

# Study for “On the Regularization Mechanism for the Periodic Korteweg-de Vries Equation ([BIT11])”

Hiroyuki Hirayama

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University

- 1.Introduction
- 2.Normal form reduction
- 3.Proof of main theorem

## 1.Introduction

2.Normal form reduction

3.Proof of main theorem

# KdV equation

We consider

Periodic KdV equation

$$(\text{KdV}) \begin{cases} \partial_t u = u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u, & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{T} \\ u(0, x) = u^0(x), & x \in \mathbb{T} \end{cases} .$$

where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  and  $u$  is a real valued.

Goal

Our goal is to obtain the well-posedness of (KdV) in Sobolev space

# Conservation law

If  $u$  is a solution of (KdV), then

## Conservation law

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi} u(t, x) dx &= \int_0^{2\pi} u^0(x) dx \\ \int_0^{2\pi} u(t, x)^2 dx &= \int_0^{2\pi} u^0(x)^2 dx \\ &\vdots\end{aligned}$$

We can assume  $\int_0^{2\pi} u(t, x) dx = 0$  by the transform  $u \mapsto u - \mu$ ,  
 $x \mapsto x - \mu t$  for  $\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u^0(x) dx$ .

# Initial condition

## Definition

For  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\dot{H}^s := \overline{\left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \mid \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) dx = 0, \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} < \infty \right\}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\dot{H}^s}},$$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{H}^s} := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0} |k|^{2s} |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_0 := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$f_k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx.$$

For  $u \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$ ,  $u_k(t) = (u(t))_k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(t, x) e^{-ikx} dx$ .

# Integral form

(KdV) can be rewritten

$$u(t) = e^{t\partial_x^3} u^0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{(t-t')\partial_x^3} \partial_x (u(t')^2) dt'.$$

We put

$$v(t) := e^{-t\partial_x^3} u(t), \quad v^0 := u^0 \quad (\text{i.e. } v_k(t) = e^{ik^3 t} u_k(t)).$$

Equation for  $v$  is following:

## Integral form of KdV

$$(K0) \quad v(t) = v^0 + \int_0^t B_1(v, v)(t') dt'.$$

Where

$$B_1(v, w)_k := \frac{1}{2} ik \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_0}} e^{i3kk_1k_2 t} v_{k_1} w_{k_2}.$$

# Main Theorem

Theorem (BIT11, Thm 4.6, 5.5, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7)

- 1 Let  $s \geq 0$ . (KdV) is unconditionally globally well-posed in  $\dot{H}^s$ . More precisely, for any  $T > 0$  and  $v^0 \in \dot{H}^s$ , there exists a unique solution  $v \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  of (K0) on  $t \in [0, T]$ . Furthermore, the data-to-solution map  $S : \dot{H}^s \ni v^0 \mapsto v \in C([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  is Lipschitz continuous.
- 2 Let  $-1 < \theta \leq 0$  and  $T > 0$ . If

$$\|v^0\|_{\dot{H}^0} + \|w^0\|_{\dot{H}^0} \leq M$$

holds for some  $M > 0$ , then  $v = S(v^0)$  and  $w = S(w^0)$  satisfy

$$\|v - w\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}^\theta)} \leq C_0(M, \theta) C_1(M, \theta)^T \|v^0 - w^0\|_{\dot{H}^\theta}.$$

Main tools are “Normal form method” and “Time averaging induced squeezing”.



1.Introduction

2.Normal form reduction

3.Proof of main theorem

# Difficulty

Since  $B_1(v, v)$  contains a derivative (i.e. there is a derivative loss), we cannot close the iteration argument in  $C([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  for (K0).

## Point

To recover the derivative loss, we will use the Normal form method.

We use the following symbols:

$$\sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* := \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2=k \\ k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_0}}, \quad \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^* := \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k, k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_0}$$

## First reduction

For the solution of (K0), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t v)_k &= B_1(v, v)_k \\&= \frac{1}{2} ik \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* e^{i3kk_1k_2t} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} \\&= \frac{1}{6} \partial_t \left( \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \frac{e^{i3kk_1k_2t}}{k_1k_2} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} \right) \\&\quad - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \frac{e^{i3kk_1k_2t}}{k_1k_2} (v_{k_1} \partial_t v_{k_2} + (\partial_t v_{k_1}) v_{k_2}) \\&=: \frac{1}{6} \partial_t (B_2(v, v)_k) - I\end{aligned}$$

by using the differentiation by parts in time.

## First reduction

By the symmetry (for  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ) and the equation  $\partial_t v = B_1(v, v)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \frac{e^{i3kk_1k_2t}}{k_1k_2} v_{k_1} \partial_t v_{k_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \frac{e^{i3kk_1k_2t}}{k_1k_2} v_{k_1} B_1(v, v)_{k_2} \\ &= \frac{i}{6} \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \sum_{\alpha+\beta=k_2}^* \frac{e^{i3(kk_1k_2+k_2\alpha\beta)t}}{k_1} v_{k_1} v_\alpha v_\beta \\ &= \frac{i}{6} \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}}^* \frac{e^{i3(k_1+k_2)(k_2+k_3)(k_3+k_1)t}}{k_1} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} v_{k_3} \\ &=: \frac{i}{6} R_3(v, v, v)_k. \end{aligned}$$

We used the transform  $(\alpha, \beta, k_2) \mapsto (k_2, k_3, k_2 + k_3)$  in the fourth equality.

# First form of KdV

(K0) can be rewritten

First form of KdV

$$(K1) \quad v(t) = v^0 + \frac{1}{6} (B_2(v, v)(t) - B_2(v, v)(0)) - \frac{i}{6} \int_0^t R_3(v, v, v)(t') dt'.$$

where

$$B_2(u, v)_k := \sum_{k_1+k_2=k}^* \frac{e^{i3kk_1k_2t}}{k_1k_2} u_{k_1} v_{k_2},$$

$$R_3(u, v, w)_k := \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k \\ k_2+k_3 \neq 0}}^* \frac{e^{i3(k_1+k_2)(k_2+k_3)(k_3+k_1)t}}{k_1} u_{k_1} v_{k_2} w_{k_3}.$$

# Estimate for $R_3$

## Remark

For  $s > 1/2$ , we have

$$\|R_3(u, v, w)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

But for  $s \leq 1/2$ , we cannot obtain the same estimate.

# Resonant and Nonresonant

We put

$$\vec{k} := (k_1, k_2, k_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_0, \quad \Phi(\vec{k}) := (k_1 + k_2)(k_2 + k_3)(k_3 + k_1)$$
$$\sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{res}} := \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k \\ k_1, k_2, k_3, k_2+k_3 \neq 0 \\ \Phi(\vec{k})=0}}, \quad \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} := \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+k_3=k \\ k_1, k_2, k_3, k_2+k_3 \neq 0 \\ \Phi(\vec{k}) \neq 0}}$$

and define

$$R_{3\text{res}}(u, v, w) := \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{res}} \frac{u_{k_1} v_{k_2} w_{k_3}}{k_1}$$
$$R_{3\text{nres}}(u, v, w) := \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1} u_{k_1} v_{k_2} w_{k_3}.$$

Then  $R_3(u, v, w) = R_{3\text{res}}(u, v, w) + R_{3\text{nres}}(u, v, w)$ .

# Calculation for the resonant part

We note that

$$\sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{res}} = \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in S_m},$$

$$S_1 : k_1 + k_2 = 0, k_2 + k_3 \neq 0, k_3 + k_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \vec{k} = (-k, k, k)$$

$$S_2 : k_1 + k_2 = 0, k_2 + k_3 \neq 0, k_3 + k_1 \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \vec{k} = (j, -j, k), |j| \neq k$$

$$S_3 : k_1 + k_2 \neq 0, k_2 + k_3 \neq 0, k_3 + k_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \vec{k} = (j, k, -j), |j| \neq k$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R_{3\text{res}}(v, v, v) &= \sum_{m=1}^3 \sum_{(k_1, k_2, k_3) \in S_m} \frac{v_{k_1} v_{k_2} v_{k_3}}{k_1} \\ &= \frac{v_{-k} v_k v_k}{-k} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_0, |j| \neq k} \frac{v_j v_{-j} v_k}{j} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_0, |j| \neq k} \frac{v_j v_k v_{-j}}{j} \\ &= -\frac{|v_k|^2}{k} v_k =: A_{\text{res}}(v)_k. \end{aligned}$$



## Second reduction for nonresonant part

For the solution of (K0), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & R_{3\text{nres}}(v, v, v) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} v_{k_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3i} \partial_t \left( \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1 \Phi(\vec{k})} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} v_{k_3} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3i} \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1 \Phi(\vec{k})} ((\partial_t v_{k_1}) v_{k_2} v_{k_3} + v_{k_1} (\partial_t v_{k_2}) v_{k_3} + v_{k_1} v_{k_2} \partial_t v_{k_3}) \\ &=: \frac{1}{3i} \partial_t (B_3(v, v, v)_k) - II \end{aligned}$$

by using the differentiation by parts in time.

## Second reduction for nonresonant part

By the symmetry (for  $k_2$  and  $k_3$ ) and the equation  $\partial_t v = B_1(v, v)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} II &= \frac{1}{3i} \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1\Phi(\vec{k})} ((\partial_t v_{k_1})v_{k_2}v_{k_3} + 2v_{k_1}v_{k_2}\partial_t v_{k_3}) \\ &= \frac{1}{3i} \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1\Phi(\vec{k})} (B_1(v, v)_{k_1}v_{k_2}v_{k_3} + 2v_{k_1}v_{k_2}B_1(v, v)_{k_3}) \\ &=: \frac{1}{6} B_4(v, v, v, v)_k. \end{aligned}$$

## Second form of KdV

(K1) can be rewritten

### Second form of KdV

$$\begin{aligned}(K2) \quad v(t) = & v^0 + \frac{1}{6} (B_2(v, v)(t) - B_2(v, v)(0)) \\ & - \frac{1}{18} (B_3(v)(t) - B_3(v)(0)) \\ & - \frac{i}{6} \int_0^t \left( A_{\text{res}}(v)(t') - \frac{1}{6} B_4(v)(t') \right) dt'.\end{aligned}$$

Where  $B_3(v) := B_3(v, v, v)$ ,  $B_4(v) := B_4(v, v, v, v)$ ,

$$B_3(u, v, w)_k := \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1\Phi(\vec{k})} v_{k_1} v_{k_2} v_{k_3}$$

$$B_4(u, v, w, \varphi)_k := \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1\Phi(\vec{k})} (B_1(u, v)_{k_1} w_{k_2} \varphi_{k_3} + 2u_{k_1} v_{k_2} B_1(w, \varphi)_{k_3})$$

# Existence and a priori estimate

## Theorem (BIT11, Thm 4.6)

Let  $s > 0$  and  $T > 0$ . There exists the solution  $v \in L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  of (K0). Furthermore,  $v$  satisfies the equations (K1) and (K2) and a priori estimate

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)} \leq M(s, T, \|v^0\|_{\dot{H}^s}).$$

Existence of the solution is proved by Galerkin approximation and compactness argument. For the detail of the proof of existence, see proof of Thm 4.6 in [BIT11].

## Remark

Existence for  $s = 0$  follows from existence for  $s > 0$  and Lipschitz estimate for  $s = 0$  (See, proof of Thm 6.6).

# Key estimates to obtain a priori estimate

A priori estimate is proved by using following estimates:

Proposition (BIT11, Lemm A.2, A.7, A.13, A.16)

For  $s \geq 0$ , we have

$$(1) \|B_2(u, v)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \text{ for } 0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1.$$

$$(2) \|B_3(u, v, w)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^s} \text{ for } 0 \leq \epsilon \leq 2.$$

$$(3) \|B_4(u, v, w, \varphi)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^s} \|\varphi\|_{\dot{H}^s} \text{ for } 0 \leq \epsilon < 1/2.$$

$$(4) \|A_{\text{res}}(v)\|_{\dot{H}^{s+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^0}^2 \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s} \text{ for } 0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1.$$

## Remark

The estimate (1) holds also for  $-3/4 < s < 0$  and  $0 \leq \epsilon < 3/4$ .

The estimate (2) holds also for  $s > -1/4$ .

The estimate (4) holds also for  $s < 0$ .

For the detail of the proof of a priori estimate, see proof of Thm 4.4 in [BIT11].

1. Introduction

2. Normal form reduction

3. Proof of main theorem

## Difficulty

We put  $y(t) := v(t) - v^0$ . Then (K2) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}(K2)' \quad y(t) - \frac{1}{3}B_2(v^0, y)(t) &= \frac{1}{6}B_2(y, y)(t) - \frac{1}{18} (B_3(y + v^0)(t) - B_3(v^0)(0)) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{6} \int_0^t \left( A_{\text{res}}(y + v^0)(t') - \frac{1}{6}B_4(y + v^0)(t') \right) dt' \\ &\quad \left( = \frac{1}{6}J_1 - \frac{1}{18}J_2 - \frac{i}{6}J_3 \right).\end{aligned}$$

### Difficulty

Lipschitz constant in  $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  is not small because of  $J_2$ .

If  $t > 0$  is small enough (thus  $y$  is also small in  $L^\infty([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  since  $y(0)=0$ ), then  $J_1$  and  $J_3$  have a small Lipschitz constant by the estimate for  $B_2$ ,  $B_4$ ,  $A_{\text{res}}$  and the smallness of  $y$ .

# Time averaging induced squeezing

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$\Pi_n u_k = (\Pi_n u)_k := \begin{cases} u_k & \text{if } |k| \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } |k| > n \end{cases},$$

$$\Pi_{-n} := Id - \Pi_n$$

$$R_{3n\text{res}0}^{(n)}(u, v, w) := R_{3n\text{res}}(u, \Pi_{-n}v, \Pi_{-n}w)$$

$$R_{3n\text{res}1}^{(n)}(u, v, w) := R_{3n\text{res}}(u, v, w) - R_{3n\text{res}0}^{(n)}(u, v, w).$$

Before second reduction, we split  $R_{3n\text{res}}$  as  $R_{3n\text{res}} = R_{3n\text{res}0}^{(n)} + R_{3n\text{res}1}^{(n)}$  and apply the reduction to only  $R_{3n\text{res}0}^{(n)}$ .



## Third form of KdV

(K2)' can be rewritten

### Third form of KdV

$$\begin{aligned}(K3) \quad & y(t) - \frac{1}{3}B_2(v^0, y)(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}B_2(y, y)(t) - \frac{1}{18} \left( B_{30}^{(n)}(y + v^0)(t) - B_{30}^{(n)}(v^0)(0) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{6} \int_0^t \left( A_{\text{res}}(y + v^0)(t') + R_{3n\text{res}1}^{(n)}(y + v^0)(t') - \frac{1}{6}B_{40}^{(n)}(y + v^0)(t') \right) dt'.\end{aligned}$$

Where

$$B_{30}^{(n)}(v) := B_3(v, \Pi_{-n}v, \Pi_{-n}v),$$

$$\begin{aligned}B_{40}^{(n)}(v) := & \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=k}^{\text{nres}} \frac{e^{i3\Phi(\vec{k})t}}{k_1\Phi(\vec{k})} (B_1(v, v)_{k_1} \Pi_{-n}v_{k_2} \Pi_{-n}v_{k_3} \\ & + 2v_{k_1} \Pi_{-n}v_{k_2} \Pi_{-n}B_1(v, v)_{k_3}).\end{aligned}$$

# Key estimates

The uniqueness and Lipschitz dependence in  $C([0, T]; \dot{H}^s)$  for  $s \geq 0$  (also for  $s > -1$  with  $\dot{H}^0$ -bounded initial data) is proved by following estimates.

Proposition (BIT11, Lemm 6.1, A.6, A.12, A.14, A.15)

(1) For any  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,

$$\|R_{3nres1}^{(n)}(u, v, w)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim n^{s+1+\alpha} \|u\|_{\dot{H}^0} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^{-\alpha}} \|w\|_{\dot{H}^s}.$$

(2) For any  $-7/4 < s \leq 0$ ,

$$\|B_2(u, v)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{H}^0} \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

(3) For any  $s \geq -1$ , there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|B_{30}^{(n)}(v) - B_{30}^{(n)}(w)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim n^{-\alpha} (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^0} + \|w\|_{\dot{H}^0})^2 \|v - w\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

(4) For any  $-3/2 < s < 1/2$ ,

$$\|B_{40}^{(n)}(v) - B_{40}^{(n)}(w)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \lesssim (\|v\|_{\dot{H}^0} + \|w\|_{\dot{H}^0})^3 \|v - w\|_{\dot{H}^s}$$

# References



Babin, A. V., Ilyin, A. A., and Titi, E. S.,  
On the regularization mechanism for the periodic Kortewegde Vries equation,  
*Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **64**, no. 5 (2011), 591–648.