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Abstract—The fusion of high-spectral/low-spatial resolution multispectral and low-spectral/high-spatial resolution panchromatic satellite images is a very important issue in various applications of remote sensing. Recently, some studies showed that a wavelet-based image fusion method provides high quality spectral content in fused images. However, most wavelet-based methods yielded fused results with spatial resolution that is less than that obtained via the Brovey, IHS, and PCA fusion methods. In this paper, we introduce a new method based on a curvelet transform, which represents edges better than wavelets. Since edges play a fundamental role in image representation, one effective means to enhance spatial resolution is to enhance the edges. The curvelet-based image fusion method provides richer information in the spatial and spectral domains simultaneously. We performed Landsat ETM+ image fusion and found that the proposed method provides optimum fusion results.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In many remote sensing and mapping applications, the fusion of multispectral and panchromatic images is a very important issue. In this regard, in the field of satellite image classification, the quality of the image classifier is affected by the fused image’s quality. To date, many image fusion techniques and software tools have been developed. The well-known methods include the Brovey, the IHS (Intensity, Hue, Saturation) colour model, the PCA (Principal Components Analysis) method, and the wavelet based method [1]. Assessment of the quality of fused images is another important issue. Wald et al. proposed an approach utilizing criteria that can be employed in the evaluation of the spectral quality of fused satellite images [2].

If the objective of image fusion is to construct synthetic images that are closer to reality, then the Brovey, IHS, and PCA fusion methods are satisfactory [1]. However, one limitation of these methods is some distortion of spectral characteristics in the original multispectral images. Recently, developments in wavelet analysis have provided a potential solution to this problem. Nunez et al. developed an approach to fuse a high-resolution panchromatic image with a low-resolution multispectral image based on wavelet decomposition [3]. Ranchin and Wald designed the ARSIS concept for fusing high spatial and spectral resolution images based on a multiresolution analysis of a two-band wavelet transformation.

The wavelet-based image fusion method provides high spectral quality in fused satellite images. However, fused images by wavelets have much less spatial information than those by the Brovey, IHS, and PCA methods. In many remote sensing applications, the spatial information of a fused image is an important factor as the spectral information. In other words, it is necessary to develop an advanced image fusion method so that fused images have the same spectral resolution as multispectral images and the same spatial resolution as a panchromatic image with minimal artifacts.

Recently, other multi-scale systems have been developed, including ridgelets and curvelets [4]–[7]. These approaches are very different from wavelet-like systems. Curvelets and ridgelets take the form of basis elements, which exhibit very high directional sensitivity and are highly anisotropic. Therefore, the curvelet transform represents edges better than wavelets, and is well-suited for multiscale edge enhancement [7].

In this paper, we introduce a new image fusion method based on a curvelet transform. The fused image using the curvelet-based image fusion method yields almost the same detail as the original panchromatic image, because curvelets represent edges better than wavelets. It also gives the same colour as the original multispectral images, because we use the wavelet-based image fusion method in our algorithm. As such, this new method is an optimum method for image fusion. In this study we develop a new approach for fusing Landsat ETM+ panchromatic and multispectral images based on the curvelet transform.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the theoretical basis of the ridgelets and curvelets. A new image fusion approach for Landsat ETM+ panchromatic and multispectral images based on the curvelet transform is subsequently presented.

This is followed by a discussion of the image fusion experiments. Next, the experimental results are analysed. Finally, the proposed method is compared with previous methods developed for image fusion, including the wavelet method and the IHS method.
II. RIDGELET AND CURVELET TRANSFORMS

In this section, we review the theory of ridgelet and curvelet transforms, presented in [5–10], which are used in the subsequent sections.

A. Continuous ridgelet transform

Let \( \psi \) be in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) with sufficient decay and satisfying the admissibility condition,

\[
K_\psi := \int \left| \hat{\psi}(\xi) \right|^2 |\xi|^2 d\xi < \infty,
\]

In this paper, we adopt Meyer wavelet \( \psi \), which has high smoothness and a compact support in the frequency domain. We refer to [11], [12] for the definition and the basic properties of Meyer wavelet. We will suppose that \( \psi \) is normalized so that \( K_\psi = 1 \).

For each \( a > 0 \), \( b \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \), ridgelet basis functions are defined by

\[
\psi_{a,b,\theta}(x) := a^{-1/2} \psi((x_1 \cos \theta + x_2 \sin \theta - b)/a).
\]

The Radon transform for \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) is given by

\[
Rf(\theta, t) = \int f(x_1, x_2) \delta(x_1 \cos \theta + x_2 \sin \theta - t) d x_1 d x_2,
\]

where \( \delta \) is the Dirac distribution. For \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \), the continuous ridgelet coefficient is given by

\[
R_f(a, b, \theta) = \int f(x) \psi_{a, b, \theta}(x) dx.
\]

Then any function \( f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \) is represented as a continuous superposition of ridgelet functions

\[
f(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_f(a, b, \theta) \psi_{a, b, \theta}(x) \frac{da}{a^3} \frac{db}{b} \frac{d\theta}{4\pi}.
\]

Notice that (1) is also given by the wavelet transform of the Radon transform \( f \):

\[
R_f(a, b, \theta) = \int Rf(\theta, t) a^{-1/2} \psi((t - b)/a) dt.
\]

Deans found that the two-dimensional Fourier transform of \( f \) is equal to the one-dimensional Fourier transform of the Radon transform of \( f \) [13]:

\[
\hat{f}(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin \theta) = \int Rf(\theta, t) e^{-i\xi t} dt.
\]

Hence the Radon transform of \( f \) is obtained by the one-dimensional inverse Fourier transform of \( f(\xi \cos \theta, \xi \sin \theta) \) as a function of \( \xi \).

B. Curvelet transform

Let \( Q \) denote a dyadic square \([k_1/2^s, (k_1 + 1)/2^s) \times [k_2/2^s, (k_2 + 1)/2^s)\) and let \( Q_s \) be the collection of all dyadic squares of scale \( s \). We also let \( w_Q \) be a window near \( Q \), obtained by dilation and translation of a single \( w \), satisfying

\[
\sum_{Q \in Q_s} w_Q^2 = 1.
\]

We define multiscale ridgelets \( \{w_Q T_Q \psi_{a,b,\theta} : s \geq s_0, Q \in Q_s, a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\} \), where \( T_Q f(x_1, x_2) = 2^s f(2^s x_1 - k_1, 2^s x_2 - k_2) \). Then we have the reconstruction formula

\[
f = \sum_{Q \in Q_s} f w_Q^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{Q \in Q_s} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi, \eta) \hat{w}_Q(\xi, \eta) e^{i\xi x_1 + i\eta x_2} d\xi d\eta dQ.
\]

The curvelet transform is given by filtering and applying multiscale ridgelet transform on each bandpass filters as follows:

1) **Subband Decomposition.** The image is filtered into subbands:

\[
f \to (P_0 f, \Delta_1 f, \Delta_2 f, \cdots),
\]

where a filter \( P_0 \) deals with frequencies \(|\xi| \leq 1\) and the bandpass filter \( \Delta_s \) is concentrated near the frequencies \([2^s, 2^{s+2}]\), for example,

\[
\Delta_s = \Psi_{2s} * f, \Psi_{2s}(\xi) = \psi(2^{-2s} \xi);
\]

2) **Smooth Partitioning.** Each subband is smoothly windowed into “squares” of an appropriate scale

\[
\Delta_s f \to (w_Q \Delta_s f)_{Q \in Q_s};
\]

3) **Renormalization.** Each resulting square is renormalized to unit scale

\[
y_Q = (T_Q)^{-1} (w_Q \Delta_s f), Q \in Q_s;
\]

4) **Ridgelet Analysis.** Each square is analysed via the discrete ridgelet transform.

For improved visual and numerical results of the digital curvelet transform, Starck et al. presented the following discrete curvelet transform algorithm [6], [14]:

1) apply the à trous algorithm with \( J \) scales:

\[
I(x, y) = C_J(x, y) + \sum_{j=1}^{J} w_j(x, y),
\]

where \( C_J \) is a coarse or smooth version of original image \( I \) and \( w_j \) represents “the details of I” at scale \( 2^{-j} \);

2) set \( B_0 = B_{min} \);

3) for \( j = 1, \cdots, J \) do

a) partition the subband \( w_j \) with a block size \( B_j \) and apply the digital ridgelet transform to each block;

b) else \( B_{j+1} = B_j \).

III. THE IMAGE FUSION METHOD BASED ON THE CURVELET TRANSFORM

The following is the specific operational procedure for the proposed curvelet-based image fusion approach. While the operational procedure may be generally applied, Landsat ETM+ images are utilized as an example in order to illustrate the method.

1) The original Landsat ETM+ panchromatic and multispectral images are geometrically registered to each other.
2) Three new Landsat ETM+ panchromatic images $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$ are produced. The histograms of these images are specified according to the histograms of the multispectral images $R$, $G$ and $B$, respectively.

3) Using the well-known wavelet-based image fusion method, we obtain fused images $I_1 + R$, $I_2 + G$ and $I_3 + B$, respectively.

4) $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$, which are taken from 2), are decomposed into $J + 1$ subbands, respectively, by applying “à trous” subband filtering algorithm. Each decomposed image includes $C_J$, which is a coarse or smooth version of the original image, and $w_j$, which represents “the details of $I$” at scale $2^{-j}$.

5) Each $C_J$ is replaced by a fused image obtained from 3). For example, ($C_J$ for $I_1$) is replaced by $(I_1 + R)$.

6) The ridgelet transform is then applied to each block in the decomposed $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$, respectively.

7) Curvelet coefficients (or ridgelet coefficients) are modified using hard-thresholding rule in order to enhance edges in the fused image.

8) Inverse curvelet transforms (ICT) are carried out for $I_1$, $I_2$ and $I_3$, respectively. Three new images ($F_1$, $F_2$ and $F_3$) are then obtained, which reflect the spectral information of the original multispectral images $R$, $G$ and $B$, and also the spatial information of the panchromatic image.

9) $F_1$, $F_2$ and $F_3$ are combined into a single fused image $F$.

In this approach, we can obtain an optimum fused image that has richer information in the spatial and spectral domains simultaneously. Therefore, we can easily find small objects in the fused image and separate them. As such, the curvelets-based image fusion method is very efficient for image fusion.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY AND ANALYSIS

A. Visual analysis

Since the wavelet transform preserves the spectral information of the original multispectral images, it has the high spectral resolution in contrast with the IHS-based fusion result, which has some colour distortion. But the wavelet-based fusion result has much less spatial information than that of the IHS-based fusion result.

To overcome this problem, we use the curvelet transform in image fusion. Since the curvelet transform is well adapted to represent panchromatic image containing edges, the curvelet-based fusion result has both high spatial and spectral resolution.

From the curvelet-based fusion result in the Landsat ETM+ image fusion presented in Figure 2, it should be noted that both
the spatial and the spectral resolutions have been enhanced, in comparison with the original colour image. That is, the fused result contains both the structural details of the higher spatial resolution panchromatic image and the rich spectral information from the multispectral images. Moreover, compared with the fused results obtained by the wavelet and IHS, the curvelet-based fusion result has a better visual effect, such as contrast enhancement.

B. Quantitative analysis

In addition to the visual analysis, we conducted a quantitative analysis. The experimental results were analysed based on the combination entropy, the mean gradient, and the correlation coefficient, as used in [2], [15]–[17].

Table I presents a comparison of the experimental results of image fusion using the curvelet-based image fusion method, the wavelet-based image fusion method, and the IHS method in terms of combination entropy, mean gradient, and correlation coefficient.

The combination entropy of an image is defined as

$$H(f_1, f_2, f_3) = - \sum_{i=0}^{255} P_{i_1,i_2,i_3} \log_2 P_{i_1,i_2,i_3},$$

where $P_{i_1,i_2,i_3}$ is the combination probability of the image $f_1, f_2,$ and $f_3,$ in which pixel values are $i_1, i_2,$ and $i_3,$ respectively, for the same position. The combination entropy (C.E.) represents the property of combination between images. The larger the combination entropy of an image, the richer the information contained in the image. In Table I, the combination entropy of the curvelet-based image fusion is greater than that of other methods. Thus, the curvelet-based image fusion method is superior to the wavelet and IHS methods in terms of combination entropy.

The mean gradient is defined as

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sqrt{ (\Delta I^2_x + \Delta I^2_y) / 2 },$$

$$\Delta I_{x,i,j} = f(i+1,j) - f(i,j), \Delta I_{y,i,j} = f(i,j+1) - f(i,j),$$
where $M$ and $N$ are the length and width, in terms of pixel number, of an image $f$. The mean gradient (M.G.) reflects the contrast between the detailed variation of pattern on the image and the clarity of the image.

The correlation coefficient is defined as

$$\text{Corr}(A, B) = \frac{\sum_{m,n} (A_{mn} - \bar{A})(B_{mn} - \bar{B})}{\sqrt{\sum_{m,n} (A_{mn} - \bar{A})^2}(\sum_{m,n} (B_{mn} - \bar{B})^2)},$$

where $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ stand for the mean values of the corresponding data set. The correlation coefficient (C.C.) between the original and fused image shows similarity in small size structures between the original and synthetic images. In Table I, the mean gradient and the correlation coefficient of the curvelet-based image fusion method are greater than those of the wavelet-based image fusion method. Hence, we can see that the curvelet-based fusion method is better than the wavelet-based fusion method in terms of the mean gradient and correlation coefficient.

Based on the experimental results obtained from this study, the curvelet-based image fusion method is very efficient for fusing Landsat ETM+ images. This new method has yielded optimum fusion results.

**V. Conclusion**

We have presented a newly developed method based on a curvelet transform for fusing Landsat ETM+ images. In this paper, an experimental study was conducted by applying the proposed method, as well as other image fusion methods, to the fusion of Landsat ETM+ images. A comparison of the fused images from the wavelet and IHS method was made. Based on experimental results pertaining to three indicators - the combination entropy, the mean gradient, and the correlation coefficient - the proposed method provides better results, both visually and quantitatively, for remote sensing fusion. Since the curvelet transform is well adapted to represent panchromatic images containing edges and the wavelet transform preserves the spectral information of the original multispectral images, the fused image has both high spatial and spectral resolution.
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