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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to determine topological types of torus manifolds which have codimension one extended $G$-actions. As a result, we show that their topological types are completely determined by their cohomology rings and characteristic classes. Due to this result, we find the counterexample to the cohomological rigidity problem in the category of torus manifolds. Moreover, we find the class of manifolds in torus manifolds with codimension one extended $G$-actions which is not in the class of quasitoric manifolds but cohomologically rigid.
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## 1. Introduction

A toric variety of dimension $n$ is a normal algebraic variety on which an algebraic torus $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$ acts with a dense orbit. In this paper, we call a compact non-singular toric variety a toric manifold. We regard the compact torus $T^{n}$ as the standard compact subgroup in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{n}$. The orbit space of a toric manifold with $T^{n}$ can be identified with the simple polytope and the

[^0]action of $T^{n}$ on a toric manifold is locally standard, that is, locally modelled by the standard action on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Davis and Januszkiewicz first introduced the notion of a toric manifold as a topological counterpart, which is now called a quasitoric manifold, by taking these two characteristic properties as the starting point (see [1], [5]). A quasitoric manifold is a smooth closed manifold of dimension $2 n$ with a locally standard $T^{n}$-action whose orbit space is a simple polytope. Obviously not all quasitoric manifolds belong to the family of toric manifolds. For instance, a connected sum $\mathbb{C} P^{2} \# \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ of two $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ 's is a quasitoric manifold with an appropriate action of $T^{2}$ but not a toric manifold because it does not allow an almost complex structure. Moreover, the family of quasitoric manifolds does not contain the family of toric manifolds entirely, but the theory can be extended to a certain family of manifolds containing both toric manifolds and quasitoric manifolds. As an ultimate generalization of (quasi)toric manifolds, Hattori and Masuda introduced a torus manifold (or unitary toric manifold in the earlier terminology) in [7, [11, which is an oriented, closed smooth manifold of dimension $2 n$ with an effective $T^{n}$-action with a non-empty fixed point set. Among the definition of torus manifolds, if a torus manifold $M$ satisfies the following two conditions:
(1) $H^{\text {odd }}(M)=0\left(\right.$ resp. $H^{*}(M)$ is generated by $H^{2}(M)$ as ring);
(2) $M, M_{i}$ 's and connected components of any multiple intersection of $M_{i}$ 's are all simply connected,
where $M_{i}$ 's are characteristic submanifolds of $M$, then the orbits space is a homotopy cell (resp. homotopy polytope) and the converse also holds (see [13]). Thus Masuda and Suh believe in 15 that the toric theory can be developed to the family of torus manifolds satisfying the two conditions above in the topological category in a nice way.

On the other hand, the topological classification of these manifolds has recently attracted the attention of toric topologists. Masuda and Suh ask several problems in [15]. Of special interest is the following problem which is now called a cohomological rigidity problem for toric manifolds:

Problem 1.1. Are toric manifolds diffeomorphic (or homeomorphic) if their cohomology rings are isomorphic as graded rings?

They also ask the problem for quasitoric manifolds and torus manifolds satisfying the two conditions above. Since there is no counterexample to Problem 1.1for toric or quasitoric manifolds, but some affirmative evidences, Problem 1.1 has been still open in the toric or quasitoric categories, see [2] and [13]. In the present paper, we find a negative answer to Problem 1.1 for the family of torus manifolds each of whose orbit space is a homotopy cell. In order to do, we study a topological classification of torus manifolds which have extended $G$-actions, where $G$ is a compact, connected Lie group with maximal torus $T^{n}$. Recently the second author has classified the torus manifolds which have extended $G$-actions with codimension 0 or 1 principal orbits in [8], [9], [10]. The (simply connected) torus manifold which has a codimension 0 extended $G$-action (i.e., transitive $G$-action) is a product of complex projective spaces and spheres. This is nothing but a product of projective spaces and spheres from the (non-equivariant) topological point
of view. Thus, this paper will be restricted to consideration of the topological types of the torus manifolds which have extended $G$-actions with codimension 1 principal orbits. We denote such class as $\mathfrak{M}$. The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem (see Corollary 4.2 in Section 4 ).

Theorem 1.2. Homeomorphism types of $\mathfrak{M}$ are completely determined by their cohomology rings, Pontrjagin classes and Stiefel-Whitney classes.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the results of [9], [10] and prepare notations. In Section 3, we compute cohomology rings and characteristic classes of these manifolds. In Section 4, we present the main result of this paper. Finally, in Section 5, we prove the main result and find several non-trivial examples.

## 2. Notations

We first recall the definition of torus manifolds (see [7], [11]). Let $M$ be a $2 n$-dimensional, oriented, closed manifold with an effective half dimensional torus $T^{n}$ action. We call $M$ a torus manifold if its fixed point set $M^{T}$ is non empty. Remark that in [7], [11], we need to choice the omniorientations, namely, an orientation of the torus manifold and its characteristic submanifolds, on torus manifolds. However, in this paper we do not assume the omniorientations on torus manifolds because we focus only on the topological types of torus manifolds.

Next we recall the definition of quasitoric manifolds (see [1], [5]). If the torus manifold $M^{2 n}$ satisfies the following two properties:
(1) $T^{n}$-action is locally standard, that is, locally looks like the standard torus representation in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$;
(2) there is a projection map $M^{2 n} \rightarrow P^{n}$ constant on $T^{n}$-orbits which maps every $k$-dimensional orbit to a point in the interior of $k$-face of $P^{n}$ for $k=0, \ldots, n$, where $P^{n}$ is a convex, simple, $n$-dimensional polytope,
then we call $M^{2 n}$ a quasitoric manifold.
Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ be the set of simply connected torus manifolds $M^{2 n}$ which have extended $G$-actions with codimension 1 principal orbits, where $G$ is a compact, connected Lie group with maximal torus $T^{n}$. Due to the main results in [9], [10], $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ consists of the following three types of manifolds:

- TYPE 1: $\prod_{j=1}^{b} S^{2 m_{j}} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} S^{2 \ell_{i}+1} \times{ }_{\left(S^{1}\right)^{a}} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right)\right)$;
- TYPE 2: $\prod_{j=1}^{b} S^{2 m_{j}} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} S^{2 \ell_{i}+1} \times{ }_{\left(S^{1}\right)^{a}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)\right)$;
- TYPE 3: $\prod_{j=1}^{b} S^{2 m_{j}} \times\left(\prod_{i=1}^{a} S^{2 \ell_{i}+1} \times{ }_{\left(S^{1}\right)^{a}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)\right)$,
where $P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right)=\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}-\{0\}\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is a complex projective space, $S\left(\mathbb{C}^{\ell} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^{\ell} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is a sphere, and $\left(S^{1}\right)^{a}$ acts on $\prod_{i=1}^{a} S^{2 \ell_{i}+1}$ naturally and on $\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}}$ through the following representation $\rho:\left(S^{1}\right)^{a} \rightarrow S^{1}$ :

$$
\rho\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{a}\right)=t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots t_{a}^{\alpha_{a}}
$$

for $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}(i=1, \ldots, a)$.
In the remainder of the paper, we assume that $a=1$ and $b=0$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ denotes the subset of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ which satisfied $a=1$ and $b=0$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$
be the subset of $\mathfrak{M}$ of TYPE $i$ with $a=1$ and $b=0$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{M}_{i}$, the element $N_{i} \in \mathfrak{M}_{i}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times_{S^{1}} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right) \\
& N_{2}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \\
& N_{3}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Defining $\rho: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ as $t \mapsto t^{\rho}$, we may regard $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$. First we compute the cohomology rings and characteristic classes of $N_{i}$ 's. In order to compute them, we use the following standard notations: $H^{*}(X)$ is the cohomology ring of $X$ over $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients; $w(X)$ (resp. $w_{i}(X)$ ) is the total (resp. $i$-th) Stiefel-Whitney class of $X$; and $p(X)$ (resp. $p_{i}(X)$ ) is the total (resp. $i$-th) Pontrjagin class of $X$. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ denotes the polynomial ring generated by $x_{j}(j=1, \ldots, m)$, and $<f_{1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right), \ldots, f_{s}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)>$ denotes the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ generated by the polynomials $f_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ $(j=1, \ldots, s) . E(\eta)$ denotes the total space for the fibre bundle $\eta$.

## 3. Topological invariants

In this section, we will compute the following three topological invariants of $N_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ :

- cohomology rings $H^{*}\left(N_{i}\right)$;
- Stiefel-Whiteny classes $w\left(N_{i}\right)$;
- Pontrjagin classes $p\left(N_{i}\right)$.
3.1. Topological invariants of $N_{1}$. In this subsection, we shall compute topological invariants of

$$
N_{1}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times S^{1} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right)
$$

In order to compute them, we first recall the torus action on $N_{1}$, where in this case the dimension of torus is $\ell+k_{1}+k_{2}-1$. The torus action on $N_{1}$ is defined as follows $\left(k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k_{1}}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{k_{2}-1}\right) \cdot\left[\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right),\left[y_{1} ; \ldots ; y_{k_{1}} ; y_{1}^{\prime} ; \ldots ; y_{k_{2}}^{\prime}\right]\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\left(x_{0}, a_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell} x_{\ell}\right),\left[b_{1} y_{1} ; \cdots ; b_{k_{1}} y_{k_{1}} ; c_{1} y_{1}^{\prime} ; \cdots ; c_{k_{2}-1} y_{k_{2}-1}^{\prime} ; y_{k_{2}}^{\prime}\right]\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{i}, b_{j}, c_{k} \in S^{1}$ and $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{\ell}\right) \in S^{2 \ell+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1},\left[y_{1} ; \cdots ; y_{k_{1}} ; y_{1}^{\prime} ; \cdots ; y_{k_{2}}^{\prime}\right] \in$ $P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right)$. Hence, we can easily check that this manifold $N_{1}$ is a quasitoric manifold over $\Delta^{\ell} \times \Delta^{k_{1}+k_{2}-1}$ (product of two simplices) whose dimension is $2 \ell+2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}-2$. Therefore, we can use the Davis-Januszkiewicz formula in [5, Theorem 4.14, Corollary 6.8] for computing topological invariants of quasitoric manifolds.
3.1.1. The Davis-Januszkiewicz formula. Next we quickly review the DavisJanuszkiewicz formula for topological invariants (see [1], [5] for details). The equivariant cohomology of quasitoric manifolds $M^{2 n}$ can be described as follows:

$$
H^{*}\left(E T \times_{T} M ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{T^{n}}^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}
$$

where $v_{j}\left(\operatorname{deg} v_{j}=2, j=1, \ldots, m\right)$ is the equivariant Poincaré dual of codimension two invariant submanifold $M_{j}$ in $M^{2 n}$ (characteristic submanifolds) and $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]$ generated by $\left\{\prod_{j \in I} v_{j} \mid \bigcap_{j \in I} M_{j}=\emptyset\right\}$. We call $\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] / \mathcal{I}$ the face ring of $M / T=P$. Let $\pi: E T \times_{T} M \rightarrow B T$ be the natural projection. Then, we can define the induced homomorphism

$$
\pi^{*}: H^{*}(B T ; \mathbb{Z})=\mathbb{Z}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right] \longrightarrow H^{*}\left(E T \times_{T} M ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=H_{T^{n}}^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Moreover, the $\pi^{*}$-image of $t_{i}, \pi^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n)$, can be described by the information of the $T$-action on $M$. Such information is called the characteristic matrix $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{1} \cdots \lambda_{m}\right) \in M(n, m ; \mathbb{Z})$ (the set of $n \times m$ integer matrices), where $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}(j=1, \ldots, m)$ corresponds with the generator of Lie algebra of isotropy subgroup of characteristic submanifold $M_{j}$. Put $\lambda_{j}=\left(\lambda_{1 j} \cdots \lambda_{n j}\right)^{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then we can describe $\pi^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n)$ as follows:

$$
\pi^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{i j} v_{j}
$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right]$ generated by $\pi^{*}\left(t_{i}\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then the ordinary cohomology of quasitoric manifolds are described as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}\left(M^{2 n} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right] /(\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for an inclusion $\iota: M \rightarrow E T \times_{T} M$, the Pontrjagin class ${ }^{1}$ and the Stiefel-Whitney class can be described as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(M)=\iota^{*} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+v_{i}^{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& w(M)=\iota^{*} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1+v_{i}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

3.1.2. Topological invariants of $N_{1}$. Now we may compute the topological invariants of $N_{1}$. In order to use the Davis-Januszkiewicz formula, we need to compute the characteristic functions of $N_{1}$. By the definition of the torus action on $N_{1}$, the characteristic matrix of $N_{1}$ is as follows:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{\ell} & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0  \tag{4}\\
0 & I_{k_{1}} & 0 & \rho \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \\
0 & 0 & I_{k_{2}-1} & 0 & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) \in M(n, n+2 ; \mathbb{Z})
$$

where $n=\ell+k_{1}+k_{2}-1$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{t} \in M(r, 1 ; \mathbb{Z})$ for $r=\ell, k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$.

Now we may compute the topological invariants of $N_{1}$. Because the equivariant cohomology ring $H_{T^{n}}^{*}\left(N_{1}\right)$ is the face ring of $\Delta^{\ell} \times \Delta^{k_{1}+k_{2}-1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{T^{n}}^{*}\left(N_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell+1}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right] / \mathcal{I} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $\operatorname{deg} v_{i}=\operatorname{deg} w_{j}=2$, and $\mathcal{I}$ is generated by
$$
v_{1} \cdots v_{\ell+1} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{1} \cdots w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}
$$

Now $\mathcal{J}$ in $H_{T^{n}}^{*}\left(N_{1}\right)$ is as follows by using (4):

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{1}=-v_{\ell+1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}=-v_{\ell+1} \\
& w_{1}=-\rho v_{\ell+1}-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}, \ldots, w_{k_{1}}=-\rho v_{\ell+1}-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}  \tag{6}\\
& w_{k_{1}+1}=-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}, \ldots, w_{k_{1}+k_{2}-1}=-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (1), (5) and (6), we have the following formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(N_{1}\right) & \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell+1}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right] /(\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{J}) \\
& \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[v_{\ell+1}, w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right] /<(-1)^{\ell}\left(v_{\ell+1}\right)^{\ell+1},\left(-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}-\rho v_{\ell+1}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(-w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right)^{k_{2}}> \\
& \simeq \mathbb{Z}[x, y] /<x^{\ell+1}, y^{k_{2}}(y+\rho x)^{k_{1}}>
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x=v_{\ell+1}, y=w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}$.
Because of (2), (3) and (6), we have the characteristic classes of $N_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p\left(N_{1}\right) & =\left(1+v_{\ell+1}^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\left(\rho v_{\ell+1}+w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(1+w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}^{2}\right)^{k_{2}} \\
& =\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+(\rho x+y)^{2}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{k_{2}} ; \\
w\left(N_{1}\right) & \equiv_{2}\left(1+v_{\ell+1}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho v_{\ell+1}+w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(1+w_{k_{1}+k_{2}}\right)^{k_{2}} \\
& \equiv_{2}(1+x)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho x+y)^{k_{1}}(1+y)^{k_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In summary, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 3.1. Topological invariants of $N_{1}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(N_{1}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}[x, y] /<x^{\ell+1}, y^{k_{2}}(y+\rho x)^{k_{1}}> \\
p\left(N_{1}\right) & =\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+(\rho x+y)^{2}\right)^{k_{1}}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{k_{2}} \\
w\left(N_{1}\right) & \equiv_{2}(1+x)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho x+y)^{k_{1}}(1+y)^{k_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x=\operatorname{deg} y=2$ and $\ell, k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.
3.2. Topological invariants of $N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$. In this subsection, for the following two manifolds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{2}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \\
& N_{3}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we shall prove the following propositions.
Proposition 3.2. Topological invariants of $N_{2}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(N_{2}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}[x, z] /<x^{\ell+1}, z\left(z+(\rho x)^{k}\right)> \\
p\left(N_{2}\right) & =\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho^{2} x^{2}\right)^{k} \\
w\left(N_{2}\right) & \equiv_{2}(1+x)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho x)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x=2, \operatorname{deg} z=2 k$ and $\ell, k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proposition 3.3. Topological invariants of $N_{3}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(N_{3}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}[x, z] /<x^{\ell+1}, z^{2}> \\
p\left(N_{3}\right) & =\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho^{2} x^{2}\right)^{k_{1}} \\
w\left(N_{3}\right) & \equiv_{2}(1+x)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho x)^{k_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x=2, \operatorname{deg} z=2\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$ and $\ell, k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now $N_{2}$ has the following fibration:

$$
S^{2 k}=S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \longrightarrow N_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{2 \ell+1} / S^{1} \cong \mathbb{C} P(\ell)
$$

that is, $N_{2}$ is a sphere bundle over a complex projective space. Therefore, we can use the following lemma ([6, Lemma 4]):
Lemma 3.4. Let $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth, oriented $r$-sphere bundle over an oriented manifold $M$ which has a section $s: M \rightarrow E$. Let the normal bundle $\nu$ of the embedding s be oriented by $\pi$, and let $\chi(\nu) \in H^{r}(M)$ be the Euler class of $\nu$ with respect to this orientation. Then there exists a unique class $z \in H^{r}(E)$ such that

$$
s^{*}(z)=0 \in H^{*}(M) \text { and }<i^{*}(z),\left[S^{r}\right]>=1
$$

Furthermore $H^{*}(E)$, as a module over $H^{*}(M)$, has the basis $\{1, z\}$ subject to the relation

$$
z^{2}+\pi^{*}(\chi(\nu)) z=0
$$

We define the section of $\pi: N_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)$ as follows:

$$
s: \mathbb{C} P(\ell) \ni\left[z_{0} ; \ldots ; z_{\ell}\right] \mapsto\left(\left[z_{0} ; \ldots ; z_{\ell}\right],(0, \ldots, 0,1)\right) \in N_{2}
$$

where $(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$. We can easily check this map is well-defined because $(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ is one of the fixed points of the $S^{1}$-action on $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$. Then the normal bundle of this section is isomorphic to the following bundle $\xi_{\rho}$ :

$$
\mathbb{C}^{k} \longrightarrow S^{2 \ell+1} \times{S^{1}}^{\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)
$$

where $\rho: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ defined by $t \rightarrow t^{\rho}$. Then, we have that

$$
\xi_{\rho} \cong \gamma_{\rho}^{\oplus k}
$$

where $E\left(\gamma_{\rho}\right)=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} \mathbb{C}_{\rho}$ such that $S^{1}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}_{\rho}$ by the representation $t \mapsto t^{\rho}$. If $\rho=1$, then $\gamma_{\rho}$ is isomorphic to the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$. Hence, $\gamma_{\rho}=\gamma^{\otimes \rho}$ for the canonical line bundle $\gamma\left(=\gamma_{1}\right)$. Therefore, the Euler class of $\xi_{\rho}$ is

$$
\chi\left(\xi_{\rho}\right)=c_{k}\left(\xi_{\rho}\right)=c_{k}\left(\gamma_{\rho}^{\oplus k}\right)=c_{1}\left(\gamma_{\rho}\right)^{k}=c_{1}\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho}\right)^{k}=(\rho c)^{k}=\rho^{k} c^{k}
$$

where $c \in H^{2}(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))$ is the generator (determined by $\left.c_{1}(\gamma)\right)$ of the cohomology ring $H^{*}(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))$. Now $\pi^{*}$ is injective by using $H^{\text {odd }}(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))=0$ and $H^{\text {odd }}\left(S^{2 k}\right)=0$ (see [17]). Hence, there are the following relations in the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(N_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{\ell+1}=0 \\
& z^{2}+\rho^{k} x^{k} z=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x=\pi^{*}(c) \in H^{2}\left(N_{2}\right)$ and some $z \in H^{2 k}\left(N_{2}\right)$ by using $H^{*}(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))=$ $\mathbb{Z}[c] /<c^{\ell+1}>$ and Lemma 3.4. Making use of the Serre spectral sequence for the bundle $\pi: N_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)$, there is an epimorphism $\mathbb{Z}[x, z] \rightarrow H^{*}\left(N_{2}\right)$, and additively the cohomology of $H^{*}\left(N_{2}\right)$ coincides with that of $\mathbb{C} P(\ell) \times$ $S^{2 k}$. Hence, there is no other relations except those mentioned in the above arguments. Thus, we have the cohomology formula in Proposition 3.2,

In order to compute characteristic classes, we regard $N_{2}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}}$ $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$ as the unit sphere bundle of the following vector bundle over $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\xi_{\rho} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \cong \gamma_{\rho}^{\oplus k} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{R}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}$ is the trivial line bundle. Note that $E(\xi)=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right)$. We often denote $N_{2}$ as $S(\xi)$, i.e., the unit sphere bundle of $\xi$.

Now $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the tangent bundle of $E(\xi)$. Then, there is the following pull-back diagram:

where $\iota:\left(N_{2}=\right) S(\xi) \rightarrow E(\xi)$ is the natural inclusion, and the following relation holds:

$$
\left.\mathcal{T}\right|_{N_{2}}=\iota^{*} \mathcal{T}=\tau_{2} \oplus \nu_{2}
$$

where $\tau_{2}$ is the tangent bundle of $N_{2}=S(\xi)$ and $\nu_{2}$ is the normal bundle of the inclusion $\iota: N_{2}=S(\xi) \rightarrow E(\xi)$. Note that $\nu_{2}$ is a real 1-dimensional bundle by the equation $\operatorname{dim} E(\xi)-\operatorname{dim} S(\xi)=1$. Because $N_{2}$ is simply connected, we have the following lemma for $\nu_{2}$ (see [20]).

Lemma 3.5. $\nu_{2}$ is the trivial real line bundle over $N_{2}$, i.e., $E\left(\nu_{2}\right)=N_{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.
Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iota^{*} p(\mathcal{T})=p\left(\iota^{*} \mathcal{T}\right)=p\left(\tau_{2} \oplus \nu_{2}\right)=p\left(\tau_{2}\right)=p\left(N_{2}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \iota^{*} w(\mathcal{T})=w\left(\iota^{*} \mathcal{T}\right)=w\left(\tau_{2} \oplus \nu_{2}\right)=w\left(\tau_{2}\right)=w\left(N_{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We also remark $\iota^{*}: H^{*}(E(\xi)) \rightarrow H^{*}(S(\xi))$ is injective, because $\pi: S(\xi) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$ is decomposed into $\pi=\widetilde{\pi} \circ \iota$ where $\widetilde{\pi}: E(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)$ and $\pi^{*}$ is injective. In order to prove Proposition 3.2 , we compute $p(\mathcal{T})$ and $w(\mathcal{T})$.

Let $\widetilde{s}$ be the zero section of $\widetilde{\pi}: E(\xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)$. Consider the following pull-back diagram:


Because the normal bundle $\nu(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))$ of the image of $\widetilde{s}$ is isomorphic to $\xi$, we have that

$$
\widetilde{s}^{*} \mathcal{T} \cong \tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \oplus \nu(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \cong \tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \oplus \xi
$$

where $\tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))$ is the tangent bundle over $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$. Therefore, by (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{s}^{*}(p(\mathcal{T})) & =p\left(\widetilde{s}^{*} \mathcal{T}\right)=p(\tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \oplus \xi)=p(\tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))) p(\xi) \\
& =\left(1+c^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho^{2} c^{2}\right)^{k} \\
\widetilde{s}^{*}(w(\mathcal{T})) & =w\left(\widetilde{s}^{*} \mathcal{T}\right)=w(\tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \oplus \xi)=w(\tau(\mathbb{C} P(\ell))) w(\xi) \\
& =(1+c)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho c)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\widetilde{s}^{*}: H^{*}(E(\xi)) \rightarrow H^{*}(\mathbb{C} P(\ell)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[c] /<c^{\ell+1}>$ induces the isomorphism and $\widetilde{s}^{*}=\left(\widetilde{\pi}^{*}\right)^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(\mathcal{T})=\left(1+\widetilde{\pi}^{*}(c)^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho^{2} \widetilde{\pi}^{*}(c)^{2}\right)^{k} \\
& w(\mathcal{T})=\left(1+\widetilde{\pi}^{*}(c)\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho \widetilde{\pi}^{*}(c)\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(N_{2}\right)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho^{2} x^{2}\right)^{k} \\
& w\left(N_{2}\right)=(1+x)^{\ell+1}(1+\rho x)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

by using $\iota^{*} \circ \widetilde{\pi}^{*}(c)=\pi^{*}(c)=x,(8)$ and (9). Thus, we have the characteristic classes in Proposition 3.2.

With a method similar to that demonstrated in the above proof of Proposition 3.2 , for $N_{3}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)$, we can prove Proposition 3.3 .

## 4. Main theorem and Preliminary

In this section, we state the main theorem and prepare to prove it.
4.1. Main theorem. Before we state the main theorem, we prepare some notations (also see [19]). A manifold $M$ in the given family is said to be cohomologically rigid if for any other manifold $M^{\prime}$ in the family the ring isomorphism $H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ implies a homeomorphism $M \cong M^{\prime}$. A manifold $M$ in the given family is said to be rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class (resp. the Stiefel-Whitney class) if for any other manifold $M^{\prime}$ in the family the ring isomorphism $\phi: H^{*}(M ; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq$ $H^{*}\left(M^{\prime} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ such that $\phi(p(M))=p\left(M^{\prime}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\phi(w(M))=w\left(M^{\prime}\right)\right)$ implies a homeomorphism $M \cong M^{\prime}$. We remark that if $M$ is cohomologically rigid in the given family, then $M$ is autmatically rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class (and the Stiefel-Whitney class).

Now we may state the main theorem.
Theorem 4.1. All manifolds $M \in \mathfrak{M}$ satisfy one of the following three properties.
(1) If $M$ is cohomologically rigid in $\mathfrak{M}$, then $M$ is one of the followings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right) \\
& S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \quad \ell>1 \text { and } k \leq \ell \\
& S^{3} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k \equiv{ }_{2} 0(k>1) \\
& S^{3} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k_{1} \equiv{ }_{2} 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $M$ is not cohomologically rigid but rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class in $\mathfrak{M}$, then $M$ is one of the followings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k>\ell>1 \\
& S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad \ell>1
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Otherwise, $M$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Stiefel-Whitney class in $\mathfrak{M}$ and one of the followings:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{3} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k \equiv_{2} 1(k>1) \\
& S^{3} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to show that the manifolds in $\mathfrak{M}$ satisfy the two conditions in Section 1, i.e., for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}$,
(1) $H^{\text {odd }}(M)=0$;
(2) $M, M_{i}$ 's and connected components of any multiple intersection of $M_{i}$ 's are all simply connected,
where $M_{i}$ 's are characteristic submanifolds of $M$. Due to Theorem 4.1, we have that torus manifolds do not satisfy the cohomological rigidity even if the above two conditions hold. This gives the negative answer to the cohomological rigidity problem of torus manifolds (see [15, Problem 1 and Section 7]).

As a corollary of Theorem 4.1, we have the followig result.
Corollary 4.2. Homeomorphism types of $\mathfrak{M}$ are completely determined by their cohomology rings, Pontrjagin classes and Stiefel-Whitney classes.

### 4.2. Preliminary. In this subsection, we prepare to prove Theorem 4.1.

Due to the definition of $N_{1} \in \mathfrak{M}_{1}$, this manifold $N_{1}$ is the projectify of the vector bundle $\eta_{\rho}$ :

$$
\mathbb{C}^{k_{1}+k_{2}} \longrightarrow S^{2 \ell+1} \times_{S^{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} P(\ell)
$$

Now we have

$$
\eta_{\rho} \cong\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho}\right)^{\oplus k_{1}} \oplus \underline{\mathbb{C}}^{\oplus k_{2}}
$$

over $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$ where $\gamma$ is the canonical line bundle $\left(E(\gamma)=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} \mathbb{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbb{C}$ is the trivial complex line bundle. Thus, $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ consists of 2 -stage generalized Bott towers. Therefore, we may use the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 ([2]). Top manifolds of 2-stage generalized Bott towers are diffeomorphic if and only if their integral cohomology rings are isomorphic.

Due to the definition of $N_{2} \in \mathfrak{M}_{2}$ and $N_{3} \in \mathfrak{M}_{3}$, they are sphere bundles over projective spaces $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$. Then $(n-1)$-sphere bundles over a complex $K$ are induced by mappings of $K$ into some Grassmann manifold $G_{n}=B O(n)$. As is well known, they are one-to-one correspondence with the homotopy classes (e.g. [20]).

Theorem 4.4. The problem of classifying the $(n-1)$-sphere bundles over a complex $K$ is equivalent to the problem of enumerating the homotopy classes of maps of $K$ in $G_{n+\ell, n}$ for any $\ell>\operatorname{dim} K$, where $G_{n, k}$ is the Grassmann manifold of oriented $k$-planes through the origin in a euclidean space of dimension $n$.

Note that for $M \in \mathfrak{M}, H^{*}(M)=\mathbb{Z}[x, w] /<x^{\ell+1}, f(x, w)>$, where $f$ is a homogeneous polynomial and $\operatorname{deg} x=2$ and $w=y$ for Proposition 3.1 or $w=z$ for Proposition 3.2, 3.3. We list up for each case:

Proposition 3.1: $f(x, y)=y^{k_{2}}(y+\rho x)^{k_{1}}, \operatorname{deg} y=2$ for $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$;

Proposition 3.2; $f(x, z)=z\left(z+(\rho x)^{k}\right), \operatorname{deg} z=2 k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
Proposition 3.3; $f(x, z)=z^{2}, \operatorname{deg} z=2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}$ for $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$.
This implies that the cohomology ring determines $\ell, \operatorname{deg} w$ and $\operatorname{deg} f$ (remark that $\ell \geq 1, \operatorname{deg} w \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{deg} f \geq 4$ ). The proof of Theorem 4.1 is divided into the following two cases corresponding with the degree of $w$ :

CASE 1: $\operatorname{deg} w=2$, i.e., 2 -dimensional sphere bundle or complex projective bundle;
CASE 2: $\operatorname{deg} w>2$, i.e., the dimension of fibre is greater than 2 ; moreover, we will divide CASE 2 into the following three cases corresponding with $\ell$ :

CASE 2 (1): $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell \geq 4 ;$
CASE 2 (2): $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=2,3$;
CASE 2 (3): $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=1$.

## 5. Proof of the main theorem

In this final section, we prove Theorem 4.1.
5.1. CASE $1: \operatorname{deg} w=2$. Assume $\operatorname{deg} w=2$. Then this case is a 2 dimensional sphere bundle or a complex projective bundle over $\mathbb{C} P(\ell)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{1}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right) \quad \text { or } \\
& N_{2}=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho} \oplus \mathbb{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

First, we prove this case is homeomorphic to a toric manifold. By using $H^{\text {odd }}\left(N_{i}\right)=0(i=1,2,3)$ and Theorem 4.1 in [13], we see that the torus action on this manifold is locally standard. Moreover, the orbit space of this torus action is a product of two simplicies. Therefore, we have that this case is a quasitoric manifold. Consider the standard torus action on the following toric manifolds:

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times \mathbb{C}^{*} P\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{k_{2}}\right) .
$$

Then its orbit space and the characteristic matrix are same as $N_{1}$ for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 1$ or $N_{2}$ for $k_{1}=k_{2}=1$. Therefore, $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are homeomorphic to the above toric manifolds.

Moreover, this case has the same cohomology rings as a 2 -stage generalized Bott tower. Hence, by using [4, Theorem 1.6] and [2, Theorem 6.4] we also see that this case is a 2 -stage generalized Bott tower. Hence, by using Theorem 4.3, we have that this case satisfies the cohomological rigidity.

In summary, the following proposition holds.
Proposition 5.1. If the CASE 1 holds, i.e., $\operatorname{deg} w=2$, then the manifold in this case is cohomologically rigid in $\mathfrak{M}$.
5.2. CASE 2 (1) : $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell \geq 4$. Assume $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell \geq 4$. In this case, $M$ must be in $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ or $\mathfrak{M}_{3}$.

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be in $\mathfrak{M}$ (we will denote $w=z$ ). Without loss of generality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=S\left(\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& M_{2}=S\left(\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $k_{11}, k_{21} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{12}, k_{22} \geq 0$, where $\left(k_{11}, k_{12}\right),\left(k_{21}, k_{22}\right) \neq(1,0)$ because $\operatorname{deg} z(=\operatorname{deg} w)>2$. Assume

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, z_{1}\right] /<x_{1}^{\ell+1}, f_{1}\left(x_{1}, z_{1}\right)> \\
\simeq \quad H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right) & =\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{2}, z_{2}\right] /<x_{2}^{\ell+1}, f_{2}\left(x_{2}, z_{2}\right)>,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg} x_{i}=2, \operatorname{deg} z_{i}=\operatorname{deg} w=2 k_{i 1}+2 k_{i 2}(i=1,2)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right)=z_{i}\left(z_{i}+\left(\rho_{i} x_{i}\right)^{k_{i 1}}\right) \text { for } k_{i 2}=0 ; \\
& f_{i}\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right)=z_{i}^{2} \text { for } k_{i 2}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\phi: H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ be an isomorphism. By the degree assumptions, we can easily show that

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}\right)= \pm x_{2} .
$$

In order to classify topological types of this case, we divide this case into the following three cases.
5.2.1. The case $k_{12}, k_{22}>0$. Assume $k_{12}, k_{22}>0$. In this case, we can easily show that

$$
\phi\left(z_{1}\right)= \pm z_{2} .
$$

Due to $\phi\left(x_{1}\right)= \pm x_{2}$, the cohomology isomorphism induces the identity of Pontrjagin classes, i.e., by using Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(p\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=\left(1+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{k_{11}} \\
= & p\left(M_{2}\right)=\left(1+x_{2}^{2}\right)^{\ell+1}\left(1+\rho_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)^{k_{21}},
\end{aligned}
$$

if and only if each coefficient of $p_{j}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $p_{j}\left(M_{2}\right)\left(j=1, \ldots, \ell+k_{i 1}+\right.$ $k_{i 2}$ ) are same. Hence, by taking different Pontrjagin classes, we can easily construct the counter examples of the cohomological rigidity.

Assume coefficients of $p_{j}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $p_{j}\left(M_{2}\right)$ are same for all $j=1, \ldots, \ell+$ $k_{i 1}+k_{i 2}$. Note that $x_{2}^{4} \neq 0$ in $H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ since $\ell \geq 4$. Hence, by the above arguments, we have every isomorphism $\phi$ preserves their Pontrjagin classes and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(p_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=p_{1}\left(M_{2}\right) & \Leftrightarrow \quad k_{11} \rho_{1}^{2}=k_{21} \rho_{2}^{2} \\
\phi\left(p_{2}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=p_{2}\left(M_{2}\right) & \Leftrightarrow\binom{k_{11}}{2} \rho_{1}^{4}=\binom{k_{21}}{2} \rho_{2}^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we can easily show that there are two cases; one is $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=$ 0 and the other is $\rho_{1}= \pm \rho_{2}$ and $k_{11}=k_{21}$. Thus the vector bundle $\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1}$ and $\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1}$ are isomorphic. This implies that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are homeomorphic. Hence, in this case, we have that if cohomology rings and coefficients of $p_{j}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $p_{j}\left(M_{2}\right)$ are same then $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are homeomorphic. In other wards, if there is a graded ring isomorphism $\phi: H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ such that $\phi\left(p\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=p\left(M_{2}\right)$ then $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are homeomorphic, i.e., this case is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class.
5.2.2. The case $k_{12}>0$ and $k_{22}=0$. Assume $k_{12}>0$ and $k_{22}=0$. Because $\phi\left(x_{1}\right)= \pm x_{2}$, we can put $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)=a x_{2}^{k_{21}}+b z_{2}$, where $b= \pm 1$. Using $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}=0$, we have that

$$
\rho_{2}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad k_{21}>\ell
$$

If $k_{21}>\ell$, then we have $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)= \pm z_{2}$ because $x_{2}^{\ell+1}=0$. This is the same situation as the above case (Section 5.2.1). Therefore, it is sufficient to consider the case $\rho_{2}=0$ and $k_{21} \leq \ell$ only. However, in this case, we also have $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)= \pm z_{2}$ and $M_{2}$ is the trivial bundle. Hence, we can regard that this case is same as the above case (Section 5.2.1).

### 5.2.3. The case $k_{12}=k_{22}=0$. Assume $k_{12}=0=k_{22}$.

If $k_{11}>\ell$ or $k_{21}>\ell$, then we can easily show that $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)= \pm z_{2}$. Therefore, this case is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class by using the same argument in Section 5.2.1.

Assume $k_{11}, k_{21} \leq \ell$. Let $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)=a x_{2}^{k_{21}}+b z_{2}$, where $b= \pm 1$. Then $k_{11}=k_{21}$. Hence, by using the formula $z_{i}^{2}+z_{i}\left(\rho_{i} x_{i}\right)^{k_{i 1}}=0(i=1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(z_{1}\left(z_{1}+\left(\rho_{1} x_{1}\right)^{k_{11}}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(a x_{2}^{k_{21}}+b z_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(a x_{2}^{k_{21}}+b z_{2}\right)\left( \pm \rho_{1} x_{2}\right)^{k_{11}} \\
= & a^{2} x_{2}^{2 k_{21}}+2 a b x_{2}^{k_{21}} z_{2}+b^{2} z_{2}^{2}+a\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}} x_{2}^{2 k_{21}}+b\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}} z_{2} x_{2}^{k_{21}} \\
= & a^{2} x_{2}^{2 k_{21}}+2 a b x_{2}^{k_{21}} z_{2}-b^{2} z_{2}\left(\rho_{2} x_{2}\right)^{k_{21}}+a\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}} x_{2}^{2 k_{21}}+b\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}} z_{2} x_{2}^{k_{21}} \\
= & a x_{2}^{2 k_{21}}\left(a+\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}}\right)+b x_{2}^{k_{21}} z_{2}\left(2 a-b^{2} \rho_{2}^{k_{21}}+b\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a=0$, then $\left|\rho_{2}\right|=\left|\rho_{1}\right|$ by using the above equation. This implies that the vector bundles $\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ and $\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ are same. It follows that the manifold in this case is cohomologically rigid. If $a \neq 0$, then we have $a=-\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}}$ and

$$
(b-2)\left( \pm \rho_{1}\right)^{k_{21}}=\rho_{2}^{k_{21}}
$$

by using the above equation and $b= \pm 1$. If $b=-1$, we can easily show that this gives a contradiction to $k_{21} \geq 2$ and $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, in this case $b=1$ and $\left|\rho_{1}\right|=\left|\rho_{2}\right|$. Hence, this case is also cohomologically rigid.

In summary, the following proposition holds.
Proposition 5.2. Let $M=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)\right.$ for $k_{1}>0, k_{2} \geq 0$. If the CASE 2-(1) holds, i.e., $2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}>2$ and $\ell \geq 4$, then there are the following two cases:
(1) $M$ is cohomologically rigid in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow k_{2}=0$ and $1<k_{1} \leq \ell$;
(2) $M$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow$ otherwise, i.e., $k_{2}>0$, or $k_{2}=0$ and $k_{1}>\ell$.
5.3. CASE 2 (2) : $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=2$, 3. Assume $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=2,3$. With a method similar to that demonstrated in CASE 2 (1), we can put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=S\left(\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1}\right)=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho_{1}}^{k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
& M_{2}=S\left(\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1}\right)=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho_{2}}^{k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $k_{11}, k_{21} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_{12}, k_{22} \geq 0$. Let $\phi: H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ be an isomorphism. Then we also have that an isomorphic map $\phi$ satisfies

$$
\phi\left(x_{1}\right)= \pm x_{2} .
$$

Similarly to the CASE 2 (1), we divide this case into the following three cases: the case $k_{12}, k_{22}>0$; the case $k_{12}>0, k_{22}>0$; and the case $k_{12}=k_{22}=0$.

Assume $k_{12}, k_{22}>0$. Then we have $\phi\left(z_{1}\right)= \pm z_{2}$. Using the similar method demonstrated in Section 5.2.1, we may regard $\phi\left(p_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=p_{1}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Hence, we have

$$
\phi\left(p_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)=p_{1}\left(M_{2}\right) \Leftrightarrow k_{11} \rho_{1}^{2}=k_{12} \rho_{2}^{2} .
$$

Remark that in this case the second Pontrjagin class $p_{2}$ does not appear by its cohomology ring structure (see Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 ). So we will use a KO-theoretical argument.

Because of [18], in this case $(\ell=2,3)$ we have $K O\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\ell}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[y_{\ell}\right] /\left\langle y_{\ell}^{2}\right\rangle$, where $y_{\ell}=r(\gamma)-2$ for the canonical line bundle $\gamma$ and the realification map $r: K\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\ell}\right) \rightarrow K O\left(\mathbb{C} P^{\ell}\right)$. Moreover, we have $r\left(\gamma^{\otimes n}\right)=n^{2} y_{\ell}+2$ by [18]. So, we have that

$$
r\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)=\rho_{1}^{2} r(\gamma)-2 \rho_{1}+2, \quad r\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)=\rho_{2}^{2} r(\gamma)-2 \rho_{2}+2 .
$$

Because $k_{11} \rho_{1}^{2}=k_{12} \rho_{2}^{2}$ and $k_{11}+k_{12}=k_{21}+k_{22}$, we have the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{11} r\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)+2 k_{12}+1=k_{11}\left(\rho_{1}^{2} r(\gamma)-2 \rho_{1}^{2}\right)+2 k_{11}+2 k_{12}+1 \\
= & k_{12}\left(\rho_{2}^{2} r(\gamma)-2 \rho_{2}^{2}\right)+2 k_{21}+2 k_{22}+1=k_{12} r\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)+2 k_{22}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1} \equiv_{s}\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1} \\
\Leftrightarrow \quad & k_{11} \rho_{1}^{2}=k_{12} \rho_{2}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad k_{11}+k_{12}=k_{21}+k_{22}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta \equiv_{s} \xi$ means $\eta$ and $\xi$ are stably isomorphic. If $2 k_{i 1}+2 k_{i 2}+1>2 \ell$ $(i=1,2)$, these bundles are in the stable range; therefore, two bundles are isomorphic $\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}}\right)^{\oplus k_{11}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{12}+1} \equiv\left(\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}}\right)^{\oplus k_{21}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{22}+1}$. Otherwise, i.e., $\ell=3, k_{i 1}=k_{i 2}=1$, we can easily show that $\left|\rho_{1}\right|=\left|\rho_{2}\right|$; therefore, this case also satisfies that $\gamma^{\otimes \rho_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3} \equiv \gamma^{\otimes \rho_{2}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{3}$. This implies that the case $k_{12}, k_{22}>0$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class.

Here we give some non-trivial examples.
Example 5.3. The following two manifolds are homeomorphic because $H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \simeq H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right)$ and $p_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)=4 x_{1}^{2}$ and $p_{1}\left(M_{2}\right)=4 x_{2}^{2}\left(x_{i} \in H^{2}\left(M_{i}\right)\right)$ :

$$
M_{1}=S^{7} \times_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{2}^{1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{9}\right) ; \quad M_{2}=S^{7} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{1}^{4} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1}\right)
$$

The following manifold has the same cohomology ring as the above two manifolds, but this manifold is not homeomorphic to the above manifolds because $p_{1}(M)=16 x$ for $x \in H^{2}(M)$.

$$
M=S^{7} \times_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{2}^{4} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{1}\right)
$$

For the other cases: the case $k_{12}>0, k_{22}>0$; and the case $k_{12}=k_{22}=0$, we can get the same results as in Sections 5.2.2 and 5.2.3 by using the similar argument in these section.

In summary, the following proposition holds.
Proposition 5.4. Let $M=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)\right.$ for $k_{1}>0, k_{2} \geq 0$. If the CASE 2 (2) holds, i.e., $\ell=2,3$ and $2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}>2$, then there are the following two cases:
(1) $M$ is cohomologically rigid in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow k_{2}=0$ and $1<k_{1} \leq \ell$;
(2) $M$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Pontrjagin class in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow$ otherwise, i.e., $k_{2}>0$, or $k_{2}=0$ and $k_{1}>\ell$.
5.4. CASE 2 (3) : $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=1$. Assume $\operatorname{deg} w>2$ and $\ell=1$. In this case, $M$ must be in $\mathfrak{M}_{2}$ or $\mathfrak{M}_{3}$ (we will denote $w=z$ ). Thus $M$ is $S^{\operatorname{deg} z_{-} \text {-bundle over } S^{2} \text {, i.e., }}$

$$
M=S^{3} \times_{S^{1}} S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)
$$

for $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}, k_{1}>0$ and $k_{2} \geq 0$, where $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \neq(1,0)$ and $\operatorname{deg} z=2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}$. Moreover, for any given two manifolds in this case, if we fix their dimension, their cohomology rings are always same as $H^{*}\left(S^{\operatorname{deg} z} \times S^{2}\right)$ because of Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. Since $\pi_{2}\left(G_{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the homeomorphism type of $M$ is determined by $w_{2}(M)$ if $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1$ by using Propositions 3.2 and 3.3. In other words, the homeomorphism type of $M$ is determined by $\rho(\bmod 2)$.
If $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 0$, then $S^{3} \times_{S^{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)$ is trivial bundle because its StiefelWhitney class is trivial. Hence, if $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 0$ then $M \cong S^{\operatorname{deg} z} \times S^{2}$. This implies that homeomorphism types of CASE 2 (3) are determined as follows: if $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 0$, then this case is cohomologically rigid; if $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1$, then this case is determined by the cohomology ring and the Stiefel-Whitney class. Moreover, in the case $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1$, we can easy to show that every cohomology graded ring isomorphism preserves Stiefel-Whitney classes. This implies that the case $k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Stiefel-Whitney class.
In summary, the following proposition holds.
Proposition 5.5. Let $M=S^{2 \ell+1} \times{ }_{S^{1}} S\left(\left(\mathbb{C}_{\rho}^{k_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 k_{2}+1}\right)\right.$ for $k_{1}>0, k_{2} \geq 0$. If the CASE 2 (3) holds, i.e., $\ell=1$ and $2 k_{1}+2 k_{2}>2$, then there are the following two cases:
(1) $M$ is cohomologically rigid in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow k_{1} \equiv_{2} 0$;
(2) $M$ is rigid by the cohomology ring and the Stiefel-Whitney class in $\mathfrak{M} \Leftrightarrow k_{1} \equiv_{2} 1$.
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