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#### Abstract

We explicitly construct pseudo-Anosov maps on the closed surface of genus $g$ with orientable foliations whose stretch factor $\lambda$ is a Salem number with algebraic degree $2 g$. Using this result, we show that there is a pseudo-Anosov map whose stretch factor has algebraic degree $d$, for each positive even integer $d$ such that $d \leq g$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $S_{g}$ be a closed surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The mapping class group of $S_{g}$, denoted $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$, is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of $S_{g}$. An element $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ is called a pseudo-Anosov mapping class if there are transverse measured foliations ( $\mathcal{F}^{u}, \mu_{u}$ ) and ( $\mathcal{F}^{s}, \mu_{s}$ ), a number $\lambda(f)>1$, and a representative homeomorphism $\phi$ such that

$$
\phi\left(\mathcal{F}^{u}, \mu_{u}\right)=\left(\mathcal{F}^{u}, \lambda(f) \mu_{u}\right) \text { and } \phi\left(\mathcal{F}^{s}, \mu_{s}\right)=\left(\mathcal{F}^{s}, \lambda(f)^{-1} \mu_{s}\right) .
$$

In other words, $\phi$ stretches along one foliation by $\lambda(f)$ and the other by $\lambda(f)^{-1}$. The number $\lambda(f)$ is called the stretch factor (or dilatation) of $f$.

A pseudo-Anosov mapping class is said to be orientable if its invariant foliations are orientable. Let $\lambda_{H}(f)$ be the spectral radius of the action of $f$ on $H_{1}\left(S_{g} ; \mathbb{R}\right)$. Then

$$
\lambda_{H}(f) \leq \lambda(f),
$$

and the equality holds if and only if the invariant foliations for $f$ are orientable (see [5]). The number $\lambda_{H}(f)$ is called the homological stretch factor of $f$.

Question Which real numbers can be stretch factors?
It is a long-standing open question. Fried [4] conjectured that $\lambda>1$ is a stretch factor if and only if all conjugate roots of $\lambda$ and $1 / \lambda$ are strictly greater than $1 / \lambda$ and strictly less than $\lambda$ in magnitude.

Thurston [12] showed that a stretch factor $\lambda$ is an algebraic integer whose algebraic degree has an upper bound $6 g-6$. More specifically, $\lambda$ is the largest root in absolute value of a monic palindromic polynomial. Thurston gave a construction of mapping classes of $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ generated by two multitwists and he mentioned that his construction can make a pseudo-Anosov mapping class whose stretch factor has algebraic degree $6 g-6$. However, he did not give specific examples.

What happens if we fix the genus $g$ ? To simplify the question, we may ask which algebraic degrees are possible on $S_{g}$.

## Question What degrees of stretch factors can occur on $S_{g}$ ?

Very little is known about this question. Using Thurston's construction, it is easy to find quadratic integers as stretch factors. Neuwirth and Patterson [10] found non-quadratic examples, which are algebraic integers of degree 4 and 6 on surfaces of genus 4 and 6, respectively. Using interval exchange maps, Arnoux and Yoccoz [1] gave the first generic construction of pseudo-Anosov maps whose stretch factor has algebraic degree $g$ on $S_{g}$ for each $g \geq 2$.

## Main Theorems

In this paper, we give a generic construction of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes with stretch factor of algebraic degree $2 g$.

Let $c_{i}$ and $d_{j}$ be simple closed curves on $S_{g}$ as in Figure 1. For $k \geq 3$, let us define

$$
f_{g, k}=T_{A_{g, k}} T_{B_{g}},
$$

where $T_{A_{g, k}}=\left(T_{c_{1}} T_{c_{2}} \cdots T_{c_{g-1}}\right)\left(T_{c_{g}}\right)^{k}$ and $T_{B_{g}}=T_{d_{1}} \cdots T_{d_{g}}$. Here, $T_{\alpha}$ is the Dehn twist about $\alpha$. We will show that $f_{g, k}$ is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class and its stretch factor $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$ is a special algebraic integer, called Salem number. A Salem number is an algebraic integer $\alpha>1$ whose Galois conjugates other than $\alpha$ have absolute value less than or equal to 1 and at least one conjugate lies on the unit circle.

Theorem A For each $g \geq 2$ and $k \geq 3, f_{g, k}$ is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class and satisfies the following properties:
(1) $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)=\lambda_{H}\left(f_{g, k}\right)$,
(2) $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$ is a Salem number, and
(3) $\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)=k-1$.


Figure 1: Simple closed curves on $S_{g}$

In particular, we will prove that for $k=4$, the algebraic degree of stretch factor is $2 g$. It is known that the degree of the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with orientable foliations is bounded above by $2 g$ (see [12]). Therefore our examples give the maximum degrees of stretch factors for orientable foliations in $\operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ for each $g \geq 2$.

Theorem B Let $f_{g} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ be the mapping class given by

$$
f_{g}=f_{g, 4}=T_{A_{g, 4}} T_{B_{g}} .
$$

Then the minimal polynomial of the stretch factor $\lambda\left(f_{g}\right)$ is

$$
p_{g}(x)=x^{2 g}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1} x^{j}\right)+1 .
$$

This implies

$$
\operatorname{deg} \lambda\left(f_{g}\right)=2 g .
$$

The hard part is to show the irreducibility of $p_{g}(x)$, which is proved in section 7 .
In general, for each $k \geq 3$, the Salem stretch factor of $f_{g, k}$ is the root of the polynomial

$$
p_{g, k}(x)=x^{2 g}-(k-2)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1} x^{j}\right)+1 .
$$

It can be shown that $p_{g, k}(x)$ is irreducible for each $k \geq 4$, but since the main purpose of this paper is degree realization, we will prove only for $k=4$ case that the algebraic degree of the stretch factor is $2 g$.

Using a branched cover construction, we use Theorem B to deduce the following partial answer to our question about algebraic degrees.

Corollary 5 For each positive integer $h \leq g / 2$, there is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\widetilde{f}_{h} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(\widetilde{f}_{h}\right)\right)=2 h$ and $\lambda\left(\widetilde{f}_{h}\right)$ is a Salem number.

## Obstructions.

There are three known obstructions for the existence of algebraic degrees. For any pseudo-Anosov $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$, we have:
(1) $\operatorname{deg} \lambda(f) \geq 2$,
(2) $\operatorname{deg} \lambda(f) \leq 6 g-6$, and
(3) if $\operatorname{deg} \lambda(f)>3 g-3$, then $\operatorname{deg} \lambda(f)$ is even.

The third obstruction is due to Long [8] and we have another proof in section 5. It turns out these are the only obstructions for $g=2$. However it is not known whether there are other obstructions of algebraic degrees for $g \geq 3$. By computer search, odd degree stretch factors are rare compared to even degrees. We conjecture that every even degree $d \leq 6 g-6$ can be realized as the algebraic degree of stretch factors.

Conjecture On $S_{g}$, there exists a pseudo-Anosov mapping class with a stretch factor of algebraic degree $d$ for each positive even integer $d \leq 6 g-6$.

In section 6 , we show that the conjecture is true for $g=2,3,4$, and 5 .

## Outline

In section 2 we will give the basic definitions and results about Thurston's consturction. We will prove Theorem A in section 3 by the theory of Coxeter graphs. In section 4, we construct pseudo-Anosov mapping classes via branched covers. In section 5, we explain some properties of odd degree stretch factors. Section 6 contains examples of even degree stretch factors for $g=2,3,4$ and 5 . Section 7 is where we prove Theorem B, that is, we prove that the minimal polynomial of $\lambda\left(f_{g}\right)$ has degree $2 g$.
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## 2 Background

## Thurston's construction

We recall Thurston's construction of mapping classes [12]. For more details on this material, see [3] or [6].

Suppose $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ is a set of pairwise disjoint simple closed curves, called a multicurve. We denote the product of Dehn twists $\prod_{i=1}^{n} T_{a_{i}}$ by $T_{A}$. This product is called a multitwist.

Suppose $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$ are multicurves in a surface $S$ so that $A \cup B$ fills $S$, that is, the complement of $A \cup B$ is a disjoint union of disks and once-punctured disks. Let $N$ be the $n \times m$ matrix whose $(j, k)$-entry is the geometric intersection number $i\left(a_{j}, b_{k}\right)$ of $a_{j}$ and $b_{k}$. Let $\nu=\nu(A \cup B)$ be the largest eigenvalue in magnitude of the matrix $N N^{t}$. If $A \cup B$ is connected, then $N N^{t}$ is primitive and by the Perron-Frobenius theorem $\nu$ is a positive real number greater than 1 (see [3, p. 392-395] for more detail).

Thurston constructed a singular Euclidean structure on $S$ with respect to which $\left\langle T_{A}, T_{B}\right\rangle$ acts by affine transformations given by the representation $\rho:\left\langle T_{A}, T_{B}\right\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$
\rho\left(T_{A}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\nu^{1 / 2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \rho\left(T_{B}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\nu^{1 / 2} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, an element $f \in\left\langle T_{A}, T_{B}\right\rangle$ is pseudo-Anosov if and only if $\rho(f)$ is a hyperbolic element in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and then the stretch factor $\lambda(f)$ is equal to the bigger eigenvalue of $\rho(f)$. For instance, for a mapping class $f=T_{A} T_{B}$,

$$
\rho\left(T_{A} T_{B}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\nu^{1 / 2} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
\nu^{1 / 2} & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\nu & -\nu^{1 / 2} \\
\nu^{1 / 2} & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the stretch factor $\lambda\left(T_{A} T_{B}\right)$ is the bigger root of the characteristic polynomial

$$
\lambda^{2}-\lambda(\nu-2)+1,
$$

provided that $\nu-2>2$.


Figure 2: Multicurves and configuration graph $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{g, k} \cup B_{g}\right)$

## 3 Proof by the theory of Coxeter graphs

We will prove Theorem A in this section.
For the set $C$ of simple closed curves on the surface $S_{g}$, the configuration graph for $C$, denoted $\mathcal{G}(C)$, is the graph with a vertex for each simple closed curve and an edge for every point of intersection between simple closed curves.

Let $f_{g, k}$ be a mapping class on $S_{g}$ defined by

$$
f_{g, k}=T_{A_{g, k}} T_{B_{g}}, k \geq 3
$$

as in Theorem A. By regarding the multiple power of $T_{c_{g}}$ as the product of Dehn twists about parallel (isotopic) simple closed curves $c_{g_{1}}, \ldots, c_{g_{k}}$, let us define the multicurves

$$
A_{g, k}=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{g-1}, c_{g_{1}}, \ldots, c_{g_{k}}\right\} \text { and } B_{g}=\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{g}\right\}
$$

Then the configuration graph $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{g, k} \cup B_{g}\right)$ is a tree as in Figure 2,

### 3.1 Coxeter graphs and mapping class groups

We say that a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ is a Coxeter graph if there are no self-loops or multiple edges. For given multicurves $A$ and $B$ such that $A \cup B$ fills the surface $S$, suppose that the configuration graph $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}(A \cup B)$ is a Coxeter graph. Leininger proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1 ([6] Theorem 8.1 and Theorem 8.4) Let $\mathcal{G}(A \cup B)$ be a non-critical dominant Coxeter graph. Then $T_{A} T_{B}$ is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class with stretch factor $\lambda$ such that

$$
\lambda^{2}+\lambda\left(2-\mu^{2}\right)+1=0,
$$

where $\mu$ is the spectral radius of the graph $\mathcal{G}$.
For the definitions and pictures of critical and dominant graphs, see [6, Section 1]
For the multicurves $A_{g, k}$ and $B_{g}$ in Theorem A, $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{g, k} \cup B_{g}\right)$ is a non-critical dominant Coxeter graph for each $k \geq 3$. Therefore by Theorem 1 the mapping class $f_{g, k}=T_{A} T_{B}$ is pseudo-Anosov for each $k \geq 3$.

### 3.2 Orientability

Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is a connected Coxeter graph with the set $\Sigma$ of vertices. There is an associated quadratic form $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$ on $R^{\Sigma}$ and a faithful representation

$$
\Theta: \mathscr{C}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}\right),
$$

where $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{G})$ is a Coxeter group with generating set $\Sigma, \mathrm{O}\left(\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}\right)$ is the orthogonal group of the quadratic form $\Pi_{\mathcal{G}}$, and each generator $s_{i} \in \Sigma$ is represented by a reflection. Leininger also proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2 ([6] Theorem 8.2 ) Let $\mathcal{G}(A \cup B)$ be a Coxeter graph and suppose that $A$ and $B$ can be oriented so that all intersections of $A$ with $B$ are positive. Then there exists a homomorphism

$$
\eta: \mathbb{R}^{\Sigma} \rightarrow H_{1}(S ; \mathbb{R})
$$

such that

$$
\left(T_{A} T_{B}\right)_{*} \circ \eta=-\eta \circ \Theta\left(\sigma_{A} \sigma_{B}\right),
$$

where $\sigma_{A} \sigma_{B}$ is an element in $\mathscr{C}(\mathcal{G})$ corresponding to $T_{A} T_{B}$. Moreover, $\left.\Theta\left(\sigma_{A} \sigma_{B}\right)\right|_{\operatorname{ker}(\eta)}=-I$ and $\eta$ preserves spectral radii.

Theorem 2 implies that if $A$ and $B$ can be oriented as in the theorem, then the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov mapping class is equal to the spectral radius of the action on homology. For multicurves $A_{g, k}$ and $B_{g}$ in Theorem A, they can be oriented so that all intersections are positive as in Figure 3. Therefore we have

$$
\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)=\lambda_{H}\left(f_{g, k}\right)
$$



Figure 3: Orientation of positive intersections
and the invariant foliations for $f_{g, k}$ are orientable.
It is also possible to directly compute the action on the first homology. Consider the mapping class $f_{g}=T_{A_{g, 4}} T_{B_{g}}$ as in Theorem B. Let us choose a basis $\left\{a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{g}\right\}$ for $H_{1}\left(S_{g}\right)$ as in Figure 4.


Figure 4: A basis for $H_{1}\left(S_{g}\right)$.

By computing images of each basis element under $f_{g}$, we can get the action on $H_{1}\left(S_{g}\right)$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrrlr}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -3
\end{array}\right)
$$

By induction, the characteristic polynomial $h_{g}(x)$ of the homological action is

$$
h_{g}(x)=x^{2 g}+2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1}(-1)^{j} x^{j}\right)+1
$$

Since the largest root of $h_{g}(x)$ in magnitude is a negative real number, we can deduce that the stretch factor $\lambda\left(f_{g}\right)$ is the root of $h_{g}(-x)$. Specifically, $\lambda\left(f_{g}\right)$ is the root of

$$
p_{g}(x)=x^{2 g}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1} x^{j}\right)+1 .
$$

In a similar way, one can get the polynomial for $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$, which is

$$
p_{g, k}(x)=x^{2 g}-(k-2)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1} x^{j}\right)+1 .
$$

### 3.3 Salem numbers and spectral properties of starlike trees

The configuration graph $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{g, k} \cup B_{g}\right)$ for $f_{g, k}$ is a special type of graphs, called a starlike tree, and its relation to Salem numbers is studied in [9]. A starlike tree is a tree with at most one vertex of degree $>2$. Let $T=T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ be the starlike tree with $k$ arms of $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$ edges.

Theorem 3 ([9] Corollary 9) Let $T=T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ be a starlike tree and let $\mu$ be the spectral radius of $T$. Suppose that $\mu$ is not an integer and $T$ is a non-critical dominant graph. Then $\lambda>1$, defined by $\sqrt{\lambda}+1 / \sqrt{\lambda}=\mu$, is a Salem number.

The configuration graph $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{g, k} \cup B_{g}\right)$ in Theorem A is a non-critical dominant starlike tree

$$
T(2 g-2, \underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{k \text {-times }}), k \geq 3
$$

and we will denote it by $T(2 g-2, k \cdot 1)$. The fact that the spectral radius of $T(2 g-2, k \cdot 1)$ is not an integer follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 4 ([11]) If $\mu$ is the spectral radius of the starlike tree $T(n, k \cdot 1)$, then

$$
\sqrt{k+1}<\mu<\frac{k}{\sqrt{k-1}}
$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 3$.

Thus for the starlike tree $T(n, k \cdot 1)$, the spectral radius satisfies

$$
k+1<\mu^{2}<\frac{k^{2}}{k-1}=k+1+\frac{1}{k-1} .
$$

Therefore $\mu$ is not an integer and by Theorem $3 \lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$ is a Salem number.
Moreover, the proof of Corollary 2.1 of Lepović-Gutman [7] implies that

$$
\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)=k-1
$$

For completeness, we reprove this here.
Recall that $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$ is the largest root of

$$
p_{g, k}(x)=x^{2 g}-(k-2)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 g-1} x^{j}\right)+1 .
$$

By multiplying $p_{g, k}(x)$ by $x-1$, the stretch factor $\lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)$ is the largest root in magnitude of

$$
q_{g, k}(x)=x^{2 g+1}-(k-1) x^{2 g}+(k-1) x-1 .
$$

We have $q_{g, k}(k-1)=(k-1)^{2}-1>0$, and for any fixed positive integer $m$,

$$
q_{g, k}\left(k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}\right)=\left(k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}\right)^{2 g}\left(-\frac{1}{10^{m}}\right)+(k-1)\left(k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}\right)-1
$$

Hence $q_{g, k}\left(k-1-10^{-m}\right)<0$ for sufficiently large values of $g$ and therefore $p_{g, k}(x)$ has a root on the interval $\left(k-1-10^{-m}, k-1\right)$. This implies

$$
\lim _{g \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(f_{g, k}\right)=k-1 .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem A.

Remark A positive integer cannot be a stretch factor (which is an algebraic integer of degree 1). However, Theorem A implies that for sufficiently large genus $g$ there is a stretch factor which is a Salem number arbitrarily close to a given integer $k-1$ for each $k \geq 3$.

## 4 Branched Covers

Lifting a pseudo-Anosov mapping class via a covering map is one way to construct another pseudo-Anosov mapping class. If there is a branched cover $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ and a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $f \in \operatorname{Mod}(S)$, then there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{Mod}(\widetilde{S})$ has a pseudo-Anosov element $\widetilde{f}$ which is a lift of $f^{k}$ and hence $\lambda(\widetilde{f})=\lambda(f)^{k}$.

Corollary 5 Let $g \geq 2$. For each positive integer $h \leq g / 2$, there is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\widetilde{f}_{h} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(\widetilde{f}_{h}\right)\right)=2 h$ and $\lambda\left(\widetilde{f}_{h}\right)$ is a Salem number.

## Proof Let

$$
h= \begin{cases}\frac{g-2 m}{2}, & \text { if } g \text { is even, } m=0,1, \ldots,(g-2) / 2 \\ \frac{g-1-2 m}{2}, & \text { if } g \text { is odd, } m=0,1, \ldots,(g-3) / 2\end{cases}
$$

Then $h$ is an integer such that $1 \leq h \leq g / 2$.


Figure 5: A branched cover

Construct a branched cover $S_{g} \rightarrow S_{h}$ as in Figure 5. For $h \geq 2$, $S_{h}$ has a pseudoAnosov mapping class $f_{h} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{h}\right)$ as in the Theorem B whose stretch factor has
$\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)\right)=2 h$. For some $k, f_{h}{ }^{k}$ lifts to $S_{g}$ and the lift has stretch factor $\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)^{k}$. We claim that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)^{k}\right)=2 h$. To see this, let $\lambda_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 h$, be the roots of the minimal polynomial of $\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)$ and let us define a polynomial

$$
p(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{2 h}\left(x-\lambda_{i}^{k}\right) .
$$

Then $p(x)$ is an integral polynomial because the following elementary symmetric polynomials in $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 h}$

$$
\sum \lambda_{i}, \sum_{i<j} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}, \sum_{i<j<l} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} \lambda_{l}, \cdots, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \cdots \lambda_{2 h}
$$

are all integers and hence each coefficient of $p(x)$

$$
\sum \lambda_{i}^{k}, \sum_{i<j} \lambda_{i}^{k} \lambda_{j}^{k}, \sum_{i<j<l} \lambda_{i}^{k} \lambda_{j}^{k} \lambda_{l}^{k}, \cdots, \lambda_{1}^{k} \lambda_{2}^{k} \cdots \lambda_{2 h}^{k}
$$

are integers as well. Therefore $p(x)$ is divided by the minimal polynomial of $\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)^{k}$. Due to the proof of Theorem B in section 7, $\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)^{k}$ is also a Salem number and $p(x)$ does not have a cyclotomic factor. This implies that $p(x)$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(f_{h}\right)^{k}\right)=2 h$.

If $h=1, S_{h}$ is a torus and it admits a Anosov mapping class $f$ whose stretch factor $\lambda(f)$ has algebraic degree 2 . Then similar arguments as above tells us that there is a lift of some power of $f$ to $S_{g}$ whose stretch factor has $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(f^{k}\right)\right)=2$.
Therefore there is a pseudo-Anosov map $\widetilde{f}_{h} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda\left(\widetilde{f}_{h}\right)\right)=2 h$ for each $h \leq g / 2$. In other words, every positive even degree $d \leq g$ is realized as the algebraic degree of a stretch factor on $S_{g}$.

## 5 Stretch factors of odd degrees

Long proved the following degree obstruction and McMullen communicated to us the following proof. First we will give a definition of the reciprocal polynomial. Given a polynomial $p(x)$ of degree $d$, we define the reciprocal polynomial $p^{*}(x)$ of $p(x)$ by $p^{*}(x)=x^{d} p(1 / x)$. It is a well-known property that $p^{*}(x)$ is irreducible if and only if $p(x)$ is irreducible.

Theorem 6 ([8]) Let $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ be a pseudo-Anosov mapping class having stretch factor $\lambda(f)$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\lambda(f))>3 g-3$, then $\operatorname{deg}(\lambda(f))$ is even.

Proof Since $f$ acts by a piecewise integral projective transformation on the $6 g-6$ dimensional space $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}$ of projective measured foliations on $S_{g}$, and since $\lambda(f)$ is an eigenvalue of this action, $\lambda(f)$ is an algebraic integer with $\operatorname{deg}(\lambda(f)) \leq 6 g-6$. Also, since $f$ preserves the symplectic structure on $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{F}$, it follows that $\lambda(f)$ is the root of palindromic polynomial $p(x)$ whose degree is bounded above by $6 g-6$.
Let $q(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\lambda(f)$ and let $q^{*}(x)$ be the reciprocal polynomial of $q(x)$. Then either $q(x)=q^{*}(x)$ or they have no common roots, because if there is at least one common root $\zeta$ of $q(x)$ and $q^{*}(x)$, then both $q(x)$ and $q^{*}(x)$ are the minimal polynomial of $\zeta$ and hence $q(x)=q^{*}(x)$. Suppose $\operatorname{deg}(q(x))>3 g-3$. If $q(x)$ and $q^{*}(x)$ have no common roots, then their product $q(x) q^{*}(x)$ is a factor of $p(x)$ since $q^{*}(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $1 / \lambda(f)$. This is a contradiction because $\operatorname{deg}(p(x)) \leq 6 g-6$ but $\operatorname{deg}\left(q(x) q^{*}(x)\right)>6 g-6$. Therefore we must have $q(x)=q^{*}(x)$ and this implies that $q(x)$ is an irreducible palindromic polynomial. Hence $\operatorname{deg}(q(x))$ is even since roots of $q(x)$ come in pairs, $\lambda_{i}$ and $1 / \lambda_{i}$.

It follows from the previous proof that if the minimal polynomial $p(x)$ of $\lambda$ has odd degree, then $p(x)$ is not palindromic and in fact the minimal palindromic polynomial containing $\lambda$ as a root is $p(x) p^{*}(x)$.

We will now show that the stretch factors of degree 3 have an additional special property. A Pisot number, also called a Pisot-Vijayaraghavan number or a PV number, is an algebraic integer greater than 1 such that all its Galois conjugates are strictly less than 1 in absolute value.

Proposition 7 Let $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$. If $\operatorname{deg}(\lambda(f))=3$, then $\lambda(f)$ is a Pisot number.
Proof Let $\lambda_{1}>1$ be the stretch factor of a pseudo-Anosov mapping class with algebraic degree 3 , and let $p(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of $\lambda_{1}$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$, and $\lambda_{3}$ be the roots of $p(x)$. Then the degree of $p(x) p^{*}(x)$ is 6 and it has pairs of roots $\left(\lambda_{1}, 1 / \lambda_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, 1 / \lambda_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{3}, 1 / \lambda_{3}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ is the largest root in absolute value. We claim that the absolute values of $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ are strictly less than 1 .
Suppose one of them has absolute value greater than or equal to 1 , say $\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geq 1$. The constant term $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}$ of $p(x)$ is $\pm 1$ since it is the factor of a palindromic polynomial with constant term 1. Hence $\left|\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}\right|=1$ and we have

$$
\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{3}\right|}=\left|\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{1}\right|
$$

which is a contradiction to the fact that the stretch factor $\lambda_{1}$ is strictly greater than all other roots of the palindromic polynomial $p(x) p^{*}(x)$. This proves the claim and hence the stretch factor of degree 3 is a Pisot number.

We now explain two constructions of mapping classes $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{g}\right)$ whose degree of $\lambda(f)$ is odd.

1. As we mentioned, Arnoux-Yoccoz [1] gave examples of a pseudo-Anosov mapping class on $S_{g}$ whose stretch factor has algebraic degree $g$. In particular for odd $g$, this gives examples of mapping classes with odd degree stretch factors. They proved that these stretch factors are all Pisot numbers.
2. For genus 2 , there is a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $f$ whose stretch factor has algebraic degree 3 (see section 6). This is the only possible odd degree on $S_{2}$ by Long's obstruction. It is also true that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\lambda(f)^{k}\right)=3$ for each $k$ because the stretch factor is a Pisot number (Proposition 7). There is a cover $S_{g} \rightarrow S_{2}$ for each $g$, so the lift of some power of $f$ has a stretch factor with algebraic degree 3 on $S_{g}$.

Proposition 8 For each genus $g$, the stretch factor with algebraic degree 3 can occur on $S_{g}$.

Question Are there stretch factors with odd algebraic degree that are not Pisot numbers?

## 6 Examples of even degrees

Tables 1 through 4 give explicit examples of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes whose stretch factors realize various degrees. We will follow the notation of the software Xtrain by Brinkmann. More specifically, $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$, and $d_{i}$ are Dehn twists along standard curves and $A_{i}, B_{i}, C_{i}$, and $D_{i}$ are the inverse twists as in [2]. The only missing degree on $S_{3}$ is degree 5 . We do not know if there is a degree 5 example or there is another degree obstruction.

| $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{2}\right)$ | Minimal polynomial | $\lambda(f)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | $a_{0} a_{0} d_{0} C_{0} D_{1} C_{0}$ | $x^{2}-3 x+1$ | $\lambda=2.618$ |
| 3 | $a_{0} d_{0} d_{0} C_{0} C_{0} D_{1}$ | $x^{3}-3 x^{2}-x-1$ | $\lambda=3.383$ |
| 4 | $a_{0} d_{0} d_{0} d_{1} c_{0} d_{0}$ | $x^{4}-x^{3}-x^{2}-x+1$ | $\lambda=1.722$ |
| 6 | $a_{0} a_{0} d_{0} A_{0} C_{0} D_{1}$ | $x^{6}-x^{5}-4 x^{3}-x+1$ | $\lambda=2.015$ |

Table 1: Examples of genus 2

| $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{3}\right)$ | Minimal polynomial | $\lambda(f)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | $a_{1} c_{0} d_{0} c_{0} d_{2} C_{1} D_{1}$ | $x^{2}-4 x+1$ | 3.732 |
| 3 | $a_{0} c_{0} d_{0} C_{1} D_{1} D_{2}$ | $x^{3}-2 x^{2}+x-1$ | 1.755 |
| 4 | $a_{1} c_{0} d_{0} a_{1} c_{1} d_{1} d_{2}$ | $x^{4}-x^{3}-2 x^{2}-x+1$ | 1.722 |
| 6 | $a_{0} c_{0} d_{0} d_{2} C_{1} D_{1}$ | $x^{6}-3 x^{5}+3 x^{4}-7 x^{3}+3 x^{2}-3 x+1$ | 2.739 |
| 8 | $a_{0} c_{0} d_{0} d_{1} C_{1} D_{2}$ | $x^{8}-x^{7}-2 x^{5}-2 x^{3}-x+1$ | 1.809 |
| 10 | $a_{1} c_{0} d_{0} d_{1} C_{1} A_{2} D_{2}$ | $x^{10}-x^{9}-2 x^{8}+2 x^{7}-2 x^{5}+2 x^{3}-2 x^{2}-x+1$ | 1.697 |
| 12 | $a_{1} c_{1} c_{0} d_{1} d_{2} A_{0} D_{0}$ | $x^{12}-x^{11}-x^{9}-x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{5}-x^{4}-x^{3}-x+1$ | 1.533 |

Table 2: Examples of genus 3

| $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{4}\right)$ | $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{4}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $a_{0} a_{0} a_{1} c_{0} d_{0} c_{1} d_{1} c_{2} d_{2} c_{3} d_{3}$ | 12 | $a_{0} B_{1} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ |
| 6 | $a_{0} B_{2} A_{3} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ | 14 | $a_{0} d_{0} B_{0} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ |
| 8 | $a_{0} A_{1} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ | 16 | $A_{0} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ |
| 10 | $a_{0} b_{1} A_{2} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ | 18 | $a_{0} B_{1} A_{2} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3}$ |

Table 3: Examples of genus 4

| $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{5}\right)$ | $\operatorname{deg}$ | $f \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(S_{5}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $b_{3} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ | 16 | $a_{1} B_{2} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ |
| 8 | $a_{0} a_{1} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ | 18 | $a_{1} B_{0} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ |
| 10 | $a_{1} A_{4} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ | 20 | $a_{1} A_{0} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ |
| 12 | $b_{2} C_{2} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ | 22 | $a_{2} A_{1} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ |
| 14 | $a_{1} B_{1} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ | 24 | $c_{2} A_{2} d_{0} c_{0} d_{1} c_{1} d_{2} c_{2} d_{3} c_{3} d_{4} c_{4}$ |

Table 4: Examples of genus 5

## 7 Irreducibility of Polynomials

In this section, we will prove Theorem B. It is enough to show that the polynomial

$$
p_{n}(x)=x^{2 n}-2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2 n-1} x^{j}\right)+1
$$

is irreiducible for $n \geq 2$. We will show that $p_{n}(x)$ does not have a cyclotomic polynomial factor. It then follows from Kronecker's theorem that $p_{n}(x)$ is irreducible.

Suppose $p_{n}(x)$ has the $m$ th cyclotomic polynomial factor for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $e^{2 \pi i / m}$ is a root of $p_{n}(x)$. Multiplying $p_{n}(x)$ by $x-1$ yields

$$
x^{2 n+1}-3 x^{2 n}+3 x-1
$$

and hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2(2 n+1) \pi i / m}-3 e^{4 n \pi i / m}+3 e^{2 \pi i / m}-1=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the real part and the complex part of (1). Then we have the system of equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\frac{2(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)-3 \cos \left(\frac{4 n \pi}{m}\right)+3 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-1=0 \\
\sin \left(\frac{2(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin \left(\frac{4 n \pi}{m}\right)+3 \sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using double-angle formula for the first cosine and sum-to-product formula for the last two cosines, the first equation gives

$$
2 \sin \left(\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)\left[3 \sin \left(\frac{(2 n-1) \pi}{m}\right)-\sin \left(\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)\right]=0
$$

Similarly the second equation gives

$$
2 \cos \left(\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)\left[\sin \left(\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin \left(\frac{(2 n-1) \pi}{m}\right)\right]=0 .
$$

Since sine and cosine have no common zeros, we must have

$$
\sin \left(\frac{(2 n+1) \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin \left(\frac{(2 n-1) \pi}{m}\right)=0 .
$$

For $m \leq 5$, by direct calculation we can see that $p_{n}\left(e^{2 \pi i / m}\right) \neq 0$. So we may assume that $m \geq 6$. Let $\varphi=(2 n-1) \pi / m$ and then we can write the above equation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin (\varphi)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sin (\varphi+2 \pi / m)$ is a real number between -1 and 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{3} \leq \sin (\varphi) \leq \frac{1}{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi=\sin ^{-1}(1 / 3)$. Then note that $\psi<\pi / 6$. Equation (3) gives the restriction on $\varphi$, which is

$$
-\psi \leq \varphi \leq \psi \text { or } \pi-\psi \leq \varphi \leq \pi+\psi
$$

Another observation from (2) is that both $\sin (\varphi+2 \pi / m)$ and $\sin (\varphi)$ must have the same sign.

We claim that $\varphi$ has to be on the either first or third quadrant. Suppose $\varphi$ is on the second quadrant, that is, $\pi-\psi<\varphi<\pi$. Note that $m \geq 6$ implies $2 \pi / m \leq \pi / 3$.

Since $\varphi$ is above the $x$-axis, $\varphi+2 \pi / m$ also has to be above the $x$-axis due to (2) and hence the only possibility is that $\varphi+2 \pi / m$ is between $\varphi$ and $\pi$. Then

$$
0<\sin \left(\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)<\sin (\varphi) \Longrightarrow \sin \left(\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)<3 \sin (\varphi)
$$

which is a contradiction to (2). Similar arguments hold if $\varphi$ is on the fourth quadrant. Therefore the possible range for $\varphi$ is

$$
0<\varphi \leq \psi \text { or } \pi<\varphi \leq \pi+\psi
$$

Suppose $\varphi$ is on the first quadrant. Then so is $\varphi+2 \pi / m$ because

$$
0<\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m} \leq \psi+\frac{\pi}{3}<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

We can write

$$
\varphi=\frac{(2 n-1) \pi}{m} \equiv \frac{j \pi}{m} \quad(\bmod 2 \pi)
$$

for some positive integer $j$, i.e., $0<j \pi / m<\pi / 2$.
If $j \geq 2$, Using the subadditivity of $\sin (x)$ on the first quadrant

$$
\sin (x+y) \leq \sin (x)+\sin (y)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \left(\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin (\varphi) & \leq\left(\sin (\varphi)+\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)\right)-3 \sin (\varphi) \\
& =\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-2 \sin (\varphi) \\
& =\sin \left(\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-2 \sin \left(\frac{j \pi}{m}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2).
If $j=1$, using triple-angle formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \left(\varphi+\frac{2 \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin (\varphi) & =\sin \left(\frac{3 \pi}{m}\right)-3 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right) \\
& =\left(3 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)-4 \sin ^{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)\right)-3 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right) \\
& =-4 \sin ^{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{m}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (2) again. Therefore there is no possible $\varphi$ on the first quadrant. By using the same arguments, the fact that $\varphi$ is on the third quadrant gives a contradiction. Therefore we can conclude that $p(x)$ does not have a cyclotomic factor.

We now show that $p_{n}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $p_{n}(x)$ is reducible and write $p_{n}(x)=g(x) h(x)$ with non-constant functions $g(x)$ and $h(x)$. There is only one root of $p_{n}(x)$ whose absolute value is strictly greater than 1 . Therefore one of $g(x)$ or $h(x)$ has all roots inside the unit disk. By Kronecker's theorem, this polynomial has to be a product of cyclotomic polynomials, which is a contradiction because $p_{n}(x)$ does not have a cyclotomic polynomial factor. Therefore $p_{n}(x)$ is irreducible.
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