \cap C = \emptyset$ for any nonzero integer k . Finally, C satisfies (2.11) since it contains a neighborhood of 0.

It is shown in [17] that $\check{\chi}_A$ and $\check{\chi}_C$ are the scaling functions of two ‘quasi-biorthogonal’ FMRA. Therefore, it is not any wonder that $A = A_{\mathbb{T}} = C_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the spectrum of an FMRA. \square

Given an FMRA $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, it may or may not admit a single frame wavelet $\psi \in V_1 \ominus V_0$ such that $\{D^j T_k \psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [1, 2, 19]. The existence and construction of such a single frame wavelet are addressed in [2, 19]. It is proved in [19] that there always exist two functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in V_1 \ominus V_0$ such that $\{D^j T_k \psi_i : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, 2\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The following necessary and sufficient condition for an FMRA to admit a single frame wavelet is obtained in [2, 19].

Proposition 2.6 ([2, 19]) *Suppose that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA with a scaling function φ . Let m be its low-pass filter. Then there exists a frame wavelet $\psi \in W_0 := V_1 \ominus V_0$ such that $\{D^j T_k \psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $m(x/2)$ and $m(x/2 - \pi)$ are not simultaneously zero a.e. $x \in \Delta_2$, where*

$$\Delta_2 := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{x}{2} + 2\pi k \right) \right|^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{x}{2} + \pi + 2\pi k \right) \right|^2 \neq 0 \right\}.$$

In the above characterization, the condition is given in terms of the non-unique low-pass filter m associated with the given scaling function. Interestingly enough, we are now able to give a new characterization solely in terms of the spectrum of the central space of an FMRA.

Theorem 2.7 *Suppose that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA with $A := \sigma(V_0)$. Then there exists a single frame wavelet in $V_1 \ominus V_0$ such that $\{D^j T_k \psi : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if the set*

$$(\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \cap (2A) \cap [(2A - 2\pi) \cup (2A + 2\pi)]$$

is a Lebesgue null set.

Proof. Let φ be a scaling function and m be a low-pass filter. Recall that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that the set Δ_2 in Proposition 2.6 can be given as

$$\Delta_2 = \mathbb{T} \cap (2A) \cap \{(2A - 2\pi) \cup (2A + 2\pi)\}.$$

By (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 \\ &= \left| m\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{2} + 2\pi k\right) \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \left| m\left(\frac{x}{2} + \pi\right) \right|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \hat{\varphi}\left(\frac{x}{2} + \pi + 2\pi k\right) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to see that

$$\{x \in \Delta_2 : m(x/2) = 0 = m(x/2 + \pi)\} = (\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \cap \Delta_2.$$

The corollary now follows by noting that

$$(\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \cap \Delta_2 = (\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \cap (2A) \cap [(2A - 2\pi) \cup (2A + 2\pi)].$$

□

We illustrate the above theorem by an example. Now let A be as in the example following Theorem 2.5. Direct calculations show that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T} \setminus A &= \left[-\frac{2\pi}{3}, \frac{b}{2} - \pi \right] \uplus \left[\frac{2\pi}{3}, b \right]; \\ \mathbb{T} \cap (2A) &= \mathbb{T}; \\ \mathbb{T} \cap (2A - 2\pi) &= \left[-\pi, -\frac{2\pi}{3} \right] \uplus [2b - 2\pi, 0]; \\ \mathbb{T} \cap (2A + 2\pi) &= \left[0, \frac{2\pi}{3} \right] \uplus [b, \pi]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(2\pi)/3 < b$, $b/2 - \pi < 2b - 2\pi$. Hence $(\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \cap (2A) \cap [(2A - 2\pi) \cup (2A + 2\pi)]$ is a Lebesgue null set. Hence the FMRA admits a single frame wavelet by Theorem 2.7.

Similar calculations show that if the central spectrum of an FMRA is $[-a, a]$ with $0 < a \leq \pi/2$, then it admits a single frame wavelet. On the other hand, if $\pi/2 < a \leq \pi$, then the FMRA does not admit a single frame wavelet. This recovers the previous results contained in [2, 19, 20].

3 Containments of FMRA's in MRA's

In this section we show that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA (Theorem 3.2) and characterize the spectrums of the central spaces of FMRA's contained in an MRA (Theorem 3.3). As a corollary we show that if the unique low-pass filter of an MRA with a given scaling function is almost everywhere zero-free, then the MRA contains no FMRA's other than itself. For the precise meaning of containment, we refer to the corresponding theorems. We first state the following straight-forward lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *For $\eta, \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, let $\mathcal{V}_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{T_k\eta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and let $V_0 := \overline{\text{span}}\{T_k\varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Suppose that $\{T_k\eta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{V}_0 . Then $V_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$ and $\{T_k\varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a tight frame with frame bound one for V_0 if and only if*

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \lambda(x)\hat{\eta}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that $|\lambda(x)| = \chi_{\sigma(V_0)^\sim}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now show that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA in the following sense. The construction techniques similar to ours in the following proof are found in [13, 15, 24, 25, 29].

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA. Then there exists an MRA $\{\mathcal{V}_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that $V_j \subset \mathcal{V}_j$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Assume that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA with a scaling function φ . Note that $\hat{\varphi}|_x = 0$ for $x \notin \sigma(V_0)$. For $j \geq 0$, let

$$E_j := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : \hat{\varphi}|_{2^{-j}x} \neq 0 \text{ and } \hat{\varphi}|_{2^{-m}x} = 0, 0 \leq m < j\}.$$

By Theorem 2.3 (3), we have $\mathbb{T} = \uplus_{j \geq 0} E_j$. Hence, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists a unique $j(x) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $x \in E_{j(x)}$. For $n \geq 0$, define $P_n : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ via

$$(P_n a)(k) := \begin{cases} a(k), & \text{if } k \in n\mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Define

$$\hat{\eta}|_x := P_{2^{j(x)}} \left(\left(\hat{\varphi} \left(\frac{x + 2\pi k}{2^{j(x)}} \right) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \right)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. This defines $\eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathcal{V}_j := \overline{\text{span}}\{D^j T_k \eta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $\hat{\eta}_{||x}$ is the ‘up-sampled’ version of $\hat{\varphi}_{||2^{-j(x)}x}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}_{||x}(2^{j(x)}k) &= \hat{\varphi}_{||2^{-j(x)}x}(k), & k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ \hat{\eta}_{||x}(k) &= 0, & k \notin 2^{j(x)}\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, $\|\hat{\eta}_{||x}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 = \|\hat{\varphi}_{||2^{-j(x)}x}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 = 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. By Proposition 2.1, $\{T_k \eta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{V}_0 . Notice that

$$\hat{\varphi}_{||x} = \chi_{\sigma(V_0)^\sim}(x) \hat{\eta}_{||x}. \quad (3.3)$$

Hence $V_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $V_j = D^j(V_0)$ and $\mathcal{V}_j = D^j(\mathcal{V}_0)$, we have $V_j \subset \mathcal{V}_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. To show that $\{\mathcal{V}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an MRA with a scaling function η , we only need to check that η satisfies Conditions (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.3 in view of Theorem 5.2 in Chapter 7 of [13].

(3.3) implies that $|\hat{\varphi}(x)| \leq |\hat{\eta}(x)|$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3 implies that

$$1 \geq |\hat{\eta}(2^{-j}x)| \geq |\hat{\varphi}(2^{-j}x)| \rightarrow 1,$$

as j tends to infinity for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence η satisfies Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3.

Now we find $m \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\hat{\eta}(2x) = m(x)\hat{\eta}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$, which is equivalent to:

$$(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x) \hat{\eta}_{||x}, \quad (3.4)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Let m^F be a low-pass filter for φ such that

$$(\varphi(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m^F(x) \hat{\varphi}_{||x}$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. It is rather technical to check Condition (2) of Theorem 2.3. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T} &= \left(\sigma(V_0) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(V_0))^\sim \right) \uplus \left(\sigma(V_0) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \right) \\ &\quad \uplus \left((\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(V_0))^\sim \right) \uplus \left((\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \right). \end{aligned}$$

First, suppose $x \in \sigma(V_0)$ and $2x \in (\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Since $x \in \sigma(V_0)$, $j(x) = 0$. Hence $\hat{\eta}_{||x} = \hat{\varphi}_{||x}$. If $x \in \sigma(V_0) \subset \mathbb{T}$ and $2x \in \sigma(V_0)^\sim$, Then either $2x \in \sigma(V_0)$ or one of $2x + 2\pi$ and $2x - 2\pi$ is in $\sigma(V_0)$. Suppose that $2x \in \sigma(V_0)$. Then, obviously, $\hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi k) = \hat{\varphi}(2x + 2\pi k)$ for each integer k . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x) \hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x) \hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k) \end{aligned}$$

for each integer k . Suppose, on the other hand, that $2x + 2\pi \in \sigma(V_0)$. Then $j(2x + 2\pi) = 0$. Therefore, for each integer k ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) &= \hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x)\hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k).\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds since $x \in \sigma(V_0)$. The case that $2x - 2\pi \in \sigma(V_0)$ can be handled similarly. We define $m(x) := m^F(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap (1/2)(\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Then we have $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$ for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap (1/2)(\sigma(V_0))^\sim$.

Secondly, suppose $x \in \sigma(V_0)$ and $2x \in (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim$, i.e., $x \in \sigma(V_0)$ and $2x \notin (\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Then $\hat{\eta}|_x = \hat{\varphi}|_x$. If $|x| \leq \pi/2$, then $2x \notin \sigma(V_0) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Thus $j(2x) = 1$. By (3.2), for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) = \hat{\eta}|_{2x}(2k) = \hat{\varphi}|_{2^{-1}2x}(k) = \hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k) = \hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k).$$

We define $m(x) := 1$ for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap (1/2)(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$. Then $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$ for a.e. $x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap (1/2)(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$.

If $x \in [-\pi, -\pi/2]$, then $2x + 2\pi \notin \sigma(V_0) \subset \mathbb{T}$. Thus $j(2x + 2\pi) \geq 1$. Hence $2k - 1 \notin 2^{j(2x + 2\pi)}\mathbb{Z}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have, by (3.2),

$$\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) = \hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) = \hat{\eta}|_{2x + 2\pi}(2k - 1) = 0.$$

Similarly, if $x \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, then $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = 0$. Hence, for $x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap (1/2)(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap (\mathbb{T} \setminus [-\pi/2, \pi/2])$, we define $m(x) := 0$, which implies $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = 0 = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$.

Thirdly, suppose $x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)$ and $2x \in (\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Then, either $2x \in \sigma(V_0)$ or one of $2x + 2\pi$ and $2x - 2\pi$ is in $\sigma(V_0)$. Suppose that $2x \in \sigma(V_0)$. Then, $\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) = \hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k)$ for each integer k . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for each integer k . Suppose, on the other hand, that $2x + 2\pi \in \sigma(V_0)$. We now have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) &= \hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}(2x + 4\pi k) \\ &= m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

for each integer k since $x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)$. Similarly, if $2x - 2\pi \in \sigma(V_0)$, then $\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) = 0$ for each integer k . So we define $m(x) := 0$ for a.e. $x \in (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap (1/2)(\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Then we have $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$ for a.e. $x \in (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap (1/2)(\sigma(V_0))^\sim$.

Finally, let $x \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)$ and $2x \notin (\sigma(V_0))^\sim$. Notice that if $x \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, then we have $j(2x) = j(x) + 1$. Hence, for each integer k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\eta}(2x + 2 \cdot 2\pi 2^{j(x)}k) &= \hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi 2^{j(2x)}k) = \hat{\eta}|_{2x}(2^{j(2x)}k) \\ &= \hat{\varphi}|_{|2^{-j(2x)}2x}(k) = \hat{\varphi}|_{|2^{-j(x)}x}(k) \\ &= \hat{\eta}|_x(2^{j(x)}k) = \hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi 2^{j(x)}k). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for $k \notin 2^{j(x)}\mathbb{Z} = 2^{j(2x)}(1/2)\mathbb{Z}$, $2k \notin 2^{j(2x)}\mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$\hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi 2k) = 0 = \eta(x + 2\pi k).$$

If we define $m(x) := 1$ in this case, then we have, for a.e. $x \in (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap (1/2)(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$.

If $x \in [-\pi, -\pi/2]$, then $j(2x + 2\pi) \geq 1$. Thus $2k - 1 \notin 2^{j(2x+2\pi)}\mathbb{Z}$ for each integer k . Hence we have, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k) = \hat{\eta}(2x + 2\pi + 2\pi(2k - 1)) = \hat{\eta}|_{2x+2\pi}(2k - 1) = 0$$

by (3.2). Similarly, if $x \in [\pi/2, \pi]$, then $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = 0$. Hence, for a.e. $x \in (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap (1/2)(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap (\mathbb{T} \setminus [-\pi/2, \pi/2])$, we take $m(x) := 0$ in this case, which implies $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = 0 = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$.

To summarize all these, we define 2π -periodic function m via

$$m(x) := \begin{cases} m^F(x), & \text{if } x \in \sigma(V_0) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\sigma(V_0))^\sim, \\ 1, & \text{if } x \in \left(\sigma(V_0) \cap \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0))^\sim \cap [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \right) \\ & \quad \uplus (\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(V_0)) \cap \frac{1}{2}((\sigma(V_0))^\sim), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $(\hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k))_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = m(x)\hat{\eta}|_x$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Hence Condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 is satisfied. \square

We have seen that an FMRA is always contained in an MRA. It is natural to ask: Does an MRA always contain a ‘genuine’ FMRA? The corollary to the following theorem (Corollary 3.4) shows that it does not. The following theorem characterizes the spectrums of the central spaces of FMRA contained in an MRA.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $A \subset \mathbb{T}$. Suppose that $\{\mathcal{V}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an MRA with a scaling function η . Let m be its unique low-pass filter and $N_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m(x) = 0\}$. Then there exists an FMRA $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with $A = \sigma(V_0)$ such that $V_j \subset \mathcal{V}_j$ for*

each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if

$$(\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{A}) \subset \left(\mathbb{R} \setminus \frac{1}{2}\tilde{A} \right) \cup N_m; \quad (3.5)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \chi_A(2^{-j}x) = 1 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Since $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA, there exist a low-pass filter $m^F \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ and a scaling function φ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(2x) = m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Since $V_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$, Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = \lambda(x)\hat{\eta}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T},$$

for some $\lambda \in L^2(\mathbb{T})$ such that $|\lambda(x)| = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$. Combining this with (3.7), we have, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}(2x) &= \lambda(2x)\hat{\eta}(2x) = \lambda(2x)m(x)\hat{\eta}(x) \text{ and} \\ \hat{\varphi}(2x) &= m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x) = m^F(x)\lambda(x)\hat{\eta}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\lambda(2x)$ is π -periodic. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}}(x)|m(x)|^2 &= |\lambda(2x)m(x)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 \\ &= |\lambda(x)m^F(x)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 \\ &= \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x)|m^F(x)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 2.1. This implies Condition (3.5). Condition (3.6) follows by Theorem 2.5.

(\Leftarrow) Define φ via $\hat{\varphi}(x) := \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x)\hat{\eta}(x)$ and let $V_j := \overline{\text{span}}\{D^j T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $V_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$. Hence $V_j \subset \mathcal{V}_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. To show that $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an FMRA, we only need to check Conditions (1) \sim (3) of Theorem 2.3. Proposition 2.1 implies that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(x).$$

This shows that Condition (1) of Theorem 2.3 holds; and also shows that $\sigma(V_0) = A$. Since $\{\mathcal{V}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an MRA, we have $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\hat{\eta}(2^{-j}x)| = 1$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by Theorem 2.3. Combining this with (3.6) yields Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3. Notice that, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\hat{\varphi}(2x) = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(2x)\hat{\eta}(2x) = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(2x)m(x)\hat{\eta}(x). \quad (3.8)$$

Define

$$m^F(x) := \begin{cases} \chi_{\tilde{A}}(2x)m(x), & x \in \tilde{A} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $x \in \tilde{A}$, then $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \hat{\eta}(x)$; and hence $\hat{\varphi}(2x) = m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x)$. If $x \notin \tilde{A}$, then

$$\hat{\varphi}(2x) = \chi_{\tilde{A}}(2x)m(x)\hat{\eta}(x) = \chi_{(1/2)\tilde{A}}(x)m(x)\hat{\eta}(x) = 0$$

by (3.5). Recall that $m^F(x) = 0$ for $x \notin \tilde{A}$. Hence we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(2x) = 0 = m^F(x)\hat{\varphi}(x).$$

This shows that Condition (2) of Theorem 2.3 holds. \square

It is interesting to note that Conditions (3.5) and (3.6) imply the existence of such a set B as in Theorem 2.5. Actually, we could have proved the ‘if’ part of the above theorem by resorting to Theorem 2.5 in the following way: Suppose we are given an MRA $\{\mathcal{V}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with a scaling function η . Let m, N_m, A be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose that they satisfy (3.5) and (3.6). A scrutiny of the proof of the ‘if’ part of the theorem shows that

$$B := \tilde{A} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\eta})$$

is a candidate. We now show that B satisfy (2.6), (2.8) and (2.11). Since $(\text{supp}(\hat{\eta}))_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{T}$, (2.6) is satisfied. Now suppose that $x \in (1/2)B$. Then $2x \in B = \tilde{A} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\eta})$. Since $0 \neq \hat{\eta}(2x) = m(x)\hat{\eta}(x)$, $x \notin N_m$ and $x \in \text{supp}(\hat{\eta})$. Suppose that $x \notin \tilde{A}$. Then by (3.5) $2x \notin \tilde{A}$ since $x \notin N_m$. Since $2x$ is assumed to be in $B = \tilde{A} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\eta})$, the contradiction shows that $x \in \tilde{A}$. Therefore $x \in B$. We have shown that $(1/2)B \subset B$, thereby showing that $(1/2)B \subset ((1/2)B)^{\sim} \cap B$. Suppose, on the other hand, that $x \in ((1/2)B)^{\sim} \cap B$. Then there exists $k_x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$2x + 4\pi k_x \in B = \tilde{A} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\eta}) \tag{3.9}$$

$$x \in B = \tilde{A} \cap \text{supp}(\hat{\eta}). \tag{3.10}$$

(3.9) implies that $0 \neq \hat{\eta}(2x + 4\pi k_x) = m(x)\hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k_x)$. This shows that $m(x) \neq 0$. Since $\hat{\eta}(x) \neq 0$ by (3.10), $\hat{\eta}(2x) = m(x)\hat{\eta}(x) \neq 0$. (3.9) also implies that $2x \in \tilde{A}$. Therefore $2x \in B$. This establishes (2.8). (3.6) and Condition (3) of Theorem 2.3 imply that (2.11) is satisfied. This completes the proof of the ‘if’ part of Theorem 3.3 by Theorem 2.5. \square

The ergodicity argument used in the following corollary may also be seen in [15, 18, 21].

Corollary 3.4 *Suppose that $\{\mathcal{V}_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an MRA and η its scaling function. Let m be its unique low-pass filter and let $N_m := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : m(x) = 0\}$ be its zero set. Suppose also that*

$$|N_m| = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Then the MRA contains no FMRA's other than itself.

Proof. Suppose that an FMRA $\{V_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with a scaling function φ is contained in the MRA. We first show that the FMRA is actually an MRA. Let $A := \sigma(V_0)$. Note that $(N_m)_\mathbb{T} = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : m(x) = 0\}$. A is clearly not an empty set. It suffices to show that $A = \mathbb{T}$ by Proposition 2.1. If we suppose otherwise, then $|\mathbb{T} \setminus A| > 0$. Suppose also that $|(N_m)_\mathbb{T} \setminus A| = 0$. Then $(\mathbb{T} \setminus A) \subset (\mathbb{T} \setminus (N_m)_\mathbb{T})$. Recall our convention that all inclusions are modulo measure zero sets. Condition (3.5) implies that

$$T \setminus A \subset \frac{1}{2}(T \setminus A)^\sim. \quad (3.12)$$

Let $T : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the Baker's map defined via $Tx := 2x \pmod{2\pi}$. This map is well-known to be measure-preserving, i.e., $|T^{-1}(B)| = |B|$ for any measurable subset B of \mathbb{T} , and ergodic [28, Theorem 1.15]. Let $C := T \setminus A \subset \mathbb{T}$. (3.12) implies that $T(C) \subset C$. Hence we have

$$C \subset T^{-1}(T(C)) \subset T^{-1}(C). \quad (3.13)$$

Since T is measure-preserving, the Lebesgue measure of $T^{-1}(C)$ equals that of C . Hence $T^{-1}(C) = C$. Since T is ergodic, $C = \emptyset$ or \mathbb{T} [28, Theorem 1.5]. However, $C \neq \emptyset$ since it is assumed to have positive measure. Hence, $C = \mathbb{T}$ and, therefore, $A = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $|(N_m)_\mathbb{T} \setminus A| > 0$. If $|(N_m)_\mathbb{T} \setminus A| > 0$, then obviously $|(N_m)_\mathbb{T}| > 0$, contradicting (3.11).

Now $\varphi \in V_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_0$. Since $\{T_k \eta : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{V}_0 , there exists a 2π -periodic function a such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(x) = a(x)\hat{\eta}(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\{T_k \varphi : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is also an orthonormal basis for V_0 , we have, by Proposition 2.1,

$$1 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\varphi}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = |a(x)|^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{\eta}(x + 2\pi k)|^2 = |a(x)|^2$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This shows that a and $1/a$ are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Hence $\hat{\eta}(x) = (1/a(x))\hat{\varphi}(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. This implies that $\eta \in V_0$. Therefore $V_0 = \mathcal{V}_0$, whence $V_j = \mathcal{V}_j$ for each integer j by dilation. \square

Recall that the low-pass filter of any compactly supported refinable function satisfies Condition (3.11). In particular, the MRAs of Daubechies in [9, 10] contain no FMRA's other than themselves.

References

- [1] J.J. Benedetto and S. Li, The theory of multiresolution analysis frames and applications to filter banks, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 5 (1998), 398–427.

- [2] J.J. Benedetto and O.M. Treiber, Wavelet frames: multiresolution analysis and extension principle, in: Wavelet transforms and time-frequency signal analysis, L. Debnath, Ed., Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000, 3–36.
- [3] C. de Boor, R. DeVore and A. Ron, On the construction of multivariate (pre)wavelets, *Constr. Approx.* 9 (1993), 123–166.
- [4] C. de Boor, R. DeVore and A. Ron, The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *J. Funct. Anal.* 119 (1994), 37–78.
- [5] M. Bownik, The structure of shift-invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, *J. Funct. Anal.* 177 (2000), 282–309.
- [6] P.G. Casazza, O. Christensen and N. Kalton, Frames of translates, *Collect. Math.* 52 (2001), 35–54.
- [7] D.-R. Chen, On the splitting trick and wavelet frame packets, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 31 (2000), 726–739.
- [8] C.K. Chui, *An Introduction to Wavelets*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1992.
- [9] I. Daubechies, Orthonormal bases of compactly supported wavelets, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 41 (1988), 909–996.
- [10] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, CBMS-NSF series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [11] C. Heil and D. Walnut, Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms, *SIAM Review* 31 (1989), 628–666.
- [12] H. Helson, *Lectures on Invariant Subspaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [13] E. Hernández and G. Weiss, *A First Course on Wavelets*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [14] R.-Q. Jia, Shift-invariant spaces and linear operator equations, *Israel J. Math.* 103 (1998), 258–288.
- [15] H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim, Y.H. Lee and J.K. Lim, On Riesz wavelets associated with multiresolution analyses, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 13 (2002), 138–150.
- [16] H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim and J.K. Lim, Characterization of biorthogonal wavelets which are associated with biorthogonal multiresolution analyses, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 11 (2001), 263–272.
- [17] H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim and J.K. Lim, Quasi-biorthogonal frame multiresolution analyses and wavelets, to appear in *Adv. Comput. Math.*

- [18] H.O. Kim, R.Y. Kim and J.K. Lim, An analysis of multivariate frame multiresolution analysis with a general dilation matrix and multiple scaling functions, preprint 2002, to appear in Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.
- [19] H.O. Kim and J.K. Lim, Frame multiresolution analysis, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 15 (2000), 285–308.
- [20] H.O. Kim and J.K. Lim, On frame wavelets associated with frame multiresolution analysis, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 10 (2001), 61–70.
- [21] H.O. Kim and J.K. Lim, Applications of shift-invariant space theory to some problems of multi-resolution analysis of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, in: Studies in Advanced Mathematics 25: Wavelet Analysis and Applications, D. Deng, D. Huang, R.-Q. Jia, W. Lin and J. Wang, Eds., American Mathematical Society/International Press, Boston 2001, 183–191.
- [22] S. Mallat, Multiresolution approximations and wavelet orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 315 (1989), 69–87.
- [23] Y. Meyer, Wavelets and Operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [24] M. Paluszynski, H. Šikič, G. Weiss and S. Xiao, Tight frame wavelets, their dimension functions, MRA tight frame wavelets and connectivity properties, to appear in: Adv. Comput. Math.
- [25] M. Papadakis, On the dimension functions of orthonormal wavelets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 2043–2049.
- [26] A. Ron and Z. Shen, Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Canad. J. Math. 47 (1995), 1051–1094.
- [27] W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New-York, 1986.
- [28] P. Walters, An Introduction to Ergodic Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [29] X. Wang, The study of wavelets from the properties of their Fourier transforms, Ph.D. Thesis, Washington University in St. Louis, 1995.
- [30] G. Weiss and E.N. Wilson, The mathematical theory of wavelets. Twentieth century harmonic analysis—a celebration (Il Ciocco, 2000), 329–366, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 33, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [31] R.M. Young, An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series, Academic Press, New York, 1980.