

Nonlocalized Modulation of Periodic Reaction Diffusion Waves: Nonlinear Stability

(Mathew A. Johnson, Pascal Noble, L. Miguel Rodrigues, Kevin Zumbrun)

Soyeun Jung

Division of International Studies
Kongju National University

2017 Participating School at KAIST
August, 2017

Periodic traveling reaction-diffusion waves

Consider a periodic (period one) traveling wave solution of a system of reaction diffusion

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(u), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

or, equivalently, a stationary 1-periodic solution $u(x, t) = \bar{u}(x)$ of

$$k_* u_t = k_*^2 u_{xx} + f(u) + k_* c u_x, \quad (\text{RD})$$

where c is the speed of the original traveling wave, and the wave number k_* is chosen so that $\bar{u}(x + 1) = \bar{u}(x)$.

Goal: Spectral stability implies nonlinear modulational stability of $\bar{u}(x)$ of (RD) under small perturbations consisting of a **nonlocalized modulation** plus a localized perturbation.

Previous result - Localized modulational stability

- Spectral stability implies nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}(x)$ of (RD) under small localized (L^1) perturbation. (Assume \bar{u} is spectrally stable)

Theorem (Mathew A. Johnson, Kevin Zumbrun, 2011)

Let \bar{u} be a 1-periodic stationary solution of $k_* u_t = k_*^2 u_{xx} + f(u) + k_* c u_x$, and let $E_0 := \|\tilde{u}_0(\cdot) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^k(\mathbb{R})}$. Then, there exists a solution $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ of (RD) with initial data \tilde{u}_0 and a phase function $\psi(x, t)$ with $\psi(x, 0) = 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot - \psi(\cdot, t), t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot - \psi(\cdot, t), t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

$$\|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(\cdot, t)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot, t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

Nonlocalized modulational stability

We study nonlinear stability of $\bar{u}(x)$ under nonlocalized initial perturbation

$$\tilde{u}_0(x) - \bar{u}(x) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

- By setting $h_0 := \psi(x, 0)$ and $d_0(x) = \tilde{u}_0(x - h_0(x)) - \bar{u}(x)$, we replace the nonlocalized perturbation $\tilde{u}_0(x) - \bar{u}(x)$ by a different nonlocalized perturbation $d_0 + h_0 \bar{u}'$. (for the localized case, we set $h_0(x) = 0$.)
- We estimate nonlocalized modulational perturbation

$$\tilde{u}(x - \psi(x, t), t) - \bar{u}(x)$$

for some $\psi(x, 0) = h_0(x) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R})$ under small perturbations

$$E_0 := \|\tilde{u}_0(\cdot - h_0) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})}.$$

- Roughly speaking, what if we shift \bar{u} slightly out of phase at $\pm\infty$, i.e. $\tilde{u}_0(x) \approx \bar{u}(x + h_\pm)$? Will it still relax back to its original form?

Theorem (Johnson, Noble, Rodrigues, Zumbrun, 2013)

Let $K \geq 3$. Assuming (H1) – (H2) and (D1) – (D3), let

$$E_0 := \|\tilde{u}_0(\cdot - h_0) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})} + \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})}$$

be sufficiently small. Then, there exists a solution $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ of (RD) with initial data \tilde{u}_0 and a phase function $\psi(x, t)$ with initial data $\psi(x, 0) = h_0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot - \psi(\cdot, t), t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})},$$

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot - \psi(\cdot, t), t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

$$\|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(\cdot, t)\|_{W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})},$$

$$\|\tilde{u}(\cdot, t) - \bar{u}(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|\psi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim E_0.$$

(D1) – (D3) : spectral stability assumption.

- Slower decay by factor $(1 + t)^{1/2}$ than in the localized data case.
- No longer asymptotic stability, only bounded stability in L^∞ .

Linearization and Bloch operators

By linearization of (RD) about \bar{u} ,

$$k_* v_t = k_* L v := (k_*^2 \partial_x^2 + k_* c \partial_x + b) v, \quad b = df(\bar{u}(x)) \quad (0.1)$$

operating on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with densely defined domain $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Since all coefficients of L are 1-periodic, by the Floquet theory,

$$v(x) = e^{i\xi x} w(\xi, x) \quad \text{with} \quad w(\xi, x+1) = w(\xi, x).$$

Inserting into (0.1), define a family of Bloch operators, for $\xi \in [-\pi, \pi)$,

$$k_* L_\xi := e^{-i\xi x} k_* L e^{i\xi x} = k_*^2 (\partial_x + i\xi)^2 + k_* c (\partial_x + i\xi) + b,$$

acting on $L^2_{\text{per}}([0, 1])$ with densely defined domain $H^2_{\text{per}}([0, 1])$, that is,

$$L(e^{i\xi x} f) = e^{i\xi x} (L_\xi f) \quad \text{for 1-periodic functions } f.$$

Moreover, $\sigma_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}(L) = \bigcup_{\xi \in [-\pi, \pi)} \sigma_{L^2_{\text{per}}([0, 1])}(L_\xi)$.

From the inverse Fourier transform, introduce the inverse Bloch transform:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \check{g}(\xi, x) d\xi,$$

where $\check{g}(\xi, x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i2\pi j x} \hat{g}(\xi + 2\pi j) \in L^2_{\text{per}}([0, 1])$: Bloch transform.

Since $L(e^{i\xi x} g) = e^{i\xi x} (L_{\xi} g)$ for 1-periodic g , Bloch solution formula for periodic-coefficients operators L is

$$(S(t)g)(x) := e^{Lt} g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} e^{L_{\xi} t} \check{g}(\xi, x) d\xi,$$

that is, $(e^{tL} g)(\xi, x) = (e^{tL_{\xi}} \check{g}(\xi, \cdot))(x)$.

For $q \leq 2 \leq p$ with $1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$, denote

$$\|g\|_{L^q([-\pi, \pi], L^p([0, 1]))} := \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \|g(\xi, \cdot)\|_{L^p([0, 1])}^q d\xi \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then For any 1-periodic $g(\xi, \cdot) \in L^q([-\pi, \pi], L^p([0, 1]))$, there is $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\check{f}(\xi, x) = g(\xi, x)$. In fact,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} g(\xi, x) d\xi.$$

By the generalized Hausdorff-Young inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|\check{u}\|_{L^q([-\pi, \pi]), L^p([0, 1])},$$

for 1-periodic functions $g(\xi, \cdot)$, $\xi \in [-\pi, \pi]$,

$$\left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi \cdot} g(\xi, \cdot) d\xi \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq (2\pi)^{1/p} \|g\|_{L^q([-\pi, \pi]), L^p([0, 1])}.$$

: this is a key formulation for the linear estimates $e^{Lt} g$.

Diffusive spectral stability conditions

$L\bar{u}'(x) = 0$ and $\bar{u}'(x)$ is 1-periodic on $[0, 1]$; 0 is an eigenvalue of L_0 .

(D1) $\sigma(L) \subset \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mathcal{R}(\lambda) < 0\} \cup \{0\}$.

(D2) There exists a constant $\theta > 0$ such that $\sigma(L_\xi) \subset \{\lambda \mid \mathcal{R}\lambda \leq -\theta|\xi|^2\}$ for each $\xi \in [-\pi, \pi)$.

(D3) $\lambda = 0$ is a simple eigenvalue of L_0 .

Remark. By (D3), there exists a simple zero eigenfunction \bar{u}' of L_0 , thus bifurcates to an eigenfunction $\phi(\xi, \cdot)$, with associated left eigenfunction $\tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot)$ and eigenvalue

$$\lambda(\xi) = ai\xi - d\xi^2 + O(|\xi|^3), \quad |\xi| \leq \xi_0 \text{ sufficiently small,}$$

where a and d are real with $d > 0$.

Nonlinear Perturbation Equations

For $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ satisfying $k_* \tilde{u}_t = k_*^2 \tilde{u}_{xx} + f(\tilde{u}) + k_* c \tilde{u}_x$ and $\psi(x, t)$ to be determined, set

$$u(x, t) = \tilde{u}(x - \psi(x, t), t) \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, t) = u(x, t) - \bar{u}(x).$$

Lemma (Nonlinear perturbation equation)

The modulated perturbations $v(x, t)$ satisfies

$$k_*(\partial_t - L)(v + \psi \bar{u}') = \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{R}_x + (k_* \partial_t + k_*^2 \partial_x^2) \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T},$$

where

$$\mathcal{Q} := f(v + \bar{u}) - f(\bar{u}) - df(\bar{u})v,$$

$$\mathcal{R} := -k_* v \psi_t - k_*^2 v \psi_{xx} + k_*^2 (\bar{u}_x + v_x) \frac{\psi_x^2}{1 - \psi_x},$$

$$\mathcal{S} := v \psi_x, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T} := -(f(v + \bar{u}) - f(\bar{u})) \psi_x.$$

Setting $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{R}_x + (k_* \partial_t + k_*^2 \partial_x^2) \mathcal{S} + \mathcal{T}$, by Duhamel's principle,

$$v(x, t) = -\bar{u}'(x) \psi(x, t) + S(t)(d_0 + \bar{u}' h_0) + \int_0^t S(t-s) \mathcal{N}(s) ds,$$

where

$$(S(t)g)(x) := e^{Lt} g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} e^{L_\xi t} \check{g}(\xi, x) d\xi.$$

TODO:

- Estimate linear behavior $S(t)$ in terms of the localized data d_0 and nonlocalized data $\bar{u}' h_0$.
- Define an appropriate modulation $\psi(x, t)$ with $\psi(x, 0) = h_0$.
(Idea : Decompose $S(t)$ into a bad part " $\bar{u} s^P(t)$ " and a good part " $\tilde{S}(t)$ " and define $\psi(x, t) \approx s^P(t)(d_0 + \bar{u}' h_0)$; $v \sim \psi_x$)
- Estimate v for nonlinear stability.

Decomposition of the solution operator

Recalling the solution operator of L

$$(S(t)g)(x) = e^{Lt}g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} e^{L_\xi t} \check{g}(\xi, x) d\xi,$$

decompose this as

$$S(t) = S^p(t) + \tilde{S}(t), \quad S^p(t) = \bar{u}' s^p(t),$$

$$(s^p(t)g)(x) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \check{g}(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]} d\xi,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{S}(t)g)(x) &:= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} (1 - \alpha(\xi)) (e^{L_\xi t} \check{g}(\xi))(x) d\xi \\ &+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) (e^{L_\xi t} \tilde{\Pi}(\xi) \check{g}(\xi))(x) d\xi \\ &+ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} (\phi(\xi, x) - \phi(0, x)) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \check{g}(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]} d\xi \end{aligned}$$

- $\alpha(\xi)$ is a smooth cut off function such that $\alpha(\xi) = 1$ for sufficiently small $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{2}\xi_0$ and $\alpha(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_0$.

For sufficiently small $|\xi| \leq \xi_0$,

- $\phi(\xi, x)$: right eigenfunction of L_ξ associated to $\lambda(\xi)$ bifurcating from $\bar{u}'(x)$ at $\xi = 0$.
- $\Pi^P(\xi) := \phi(\xi) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi), \cdot \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}$: the eigenprojection onto the eigenspace $\text{Range}\{\phi(\xi)\}$ bifurcating from $\text{Range}\{\bar{u}'\}$ at $\xi = 0$. In particular,

$$(e^{L_\xi t} \Pi^P(\xi) \check{g}(\xi))(x) = e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \phi(\xi, x) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \check{g}(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]}$$

- $\tilde{\phi}(\xi, x)$: the associated left eigenfunction of L_ξ and $\tilde{\Pi} := Id - \Pi^P$.

Basic linear estimates

GOAL : Estimate the linear contribution of the localized data.

Proposition. Under assumptions (D1) – (D3), for all $t > 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m s^p(t) \partial_x^r g \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \min \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{l+m}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \\ (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{l+m}{2}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq K+1$, and for some $\eta > 0$ and $0 \leq l+2m, r \leq K+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m \tilde{S}(t) \partial_x^r g \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\ & \lesssim \min \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{l+2m+1}(\mathbb{R})}, \\ e^{-\eta t} \|\partial_x^r g\|_{H^{l+2m+1}(\mathbb{R})} + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof : s^p bounds

(i) The first estimate on s^p : treat the case $l = m = r = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (s^p(t)(g))(x) \\ &= \int_{\pi}^{\pi} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \langle \tilde{\phi}, \check{g} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), e^{i2\pi j \cdot} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} \hat{g}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \hat{\phi}_j(\xi)^* \hat{g}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\phi}_j(\xi)$ denotes the j th Fourier coefficient in the Fourier expansion of periodic function $\tilde{\phi}(\xi)$, and z^* denotes complex conjugate.

By Hausdorff-Young's inequality, $\|\hat{g}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ and $|e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \alpha^{1/2}(\xi)| \leq e^{-\eta\xi^2 t}$, $\eta > 0$, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \|s^P(t)(g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} &\lesssim \|e^{-\eta\xi^2 t}\|_{L^q(\xi; [-\pi, \pi])} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{|\xi| \leq \xi_0} \sum_j |\hat{\phi}_j(\xi)| \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{|\xi| \leq \xi_0} \|\tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot)\|_{H^1([0,1])} \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\xi)^{1/2} \sum_j |\hat{\phi}_j(\xi)| &\leq \alpha(\xi)^{1/2} \sqrt{\sum_j (1+|j|^2) |\hat{\phi}_j(\xi)|^2 \sum_j (1+|j|^{-2})} \\ &\lesssim \alpha(\xi)^{1/2} \|\tilde{\phi}(\xi)\|_{H^1([0,1])}. \end{aligned}$$

Examining $s^p(t)g = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \check{g}(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]} d\xi$, we see that ∂_t yields additional factor of $\lambda(\xi) \sim |\xi|$ in the integrand, while ∂_x yields factor exactly $i\xi$. This yields an additional factor of $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ decay in the estimates, verifying the bounds claimed for $\partial_{x,t} s^p$, $r = 0$. For $r > 0$, pass ∂_x^r derivatives onto $\tilde{\phi}$ in the inner product using integration by parts.

Remark: $S^p = \bar{u}' s^p$ includes \bar{u}' , hence ∂_x does not improve L^p estimates for the full operator S^p . This is a crucial point, and the reason we need to separate out s^p in our analysis.

(ii) The second estimate on s^P : for $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (s^P(t)(g))(x) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\
 &= \left\| \int_{\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \langle \tilde{\phi}, \check{g} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}(\xi) d\xi \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \langle \tilde{\phi}, \check{g} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} \right\|_{L^q([-\pi,\pi], L^p([0,1]))} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| e^{-\eta\xi^2 t} \check{g} \right\|_{L^2([0,1])} \left\| \cdot \right\|_{L^q([-\pi,\pi])} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| e^{-\eta\xi^2 t} \right\|_{L^{rq}([0,1])} \left\| \check{g} \right\|_{L^{sq}([-\pi,\pi], L^2([0,1]))} \\
 &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2rq}} \left\| \check{g} \right\|_{L^{sq}([-\pi,\pi], L^2([0,1]))}
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose s such that $\frac{1}{sq} = \frac{1}{2}$; then

$$\| (s^P(t)(g))(x) \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Proof : \tilde{S} bounds

Recall that $(\tilde{S}(t)g)(x)$ consists of three terms:

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} (1 - \alpha(\xi)) (e^{L_{\xi} t} \check{g}(\xi))(x) d\xi, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) (e^{L_{\xi} t} \tilde{\Pi}(\xi) \check{g}(\xi))(x) d\xi$$
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} (\phi(\xi, x) - \phi(0, x)) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \check{g}(\xi, \cdot) \rangle_{L^2[0,1]} d\xi.$$

The last term has an additional factor $O(|\xi|)$ in the integrand by Taylor expansion, yielding the claimed bounds by the same proof as s^p bounds.

The first and second terms satisfy time-exponential $H^s \rightarrow H^s$ decay bounds due to spectral gap of L_{ξ} on $\xi \in \text{suppt} \alpha$ or restricted to $\text{Range}(\tilde{\Pi}(\xi))$.

Linear behavior for modulational data

GOAL : Estimate the linear contribution of data $h_0 \bar{u}'$ (nonlocalized data) in terms of $\partial_x h_0$ (localized data).

Proposition. Under assumptions (D1) – (D3), for all $t > 0$ and $2 \leq p \leq \infty$,

$$\left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m s^p(t)(h_0 \bar{u}') \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p}) + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{l+m}{2}} \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$$

for $l+m \leq 1$ or else $l=m=0$ and $p=\infty$, and for $0 \leq l+2m \leq K+1$,

$$\left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m \tilde{S}(t)(h_0 \bar{u}') \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{l+2m+1}},$$

and when $t \leq 1$

$$\left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m (s^p(t) - Id)(h_0 \bar{u}') \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{l+2m+1}},$$

$$\left\| \partial_x^l \partial_t^m (s^p(t)(h_0 \bar{u}') - h_0) \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{l+2m+1}}.$$

Proof : s^p bounds

(i) treat case $l = 1$ and $m = 0$; other similar. Re-express

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x(s^p(t)(h_0 \bar{u}'))(x) \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} i\xi \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \langle \tilde{\phi} \bar{u}', \check{h}_0 \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} i\xi \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot) \bar{u}'(\cdot), e^{i2\pi j \cdot} \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} \hat{h}_0(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} i\xi \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \widehat{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'}^* \hat{h}_0(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\xi}{\xi + 2\pi j} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} e^{i\xi x} \widehat{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'}^* \widehat{\partial_x h_0}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'}$ denotes the j th Fourier coefficient in the Fourier expansion of periodic function $\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'$.

By H-Y and $|e^{\lambda(\xi)t}\alpha^{1/2}(\xi)| \leq e^{-\eta\xi^2t}$, $\eta > 0$, we thus get

$$\|\partial_x s^p(t)(h_0 \bar{u}')\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} \|\partial_x h_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \sup_{|\xi| \leq \xi_0} \sum_j \left| \widehat{\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'_j}{1+|j|}} \right|$$

yielding the result together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_j \left| \widehat{\frac{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'_j}{1+|j|}} \right| \leq \sqrt{\sum_j (1+|j|)^{-2} \sum_j |\widehat{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'_j}|^2} \lesssim \|\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'\|_{L^2([0,1])}.$$

Proof : \tilde{S} bounds

(i) Re-express $\tilde{S}(t)(h_0 \bar{u}')(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} (1 - \alpha(\xi)) (e^{L_\xi t} \check{h}_0(\xi) \bar{u}') (x) d\xi \\
 & + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) (e^{L_\xi t} \tilde{\Pi}(\xi) \check{h}_0 \bar{u}'(\xi)) (x) d\xi \\
 & + \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} (\phi(\xi, x) - \phi(0, x)) \langle \tilde{\phi}(\xi, \cdot), \hat{h}_0(\xi) \bar{u}' \rangle_{L^2[0,1]} d\xi \\
 = & \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} (1 - \alpha(\xi)) \frac{(e^{L_\xi t} (\bar{u}' e^{2i\pi j \cdot})) (x)}{i(\xi + 2\pi j)} \widehat{\partial_x h_0}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \\
 & + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) \frac{(e^{L_\xi t} \tilde{\Pi}(\xi) (\bar{u}' e^{2i\pi j \cdot})) (x)}{i(\xi + 2\pi j)} \widehat{\partial_x h_0}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi \\
 & + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i\xi x} \alpha(\xi) e^{\lambda(\xi)t} \frac{(\phi(\xi, x) - \phi(0, x)) \widehat{\tilde{\phi}(\xi) \bar{u}'_j}^*}{i(\xi + 2\pi j)} \widehat{\partial_x h_0}(\xi + 2\pi j) d\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Use $1 - \alpha(\xi) = O(|\xi|)$, $\phi(\xi, x) - \phi(0, x) = O(|\xi|)$, and

$$\tilde{\Pi}(\xi)\bar{u}' = \tilde{\Pi}[\tilde{\Pi}(\xi) - \tilde{\Pi}(0)]\bar{u}', \quad |\tilde{\Pi}(\xi) - \tilde{\Pi}(0)| \lesssim |\xi|$$

to bound key $j = 0$ terms, plus C-S inequality for $j \neq 0$.

(ii) For $t \leq 1$, expand

$$S^P(t) - Id = S^P(t) - S(0) = (S^P(t) - S^P(0)) - \tilde{S}(0) = t\partial_t S^P(s(t)) - \tilde{S}(0)$$

for some $s(t) \in (0, t)$ and use previous t-derivative bounds of S^P .

Similarly, expand

$$s^P(t)(h_0\bar{u}') - h_0 = (s^P(t) - s^P(0))(h_0\bar{u}') + (s^P(0)(h_0\bar{u}') - h_0).$$

Nonlinear Damping Estimate

Proposition. Let $v(\cdot, 0) \in H^K(\mathbb{R})$ and suppose that for some $T > 0$, $\|(v, \psi_t)(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}$ and $\|\psi_x(t)\|_{H^{K+1}(\mathbb{R})}$ remain bounded by a sufficiently small constant for all $0 \leq t \leq T$. Then there are positive constants θ and C , independent of T , such that, for all $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq Ce^{-\theta t} \|v(0)\|_{H^K}^2 \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-\theta(t-s)} \left(\|v(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(s)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})} \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the nonlinear perturbation equation

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \psi_x)v_t - v_{xx} - cv_x &= df(\bar{u})v + \mathcal{Q} - (\bar{u} + v_x)\psi_t + ((\bar{u}_x + v_x)\psi_x)_x \\ &\quad + \left((\bar{u}_x + v_x) \frac{\psi_x^2}{1 - \psi_x} \right)_x - f(\bar{u} + v)\psi_x, \end{aligned}$$

taking $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ inner product against $\sum_{j=0}^K \frac{(-1)^j \partial_x^{2j} v}{1 - \psi_x}$, integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 &\leq -\tilde{\theta} \|\partial_x^{K+1} v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\quad + C \left(\|v(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

for some $\tilde{\theta} > 0$ so long as $\|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x, \psi_{xx})(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}$ remains small. By Sobolev interpolation $\|g\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C^{-1} \|\partial_x^{K+1} g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \theta \|v(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C \left(\|v(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right),$$

from which the desired estimate follows by Gronwall's inequality.

Nonlinear Iteration Scheme

Recall an integral representation v from the nonlinear perturbation equation

$$v(x, t) = -\bar{u}'(x)\psi(x, t) + S(t)(d_0 + \bar{u}'h_0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathcal{N}(s)ds,$$

where $d_0(x) = v(x, 0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})$, $h_0(x) = \psi(x, 0)$ and $\partial_x h_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^K(\mathbb{R})$.

Recalling $S(t) = \bar{u}'s^P(t) + \tilde{S}(t)$, define $\psi(t)$ to cancel s^P contributions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) = & s^P(t)(d_0 + h_0\bar{u}') + \int_0^t s^P(t-s)\mathcal{N}(s)ds \\ & - (1 - \chi(t))\left(s^P(t)(d_0 + h_0\bar{u}') - h_0 + \int_0^t s^P(t-s)\mathcal{N}(s)ds\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a smooth cutoff that is zero for $t \leq 1/2$ and one for $t \geq 1$.

Then we have

$$v(t) = \tilde{S}(t)(d_0 + h_0 \bar{u}') + \int_0^t \tilde{S}(t-s)\mathcal{N}(s)ds \\ + (1 - \chi(t))\left(S^P(t)d_0 + (S^P(t) - Id)h_0 \bar{u}' + \int_0^t S^P(t-s)\mathcal{N}(s)ds\right).$$

- We impose a smooth cutoff function to have $\psi(x, 0) = h_0(x)$.
- \mathcal{N} consists of v and ∂_x -derivatives of (ψ_x, ψ_t) ; so consider the system $\|(v, \psi_x, \psi_t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}$. From the linear estimate, we easily guess $v \sim \psi_x$.

Nonlinear Stability

Define $\eta(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x)(s)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} (1+s)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Lemma

For $E_0 := \|(d_0, \partial_x h_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R})}$ sufficiently small and $t \geq 0$,

$$\eta(t) \leq C(E_0 + \eta^2(t))$$

so long as $\eta \leq C$.

Proof: Bounding x -derivatives by definition and t -derivative using equation, we have

$$\|\mathcal{N}(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{H^3(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq C\eta(t)^2(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

so long as $\eta(t)$ bounded.

Applying the linearized (basic and modulational bounds), get

$$\begin{aligned}\|v(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}E_0 \\ &\quad + C\eta(t)^2 \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C_p(E_0 + \eta^2(t))(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}\end{aligned}$$

(C_p depends on p , i.e., $C_p \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$) and

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{W^{K+1,p}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}E_0 \\ &\quad + C\eta(t)^2 \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C_p(E_0 + \eta^2(t))(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})};\end{aligned}$$

so gives $\|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{H^{K+1}(\mathbb{R})}$ small, verifying the hypotheses of nonlinear damping estimates bounding $\|v\|_{L^2}$ and $\|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{H^K(\mathbb{R})}$. \square

Proof of the main theorem

Recalling $\eta(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x)(s)\|_{HK}(\mathbb{R})(1+s)^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

If $E_0 := \|(d_0, \partial_x h_0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^3(\mathbb{R})}$ sufficiently small, say $E_0 < \frac{1}{4C^2}$, then $\eta(t) \leq 2CE_0$ for all t ; thus we obtain immediately, for all $t > 0$,

$$\|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x)(s)\|_{HK}(\mathbb{R}) \lesssim E_0(1+t)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Cycling these back through our estimates gives the L^p bounds for $p \leq$ any fixed p_* , finite (set $p_* > 4$):

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \|(\psi_t, \psi_x)(t)\|_{W^{K+1,p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq CE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

We extend to $p \geq p_*$ by using different linear estimates and

$$\|(Q, R, S, T)(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|(v, \psi_t, \psi_x, \psi_{xx})(t)\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq CE_0(1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Thank you for your attention!