

6. Orders in Quaternion Algebras

§6.1. Integers, Ideals and Orders

R : a Dedekind domain

k : the field of quotients of R , a number field or a p -adic field.

k : a number field $\Rightarrow R = R_k$: the ring of integers in k .

Recall a Dedekind domain is an integrally closed Noetherian ring in which every nontrivial prime ideal is maximal.

Recall k : a number field, S : a finite set of non-Archimedean places.

$\Rightarrow R_S = \{\alpha \in k \mid v_P(\alpha) \leq 1 \text{ for all prime ideals } P \notin S\}$

Recall A : a quaternion algebra over k .

$\Rightarrow \alpha \in A$ is an integer over R if $R[\alpha]$ is an R -lattice in A .

$\Leftrightarrow \text{tr}(\alpha), n(\alpha) \in R$.

A complete R -lattice in A is called an ideal I .

An order \mathcal{O} in A is an ideal which is also a ring with 1 .

\Rightarrow Orders in A can be characterized as rings \mathcal{O} of integers in

A which contain R and are such that $k\mathcal{O} = A$.

\Rightarrow Maximal orders exist and every order is contained in a maximal order.

Def. 6.1.1 An order \mathcal{O} in A is an Eichler order if there exist distinct maximal orders \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 in A s.t. $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2$.

ex) $A = M_2(k)$, $M_2(R)$ is a maximal order.

If R is a principal ideal domain, all maximal orders are

conjugate to $M_2(R)$.

Generally, $M_2(k) = \text{End}(V)$ where V is a two-dimensional space over k .

\Rightarrow for every complete R -lattice L in V , $\text{End}(L)$ is an order in $\text{End}(V)$.

\Rightarrow It will be shown that each $\text{End}(L)$ is maximal, i.e., every order in $\text{End}(V)$ is contained in some $\text{End}(L)$.

Recall I : an ideal in $A \Rightarrow$ we define

$$\mathcal{O}_\ell(I) = \{\alpha \in A \mid \alpha I \subset I\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_r(I) = \{\alpha \in A \mid I\alpha \subset I\}.$$

Def. 6.1.2 Let I be an ideal in a quaternion algebra A .

- I is said to be two-sided if $\mathcal{O}_\ell(I) = \mathcal{O}_r(I)$.
- I is said to be normal if $\mathcal{O}_\ell(I), \mathcal{O}_r(I)$ are maximal orders.
- I is said to be integral if I lies in both $\mathcal{O}_\ell(I)$ and $\mathcal{O}_r(I)$.

Note if I is an integral two-sided ideal, it is an ideal in the related ring \mathcal{O} in the usual sense of an ideal in a non-commutative ring.

Def. 6.1.3 I : an ideal in the quaternion algebra A over k .

\Rightarrow The norm of I , $n(I)$, is the fractional ideal of R gen. by the elements $\{n(x) \mid x \in I\}$.

Notation $\mathcal{O}^1 =$ Group of units of reduced norm 1 $= \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid n(x) = 1\}$

$\mathcal{O}^* =$ Group of units of $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in \mathcal{O} \mid \exists y \in \mathcal{O} \text{ s.t. } xy = 1\}$

$N(\mathcal{O}) =$ The normalizer of $\mathcal{O} = \{x \in A^* \mid x\mathcal{O}x^{-1} = \mathcal{O}\}$.

Note $\mathcal{O}^1 \subset \mathcal{O}^* \subset N(\mathcal{O})$.

§ 6.2. Localization.

Let R be a Dedekind domain with field of quotients k .

Let P be a prime ideal in R and let v_P be the associated valuation on k .

\Rightarrow The local ring $R(v_P) = \{ \alpha \in k \mid v_P(\alpha) \leq 1 \}$ has a unique prime ideal $P(v_P) = \{ \alpha \in k \mid v_P(\alpha) < 1 \}$.

\Rightarrow The ring $R(v_P)$ can be identified with the localization of R at the multiplicative set $R \setminus P$, which is the ring of fractions $\{ a/b \mid a \in R, b \in R \setminus P \}$.

\Rightarrow These local rings are principal ideal domains and a generator π of the ideal $P(v_P) = \pi R(v_P)$ is a uniformizer.

Note The rings $R(v_P)$ are all subrings of k and R can be recovered from them as

$$R = \bigcap_{\{P \text{ prime}\}} R(v_P)$$

where the intersection is over all non-zero prime ideals of R .

Example 6.2.1 $R = \mathbb{Z}$, p : a prime.

$$\Rightarrow R(v_p) = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid p \nmid b \right\}.$$

If $a/b \in \mathbb{Q}$, $p \nmid b$ for any prime p , then $a/b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\Rightarrow R = \mathbb{Z} = \bigcap_{\{ \text{prime } p \}} R(v_p).$$

Lemma 6.2.2 V : a fin. dim. space over k . L : an R -lattice in V .

$\Rightarrow L = \bigcap R(v_P) L$, where the intersection is over all prime ideals of R .

Proof) For each P , $1 \in R(v_P) \Rightarrow L \subset R(v_P) L$.

$$\Rightarrow L \subset \bigcap R(\nu_p)L.$$

Conversely, let $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ be a generating set for L over R .

$\Rightarrow \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is a generating set for $R(\nu_p)L$ over $R(\nu_p)$.

Suppose that $x \in \bigcap R(\nu_p)L$. Define the set J by

$$J = \{y \in R \mid yx \in L\}. \Rightarrow J \text{ is an ideal in } R.$$

Now, for any prime P , $x \in R(\nu_p)L \Rightarrow x = \sum_{k=1}^r a_k x_k$ with $a_k = \frac{b_k}{c_k}$, where $b_k, c_k \in R$ and $c_k \notin P$. Let $c = c_1 \cdots c_r$ so that $c \notin P$.

However, $c \in J$. Thus J does not lie in any prime P and so

$$J = R. \text{ Thus } 1 \in J \text{ and } x \in L. \quad \square$$

Note This result will be applied in the situation where V is a quaternion algebra over k and L is an ideal or an order. //

Lemma 6.2.3 R : a Dedekind domain I : an ideal in the quaternion algebra A over k . For each prime P in R , let $I(\nu_p)$ be an $R(\nu_p)$ -ideal in A s.t. $I(\nu_p) = R(\nu_p)I$ for almost all P .

$$\text{Then } J = \bigcap I(\nu_p)$$

is an R -ideal in A s.t. $R(\nu_p)J = I(\nu_p)$ for all P .

Proof) Let $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in I$ be linearly independent over k and let

$L = R[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]$. Then L is an R -ideal and $L \subset I$, so

$\exists r \in R$ s.t. $rI \subset L$. (See Lemma 2.2.2).

$\Rightarrow r$ is unit in $R(\nu_p)$ for almost all P .

$$\Rightarrow R(\nu_p)L = R(\nu_p)I \quad "$$

$$\Rightarrow R(\nu_p)L = I(\nu_p) \quad "$$

Thus choose $a, b \in R$ s.t.

$$aI(\mathfrak{p}) \subset R(\mathfrak{p})L \subset bI(\mathfrak{p})$$

for all \mathfrak{p} . Then

$$J = \bigcap I(\mathfrak{p}) \subset a^{-1} \bigcap R(\mathfrak{p})L = a^{-1}L$$

by Lemma 6.2.2. Thus J is an R -lattice in A . Furthermore, in the same way, $L \subset bJ$ so J is an ideal in A .

Now, $R(\mathfrak{p})J \subset R(\mathfrak{p})I(\mathfrak{p}) = I(\mathfrak{p})$. To obtain the reverse inclusion, we need the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT):

Lemma 0.3.6 (CRT). Let Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_r be ideals in R_k such that

$$Q_i + Q_j = R_k \text{ for } i \neq j. \text{ Then}$$

$$Q_1 \cdots Q_r = \bigcap_{i=1}^r Q_i \text{ and } R_k / Q_1 \cdots Q_r \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r R_k / Q_i. \quad //$$

Let j_1, \dots, j_r be a generating set for J . Let $x \in I(\mathfrak{p})$ so that $x = \sum a_i j_i$ with $a_i \in k$. Choose $s_i \in R$ so that $s_i a_i \in R$.

Suppose $s_i R = \mathfrak{p}^{n_0} Q_1^{n_1} \cdots Q_t^{n_t}$, where $n_0 \geq 0$ and $n_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

By the CRT, choose x_i s.t. $x_i \equiv s_i \pmod{Q_i^{n_i+1}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $x_i \equiv s_i a_i + s_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0+1}}$. Then we set $b_i = x_i / s_i$.

$$\Rightarrow \text{For a prime } \mathfrak{p}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(b_i - a_i) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{x_i - a_i s_i}{s_i}\right) = \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(x_i - a_i s_i) - \nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_i) \leq 1,$$

since $x_i - s_i a_i \equiv s_i \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{n_0+1}}$, $s_i \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0} \Rightarrow x_i - s_i a_i \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_0}$.

$$\& \text{ for each } Q_i, \nu_{Q_i}(b_i) = \nu_{Q_i}\left(\frac{x_i}{s_i}\right) = \nu_{Q_i}(x_i) - \nu_{Q_i}(s_i) \leq 1$$

since $x_i - s_i \equiv 0 \pmod{Q_i^{n_i+1}}$, $s_i \in Q_i^{n_i} \Rightarrow x_i \in Q_i^{n_i}$.

$$\& \text{ for a prime } \mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}, Q_i \text{'s}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}'}(b_i) \leq 1 \text{ since } \begin{cases} \nu_{\mathfrak{p}'}(s_i) = 1 \\ \nu_{\mathfrak{p}'}(x_i) \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow We can see that $b_i - a_i \in R(\mathfrak{p})$ and $b_i \in R(\mathfrak{p})$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}' \neq \mathfrak{p}$. Repeat for each a_i 's and let $y = \sum b_i j_i$

Then $y \in R(v_{p'})J \subset I(v_{p'})$ for all $p' \neq p$.

Also, $y-x = \sum (b_i - a_i)j_i \in R(v_p)J \subset I(v_p)$. Thus $y \in I(v_p)$

and so $y \in J = \bigcap I(v_p)$. Thus $x = y - (y-x) \in R(v_p)J$. \square

Note if \mathcal{O} is an order in A , then $R(v_p)\mathcal{O}$ is an $R(v_p)$ -order in A and the above result holds with "ideal" replaced by "order".

Now ideals I and orders \mathcal{O} are complete lattices so that

$$k \otimes_R I \cong k \otimes_R \mathcal{O} \cong A \text{ by def.}$$

\Rightarrow Identifying I with its image $1 \otimes I$, this can be expressed as $kI = A$. Similarly for \mathcal{O} . Also the ideal I embeds in $R(v_p) \otimes_R I$ which is written $R(v_p)I$. //

Lemma 6.2.4 Let \mathcal{O} be an R -order in a quaternion algebra A over k . Then \mathcal{O} is maximal iff $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}$ is maximal for each prime p .

Proof) Supp. that \mathcal{O} is maximal and i is the mapping identifying

\mathcal{O} with its image in $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}$, via $i(x) = 1 \otimes x$. Suppose

$R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}$ is contained in an $R(v_p)$ -order Ω . Choose $\alpha \in R$

s.t. $\alpha\Omega \subset R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}$. Now $i^{-1}(\alpha\Omega) = \Delta$ will be an ideal of

A . Furthermore, $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{O}_r(\Delta)$ since $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$.

\Rightarrow Since \mathcal{O} is maximal, $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_r(\Delta)$.

$$\Rightarrow R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O} = R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_r(\Delta) = \mathcal{O}_r(R(v_p) \otimes_R \Delta)$$

$$= \mathcal{O}_r(\alpha\Omega) = \Omega.$$

If, conversely, each $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O}$ is maximal and $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$, then clearly $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O} \subset R(v_p) \otimes_R \Omega$ for all p .

\Rightarrow By maximality, $R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O} = R(v_p) \otimes_R \Omega$ and by Lemma 6.22,

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigcap R(v_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O} = \bigcap R(v_p) \otimes_R \Omega = \Omega. \quad \square$$

Recall k_p is the completion of k w.r.t. the valuation v_p with ring of integers R_p .

Lemma 6.2.5 There is a bijection between $R(v_p)$ -ideals (resp. orders) in a quaternion algebra A over k and the R_p -ideals (resp. orders) in the quaternion algebra $k_p \otimes_k A$ over k_p given by the mapping $I \mapsto R_p \otimes_{R(v_p)} I$, which has the inverse $J \mapsto J \cap A$.

Proof) Since $R(v_p)$ is a principal ideal domain, I will have a free basis $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$. Then $(R_p \otimes_{R(v_p)} I) \cap A$ consists of the $R_p \cap k = R(v_p)$ -combinations of $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$.

$$\Rightarrow (R_p \otimes_{R(v_p)} I) \cap A = I.$$

Now suppose J is an R_p -ideal in $k_p \otimes_k A$ which will have a free basis $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$. Let A have basis $\{z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\}$ so that

$$z_i = \sum b_{ij} y_j \text{ and } B = [b_{ij}] \text{ is an invertible matrix in } M_4(k_p).$$

Since k is dense in k_p , (a topology is given by the metric $d(x, y) = v_p(x - y)$), choose $c_j \in k$ s.t. the entries of $C = [c_j]$ are close to those of B^{-1} .

$$\Rightarrow CB \text{ is a unit in the ring } M_4(R_p).$$

$$\text{Now let } z'_i = \sum c_j z_j = \sum c_j (\sum b_{jk} y_k) = \sum c_j b_{jk} y_k.$$

$\Rightarrow \{z'_1, z'_2, z'_3, z'_4\}$ is a free basis of J and also a basis of A .

$\Rightarrow J \cap A$ is the set of $R(v_p)$ -combinations of $\{z'_1, z'_2, z'_3, z'_4\}$ and so is an $R(v_p)$ -ideal in A s.t. $R_p \otimes_{R(v_p)} (J \cap A) = J. \quad \square$

Def. 6.2.6 A : a quaternion algebra over the number field k .

R : the ring of integers of k .

$\Rightarrow A_p = k_p \otimes_k A$. If \mathcal{O} is an R -order in A , let

$$\mathcal{O}_p = R_p \otimes_R \mathcal{O} = R_p \otimes_{R(\nu_p)} (R(\nu_p) \otimes_R \mathcal{O})$$

so that \mathcal{O}_p is an order in A_p . Likewise, define I_p for an ideal I in A .

Lemma 6.2.7 A, k, R . Let I be an R -ideal in A .

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a bijection between R -ideals J of A and sequences of ideals $\{(L_p) : p \text{ a prime, } L_p \text{ an } R_p \text{-ideal in } A_p \text{ s.t. } L_p = I_p \text{ for almost all } p\}$

given by $J \mapsto (J_p)$.

Proof) If J is an ideal in A , $\exists a, b \in k^*$ s.t. $aJ \subset I \subset bJ$.

\Rightarrow For almost all p , a and b are units in R_p so that $J_p = I_p$ for almost all p .

Now suppose we have a collection of ideals (L_p) .

Let $J(\nu_p) = A \cap L_p$ which is an $R(\nu_p)$ -ideal in A by Lemma 6.2.5. Furthermore, $J(\nu_p) = R(\nu_p)I$ for almost all p .

$\Rightarrow J = \bigcap J(\nu_p)$ is an R -ideal in A by Lemma 6.2.3 and the mapping $J \mapsto (J_p)$ is surjective.

Now if ideals J and L have the same image, then

$R(\nu_p)J = R(\nu_p)L$ for all p , so that, by Lemma 6.2.2,

$J = L$ and the map is injective. \square

Cor. 6.2.8 An order \mathcal{O} is maximal iff \mathcal{O}_p is maximal for all primes p in R .