## - linear regression models

. linear estimator: the output for the  $k{\rm th}$  input  $\underline{x}_k$  is given by

$$y_k = y(\underline{x}_k) = w_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d w_i x_{ik}.$$

Let

$$\underline{x}_k = [1, x_{1k}, \cdots, x_{dk}]^T$$
 and  $\underline{w} = [w_0, w_1, \cdots, w_d]^T$ .

Then,

$$y_k = \underline{w}^T \underline{x}_k.$$

Let  $d_k$  be the desired response for the *k*th input pattern. Then, the error for the *k*th pattern is

$$\epsilon_k = d_k - y_k = d_k - \underline{w}^T \underline{x}_k.$$

the error square:

$$\epsilon_k^2 = d_k^2 + \underline{w}^T \underline{x}_k \underline{x}_k^T \underline{w} - 2d_k \underline{x}_k^T \underline{w}.$$

the mean square error (MSE):

 $E[\epsilon_k^2] = E[d_k^2] + \underline{w}^T E[\underline{x}_k \underline{x}_k^T] \underline{w} - 2E[d_k \underline{x}_k^T] \underline{w}$ 

 $R = E[\underline{x}_k \underline{x}_k^T]$  (input correlation matrix) and  $P = E[d_k \underline{x}_k]$ .

Then, MSE becomes

 $E[\epsilon_k^2] = E[d_k^2] + \underline{w}^T R \underline{w} - 2P^T \underline{w}$ . (quadratic form) the derivative of MSE with respect to  $\underline{w}$ :

$$\nabla E[\epsilon_k^2] = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \underline{w}} = 2R\underline{w} - 2P.$$

The optimal weight vector  $\underline{w}^*$  can be determined by the condition of

$$\nabla E[\epsilon_k^2]|_{\underline{w}=\underline{w}^*}=0.$$

That is,  $2R\underline{w}^* - 2P = 0$ .

This implies that the optimal weight is described by

$$\underline{w}^* = R^{-1}P.$$

In this case, the minimum mean square error (MMSE) becomes  $MMSE = E[d_k^2] + \underline{w}^* {}^T R \underline{w}^* - 2P {}^T \underline{w} = E[d_k^2] - P {}^T \underline{w}^*.$ 

Let  $\underline{v} = \underline{w} - \underline{w}^*$  and rewrite the MSE as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[\epsilon_k^2] &= E[d_k^2] + \underline{w}^T R \underline{w} - 2P^T \underline{w} \\ &= E[d_k^2] - P^T \underline{w}^* + \underline{w}^{*T} R \underline{w}^* + \underline{w}^T R \underline{w} - 2\underline{w}^T R \underline{w}^* \\ &= MMSE + (\underline{w} - \underline{w}^*)^T R(\underline{w} - \underline{w}^*) \\ &= MMSE + \underline{v}^T R \underline{v} \end{split}$$

Let

To analyse the MSE, let us set

$$F(\underline{v}) = \underline{v}^T R \underline{v}.$$

That is,

 $E[\epsilon_k^2] = MMSE + F(\underline{v}).$ 

The MSE depends on  $F(\underline{v})$ .

Here, the input correlation matrix R can be decomposed by

$$R = Q\Lambda Q^{-1}, \ Q = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 q_2 \cdots q_d \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 & 0 \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1 \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{dv} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $q_i$  is the column vector representing the eigenvector of Rand  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue corresponding to  $q_i$ .

Since *R* is the symmetric matrix,  $q_i$ s are orthogonal and  $R = QAQ^{-1} = QAQ^T$ . Here,  $F(\underline{v})$  can be rewritten as  $F(\underline{v}) = \underline{v}^T R \underline{v} = \underline{v}^T QAQ^T \underline{v}$ .

Let  $\underline{v}' = Q^T \underline{v}$ , that is, rotation of  $\underline{v}$  toward eigenvector axes. Here, the eigenvectors of R define the principal axes of the error surface. Then,

 $F(\underline{v}') = \underline{v}'^{T} A \underline{v}'$ . (ellipsoid)

Let  $F(\underline{v}') = c$  in 2 dimensional space.

Then,

 $\lambda_{0}v_{0}^{'2}+\lambda_{1}v_{1}^{'2}=c.$ 

the length of  $v_0^{'}$  axis =  $\sqrt{c/\lambda_0}$ , the length of  $v_1^{'}$  axis =  $\sqrt{c/\lambda_1}$ cf. the equation of ellipse:  $\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1$ .

. gradient descent method (batch mode)

The weight vector is updated by

$$\underline{w}_{k+1} = \underline{w}_k + \mu \left( -\nabla F \big|_{\underline{v} = \underline{v}_k} \right)$$
$$= \underline{w}_k + 2\mu R (\underline{w}^* - \underline{w}_k)$$
$$= (I - 2\mu R) \underline{w}_k + 2\mu R \underline{w}^*$$

This can be redescribed by

$$\underline{v}_{k+1} = (I - 2\mu R)\underline{v}_k = (I - 2\mu Q \Lambda Q^T)\underline{v}_k.$$

Let  $\underline{v} = Q\underline{v}'$ .

Then,

$$Q\underline{v}_{k+1}^{'} = (I - 2\mu Q \Lambda Q^{T}) Q\underline{v}_{k}^{'}, \text{ that is, } \underline{v}_{k+1}^{'} = (I - 2\mu \Lambda) \underline{v}_{k}^{'}.$$

The iterative form of  $\underline{v}_{k}^{'}$  is

 $\underline{v}_{k}^{'}=(I\!\!-2\mu\Lambda)^{k}\underline{v}_{0}^{'}.$ 

Therefore, the gradient descent algorithm is stable and convergent when

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}(I\!\!-\!2\mu\Lambda)^k=0.$ 

This implies that the convergence condition is

$$0 < \mu < \frac{1}{tr[R]} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\max}$  is the maximum eigenvalue of R.

Note that

$$\lambda_{\max} \leq tr[\Lambda] = tr[R].$$

In this case, the learning curve of MSE is determined as follows:

$$\begin{split} E[\epsilon_k^2] &= MMSE + \underline{v}_k^T R \underline{v}_k \\ &= MMSE + \underline{v}_k^{'} \Lambda \underline{v}_k^{'} \\ &= MMSE + [(I - 2\mu\Lambda)^k \underline{v}_0^{'}]^T \Lambda [(I - 2\mu\Lambda)^k \underline{v}_0^{'}] \\ &= MMSE + \underline{v}_0^{'T} (I - 2\mu\Lambda)^{2k} \Lambda \underline{v}_0^{'} \\ &= MMSE + \sum_{n=0}^d v_{0n}^{'2} \lambda_n (1 - 2\mu\lambda_n)^{2k} \end{split}$$

The learning curve of MSE is dependent upon the geometric ratio  $r_n^2 \equiv (1-2\mu\lambda_n)^2$ 

. least mean square (LMS) method (on-line mode)

The weight vector is updated by

$$\underline{w}_{k+1} = \underline{w}_k - \mu \nabla_k$$
$$= \underline{w}_k + 2\mu \epsilon_k \underline{x}_k$$

where

$$\epsilon_k = d_k - y_k = d_k - \underline{x}_k^T \underline{w}_k$$
 and  
 $\widehat{\nabla}_k \equiv [\frac{\partial \epsilon_k^2}{\partial w_0}, \frac{\partial \epsilon_k^2}{\partial w_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial \epsilon_k^2}{\partial w_d}]^T = -2\epsilon_k \underline{x}_k.$ 

That is,  $\hat{\nabla}_k$  is not a true gradient, but an estimated gradient from  $\epsilon_k$  and  $\underline{x}_k$ .

Is  $\widehat{
abla}_k$  an unbiased estimator?

$$\begin{split} E[\widehat{\nabla}_k] =& -2E[\epsilon_k \underline{x}_k] \\ &= -2E[d_k \underline{x}_k - \underline{x}_k \underline{x}_k^T \underline{w}_k] \\ &= 2(R \underline{w}_k - P) \\ &= \nabla E|_{\underline{w} = \underline{w}_k} \end{split}$$

The answer is yes. To check the convergence condition of the LMS method, let us consider the expectation of  $\underline{w}_{k+1}$ :

$$\begin{split} E[\underline{w}_{k+1}] &= E[\underline{w}_{k}] + 2\mu E[\epsilon_{k}\underline{x}_{k}] \\ &= E[\underline{w}_{k}] + 2\mu (E[d_{k}\underline{x}_{k}] - E[\underline{x}_{k}\underline{x}_{k}^{T}\underline{w}_{k}] \\ &= E[\underline{w}_{k}] + 2\mu (P - RE[\underline{w}_{k}]) \\ &= (1 - 2\mu R)E[\underline{w}_{k}] + 2\mu R\underline{w}^{*} \end{split}$$

This can be redescribed by

$$E[\underline{v}_{k+1}] = (I - 2\mu R)E[\underline{v}_k],$$

that is,

 $E[\underline{v}_{k}^{'}]=(I\!-2\mu\Lambda)^{k}\underline{v}_{0}^{'}.$ 

This implies that the convergence condition is

$$0 < \mu < \frac{1}{tr[R]} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\max}}$$

where  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  is the maximum eigenvalue of  $\it R$ ,

that is, the convergence condition is same as the batch mode.

To check the performance of LMS method, let us consider the following definition of misadjustment:

$$M = \frac{excess\,MSE}{MMSE} = \frac{E[MSE - MMSE]}{MMSE}$$

where the excess MSE is given by

$$excess MSE = E[\underline{v}_{k}^{T}R\underline{v}_{k}]$$
$$= E[\underline{v}_{k}^{'T}A\underline{v}_{k}^{'}]$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{d} \lambda_{n}E[v_{nk}^{'2}]$$

To analyse the excess MSE, let us set

$$\widehat{\nabla}_k = \nabla_k + \underline{n}_k$$

where  $\underline{n}_k$  represents the noise vector associated with  $\widehat{\nabla}_k$ .

Near  $\underline{w}^*$ , the noise vector can be approximated as  $\underline{n}_k \approx \hat{\nabla}_k = -2\epsilon_k \underline{x}_k$ .

Then, the covariance of  $\underline{n}_k$  becomes

$$Cov[\underline{n}_{k}] = E[\underline{n}_{k}\underline{n}_{k}^{T}] \approx 4E[\epsilon_{k}^{2}\underline{x}_{k}\underline{x}_{k}^{T}].$$

Near  $\underline{w}^{*}$ ,  $\epsilon_{k}$  and  $\underline{x}_{k}$  is uncorrelated, that is,

$$Cov[\underline{n}_k] \approx 4E[\epsilon_k^2]E[\underline{x}_k\underline{x}_k^T] \approx 4MMSE \cdot R$$
 and

$$\begin{split} Cov[\underline{n}_{k}^{'}] &= Cov[Q^{-1}\underline{n}_{k}] \\ &= E[Q^{-1}\underline{n}_{k}(Q^{-1}\underline{n}_{k})^{T}] \\ &= Q^{-1}Cov[\underline{n}_{k}]Q \\ &\approx 4MMSE \cdot \Lambda \end{split}$$

From the weight update rule,

$$\begin{split} \underline{v}_{k+1} &= \underline{v}_k - \mu \widehat{\nabla}_k \\ &= \underline{v}_k - \mu (2R \underline{v}_k + \underline{n}_k) \\ &= (I \!-\! 2\mu R) \underline{v}_k - \mu \underline{n}_k \end{split}$$

This implies that

$$\underline{v}_{k+1}^{'} = (I \! - 2\mu\Lambda)\underline{v}_{k}^{'} \! - \mu\underline{n}_{k}^{'}.$$

From this equation, the covariance of  $\underline{v}_k^{'}$  is determined by

$$\begin{split} Cov[\underline{v}_{k}^{'}] &= (I - 2\mu\Lambda)^{2} Cov[\underline{v}_{k}^{'}] + \mu^{2} Cov[\underline{n}_{k}^{'}] \\ &= \frac{\mu}{4} (\Lambda - \mu\Lambda^{2})^{-1} Cov[\underline{n}_{k}^{'}] \end{split}$$

By substituting the result of  $Cov[\underline{n}_{k}^{'}]$ , we get

$$Cov[\underline{v}_{k}] \approx \mu MMSE(\Lambda - \mu \Lambda^{2})^{-1}\Lambda \approx \mu MMSE \cdot I.$$

This implies that, the excess MSE becomes

$$excess MSE = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \lambda_n E[v_{nk}^{'2}] \approx \mu MMSE \sum_{n=0}^{d} \lambda_n.$$

Therefore, the misadjustment becomes

$$M \equiv \frac{excess\,MSE}{MMSE} \approx \mu \cdot tr[R].$$

Here, let us investigate the learning curve when we use the LMS method.

The time constant is defined by the time interval in which the given signal f(t) is reduced by 1/e. example.  $f(t) = e^{-t/\tau}$ , time constant =  $\tau$ .

the geometric ratio  $r^2 \equiv e^{-1/\tau}$ , that is,  $r^2 \approx 1 - 1/\tau$ . From the previous result,

 $r_n^2=(1-2\mu\lambda_n)^2pprox 1-1/ au_n$ , that is,  $1/ au_npprox 1/(4\mu\lambda_n)$ .

Here, the trace of R can be redescribed by

$$tr[R] = \sum_{n=0}^{d} \lambda_n \approx \frac{1}{4\mu} \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{1}{\tau_n} = \frac{d+1}{4\mu} \frac{1}{\tau_{av}}$$
  
where  $\frac{1}{\tau_{av}} = \frac{1}{d+1} \sum_{n=0}^{d} \frac{1}{\tau_n}$ .

## This implies that

$$au_{av}pprox rac{d+1}{4\mu \cdot tr[R]}$$
 and  $M pprox rac{d+1}{4 au_{av}}.$ 

These results show that (1) large  $\mu$  -> small  $\tau_{av}$  (fast convergence) -> large M and (2) small  $\mu$  -> large  $\tau_{av}$  (slow convergence) -> small M.

Reference: Adaptive Signal Processing, chapters 3, 4, 5, and 6.